

NEWS

Connecticut Department of Education

Dr. Betty J. Sternberg, Commissioner

For Immediate Release

EMBARGOED

Wednesday, September 7, 2005 @ 10AM

State Department of Education Releases District AYP Results

(Hartford, Connecticut) – Eighty-two percent of Connecticut's school districts made adequate yearly progress (AYP) for the 2004-05 school year under the requirements of the federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act. A total of 141 of the state's 171 local, regional and statewide school districts met the AYP standards. Last year, 75 percent of Connecticut's school districts made AYP.

The AYP and "in needs of improvement" identifications are based on the districtwide percentage of students scoring at or above the proficient level in reading and/or mathematics in Grades 4, 6 and 8 on the 2004 Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT) and in Grade 10 on the 2004 Connecticut Academic Performance Test. In addition, the identifications are based on CMT writing scores (70 percent at or above the basic level or improvement over the previous year), the district graduation rate (70 percent or improvement over the previous year) and participation rates on the tests (95 percent participation required).

"While the trend is good, we still have a lot of work to do for districts not making AYP," said Commissioner of Education Betty J. Sternberg. "We hold high expectations for all students and will continue to support districts as they improve their instructional programs."

For a district to make AYP, the AYP criteria must be met on either the CMT or CAPT by all students and by each subgroup at the district level. Subgroups include Hispanic, black and white students, students with disabilities, economically disadvantaged students and English language learners. Districts must meet the standards on a total of 29 variables on the CMT or 29 variables on the CAPT in order to achieve the overall AYP standard.

This year, 30 districts were identified as not making AYP. This includes three districts that previously made AYP. Of the 30 districts identified as not making AYP:

- o 6 were identified due to whole district math and reading achievement;
- o 0 were identified due to whole district math achievement;
- o 2 were identified due to whole district reading achievement;
- o 19 were identified due to subgroup math and reading achievement;
- o 2 were identified due to subgroup math achievement; and
- o 1 was identified due to subgroup reading achievement.

Twenty-seven of the 30 districts have now been identified as not making AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area and therefore are "in need of improvement" under NCLB. All 27 districts identified as "in need of improvement" are Title I districts and are in Year 2 of district improvement. The following sanctions will apply:

- o the district must revise its district improvement plan within 90 days of identification;
- o the district must reserve 10 percent of its Title I funds for professional development designed to improve classroom teaching;
- o the district must inform parents that it has been designated as "in need of improvement;" and
- o the district can no longer serve as a provider of supplemental education services.

Last year, 43 out of 171 Connecticut districts (not including charter schools) were designated as not making AYP, and this year 16 of those districts made AYP. Of those 16 districts, 12 were identified as "in need of improvement" last year. While the districts remain "in need of improvement," the consequences do not increase, but remain at last year's level. If these districts also make AYP next year, they will no longer be designated "in need of improvement."

A major reason for the decrease in the number of districts identified "in need of improvement" is their increase in participation rates from the 2003 to the 2004 CAPT administration. "We are pleased that more students are participating in the test," said Dr. Sternberg.

It is possible for a district to be designated as not making adequate yearly progress while none of its schools is designated as not making AYP. This is because subgroup results are reported for AYP only when subgroups contain at least 40 students. It is possible that no schools reach that number, but when subgroup numbers for all schools in the district are totaled, the district as a whole has subgroups of 40 or larger and may then be cited for inadequate student performance by one or more subgroups.

"We will continue to work in partnership with districts that do not make AYP in our relentless efforts to raise the achievement of all students," added Dr. Sternberg.



Connecticut Public School Districts Not Making Adequate Yearly Progress, 2004-05 School Year



As per No Child Left Behind Legislation and Connecticut's K-12 Accountability System

Based on the fall 2004 Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT) results attributed to the district attended in 2003-04 and spring 2004 Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT) results

	Title I	In Need of	Acad	District lemic vement	Subg Acad Achiev	emic	Subg	District or group ation Only
	District	Improvement	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading
Ansonia School District	V	~			V	✓		
Bridgeport School District	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Bristol School District	✓	✓			✓	✓		
Danbury School District	✓	V			✓	✓		
East Hartford School District	✓	✓			V	~		
Enfield School District	✓	✓			V	✓		
Groton School District	✓	✓			V	✓		
Hamden School District	✓	✓			V	✓		
Hartford School District	✓	✓	✓	✓	V	✓		

	Title I	In Need of	Acad	District lemic vement		group lemic ement	Sub	District or group ation Only
	District	Improvement	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading
Manchester School District	V	V			✓	V		
Mansfield School District	✓				✓	✓		
Meriden School District	✓	✓			✓	✓		
Middletown School District	V	V			✓	V		
Naugatuck School District	✓	✓			✓	✓		
New Britain School District	V	✓	✓	✓	V	V		
New Haven School District	✓	V	✓	✓	✓	✓		
New London School District	V	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Norwalk School District	✓	V			✓	✓		
Norwich School District	V	✓			V	V		
Stamford School District	✓	V			✓	✓		

