

**STATE OF CONNECTICUT
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

Student v. Norwalk Board of Education

Appearing on behalf of the Board:

Attorney Marsha Moses
Berchem Moses, P.C.
75 Broad Street
Milford, CT 06460

Appearing on behalf of the Student:

Attorney Jennifer Laviano
Law Office of Jennifer Laviano, LLC
76 Route 37 South
Sherman, CT 06784

Appearing before:

Uswah A. Khan, Esq.
Hearing Officer

FINAL DECISION AND ORDER

ISSUES:

1. Did the district provide student with FAPE during the 2017-2018 school year?
2. Did the district provide student with FAPE for ESY 2017-2018?
3. Did the district provide student with FAPE during the 2018-2019 school year?
4. Did the district provide student with FAPE for ESY 2018-2019?
5. A. If the Board has not provided a free appropriate public education, is compensatory education a proper remedy for the effects of the District's deprivations of FAPE during all periods relevant to this request for due process?
B. As a remedy, can the board be required to designate a specific service provider (Ms. Sinclair) to deliver speech and language services per child's IEP?

PROCEDURAL HISTORY/SUMMARY:

The Parents filed the Due Process Complaint and Request for Hearing on March 15, 2019. The Hearing Officer was appointed on March 18, 2019. A Notice for Prehearing Conference was mailed out, and a Prehearing Conference was held on March 20, 2019. Hearing dates were scheduled for September 4, 2019, and September 17, 2019. The mailing date of the decision was May 30, 2019, which was extended to September 30, 2019 due to the parties' mediation schedule. Counsel for the parents notified the hearing officer on September 16, 2019 that the parties had reached an amicable settlement and were withdrawing the due process complaint with prejudice.

FINAL DECISION AND ORDER:

The matter is **DISMISSED with prejudice.**