	Title I	In Need of	Acad	District lemic vement	Acad	group demic vement	Sub	District or group ation Only
	District	Improvement	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading
Stratford School District	~	✓			✓	✓		
Torrington School District	V	✓			✓	✓		
Vernon School District	V	✓			✓	✓		
Waterbury School District	V	V	V	✓	✓	✓		
West Haven School District	✓	✓			✓	✓		
Windham School District	V	V		✓	✓	✓		
Windsor School District	V	V			✓	✓		
Regional School District 19	V				✓			
Area Cooperative Educational Services	✓			✓	✓	✓		
Connecticut Technical High School System	>	V			~	✓		



Connecticut Public School Districts Not Making Adequate Yearly Progress, by Category: 2004-05 School Year



As per No Child Left Behind Legislation and Connecticut's K-12 Accountability System

Based on the fall 2004 Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT) attributed back to school attended in 2003-04 and spring 2004 Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT)

Whole district reading academic achievement

Number of Districts: 2 (Number Districts Last Year = 1)

Area Cooperative Educational Services Windham School District

Whole district math and reading, academic achievement

Number of Districts: 6 (Number Districts Last Year = 5)

New Haven School District **Bridgeport School District** Hartford School District New Britain School District New London School District Waterbury School District

Subgroup reading academic achievement

(Number Districts Last Year = 3) **Number of Districts: 1**

Windsor School District

Subgroup math and reading academic achievement

Number of Districts: 19 (Number Districts Last Year = 30)

Middletown School District

Bristol School District

Danbury School District

East Hartford School District

Enfield School District

Groton School District

Hamden School District

Manchester School District

Naugatuck School District

Meriden School District

Vernon School District

Ansonia School District

West Haven School District

Norwalk School District

Norwich School District

Stamford School District

Stratford School District

Torrington School District

Mansfield School District

Subgroup math academic achievement

Number of Districts: 2 (Number Districts Last Year = 1)

Connecticut Technical High School System

Regional School District 19

Connecticut School Districts - AYP History 2002-04

		AYP Status	3 ²			AYP I	Reason				In Ne	ed of I	mprov	ement	
					CMT			CAPT		20	004	20	003	20)02 ³
	2004 ¹	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002	Y/N	Year	Y/N	Year	Y/N	Year
Andover School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Ansonia School District	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R	M	M	M & R	Yes	2	Yes	1		
Ashford School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Avon School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Barkhamsted School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Berlin School District	Achieved	Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R		M & R		M & R	M		0				
Bethany School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Bethel School District	Achieved	Achieved	Not Achieved	R	R	M & R			M & R		0				
Bloomfield School District	Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	R	M & R		M	M	Hold	1	Yes	1		
Bolton School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Bozrah School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Branford School District	Achieved	Achieved	Not Achieved			M & R			M & R		0				
Bridgeport School District	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	Yes	2	Yes	1		

¹ Year represents the fall of the school year

² No districts were identified as "in need of improvement" in 2002

³ A district will have "Not Achieved" under AYP status if it fails AYP on BOTH the CMT and CAPT. The AYP Reason indicates which area(s) the district failed AYP for both CMT and CAPT. A blank cell indicates the district made AYP for that test.

		AYP Status	S ²			AYP	Reason			I	n Nee	ed of Iı	nprov	ement	
					CMT			CAPT		2004	ļ	20	03	20	002 ³
	2004 ¹	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002	Y/N Y	ear	Y/N	Year	Y/N	Year
Bristol School District	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	Yes	2	Yes	1		
Brookfield School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved						M & R		0				
Brooklyn School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved	M & R		M & R					0				-
Canaan School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Canterbury School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved					M	M & R		0				-
Canton School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Chaplin School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Cheshire School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved						M		0				
Chester School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Clinton School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved		R				M		0				
Colchester School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved	M & R		M & R		M			0				
Colebrook School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Columbia School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Cornwall School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Coventry School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved	M & R	M & R				M & R		0				

¹ Year represents the fall of the school year

² No districts were identified as "in need of improvement" in 2002

³ A district will have "Not Achieved" under AYP status if it fails AYP on BOTH the CMT and CAPT. The AYP Reason indicates which area(s) the district failed AYP for both CMT and CAPT. A blank cell indicates the district made AYP for that test.

		AYP Status	S ²			AYP	Reason				In Ne	ed of Ir	nprov	ement	
					CMT			CAPT		20	04	20	03	20	002 ³
	2004 ¹	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002	Y/N	Year	Y/N	Year	Y/N	Year
Cromwell School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved	M & R	R				M		0				
Danbury School District	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	Yes	2	Yes	1		
Darien School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Deep River School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Derby School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved			M & R	R	R			0				
Eastford School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
East Granby School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved						M & R		0				
East Haddam School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved	R	M						0				
East Hampton School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved		R						0				
East Hartford School District	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	Yes	2	Yes	1		
East Haven School District	Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	R	M & R	M & R		M & R	M & R	Hold	1	Yes	1		
East Lyme School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved		R						0				
Easton School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
East Windsor School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved	M & R	M & R						0				
Ellington School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved			R					0				

¹ Year represents the fall of the school year

² No districts were identified as "in need of improvement" in 2002

³ A district will have "Not Achieved" under AYP status if it fails AYP on BOTH the CMT and CAPT. The AYP Reason indicates which area(s) the district failed AYP for both CMT and CAPT. A blank cell indicates the district made AYP for that test.

		AYP Status	S 2			AYP	Reason				In Ne	ed of Iı	nprov	ement	
					CMT			CAPT		20	004	20	003	20	002 ³
	2004 ¹	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002	Y/N	Year	Y/N	Year	Y/N	Year
Enfield School District	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	M & R	R	М	M & R	M & R	Yes	2	Yes	1		
Essex School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Fairfield School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved					M & R	M & R		0				
Farmington School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved						M & R		0				
Franklin School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Glastonbury School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved					M			0				
Granby School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Greenwich School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved	M					M & R		0				
Griswold School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R					0				
Groton School District	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R	M	M & R	M & R	Yes	2	Yes	1		
Guilford School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved						M & R		0				
Hamden School District	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	Yes	2	Yes	1		
Hampton School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Hartford School District	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	Yes	2	Yes	1		
Hartland School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				

¹ Year represents the fall of the school year

² No districts were identified as "in need of improvement" in 2002

³ A district will have "Not Achieved" under AYP status if it fails AYP on BOTH the CMT and CAPT. The AYP Reason indicates which area(s) the district failed AYP for both CMT and CAPT. A blank cell indicates the district made AYP for that test.

		AYP Status	S ²			AYP	Reason				In Ne	ed of Ir	nprov	ement	
					CMT			CAPT		20	04	20	03	20	002 ³
	2004 ¹	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002	Y/N	Year	Y/N	Year	Y/N	Year
Hebron School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Kent School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Killingly School District	Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	R	R	M & R		R	M & R	Hold	1	Yes	1		
Lebanon School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Ledyard School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved	M & R	M & R				M & R		0				
Lisbon School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved						M		0				
Litchfield School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Madison School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Manchester School District	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	Yes	2	Yes	1		
Mansfield School District	Not Achieved	Achieved	Achieved	M & R		M & R					0				
Marlborough School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Meriden School District	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	Yes	2	Yes	1		
Middletown School District	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R	M	M & R	M & R	Yes	2	Yes	1		
Milford School District	Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	M	M & R		M & R	M & R	Hold	1	Yes	1		
Monroe School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved		M	R					0				

¹ Year represents the fall of the school year

² No districts were identified as "in need of improvement" in 2002

³ A district will have "Not Achieved" under AYP status if it fails AYP on BOTH the CMT and CAPT. The AYP Reason indicates which area(s) the district failed AYP for both CMT and CAPT. A blank cell indicates the district made AYP for that test.

		AYP Status	S 2			AYP	Reason				In Ne	ed of Ir	nprov	ement	
					CMT			CAPT		20	04	20	03	20	002 ³
	2004 ¹	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002	Y/N	Year	Y/N	Year	Y/N	Year
Montville School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved		M & R				M & R		0				
Naugatuck School District	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	Yes	2	Yes	1		
New Britain School District	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	Yes	2	Yes	1		
New Canaan School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
New Fairfield School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved			R					0				
New Hartford School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
New Haven School District	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	Yes	2	Yes	1		
Newington School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved	M & R	R				M & R		0				
New London School District	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	Yes	2	Yes	1		
New Milford School District	Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R		M & R	R	Hold	1	Yes	1		
Newtown School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved	R				R	M & R		0				
Norfolk School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
North Branford School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R					0				
North Canaan School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
North Haven School District	Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved		M & R	M & R		R	M & R	Hold	1	Yes	1		

¹ Year represents the fall of the school year

² No districts were identified as "in need of improvement" in 2002

³ A district will have "Not Achieved" under AYP status if it fails AYP on BOTH the CMT and CAPT. The AYP Reason indicates which area(s) the district failed AYP for both CMT and CAPT. A blank cell indicates the district made AYP for that test.

		AYP Status	S 2			AYP	Reason				In Ne	ed of Ir	nprov	ement	
					CMT			CAPT		20	04	20	03	20	02 ³
	2004 ¹	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002	Y/N	Year	Y/N	Year	Y/N	Year
North Stonington School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Norwalk School District	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	Yes	2	Yes	1		
Norwich School District	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	Yes	2	Yes	1		
Old Saybrook School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Orange School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Oxford School District	Safe Harbor	Not Achieved	Achieved		M & R						0				
Plainfield School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R					0				
Plainville School District	Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R		M	M	Hold	1	Yes	1		
Plymouth School District	Achieved	Achieved	Not Achieved	R	M & R	M & R			R		0				
Pomfret School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Portland School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved	M							0				
Preston School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Putnam School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved		M	M & R					0				
Redding School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Ridgefield School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				

¹ Year represents the fall of the school year

² No districts were identified as "in need of improvement" in 2002

³ A district will have "Not Achieved" under AYP status if it fails AYP on BOTH the CMT and CAPT. The AYP Reason indicates which area(s) the district failed AYP for both CMT and CAPT. A blank cell indicates the district made AYP for that test.

		AYP Status	S ²			AYP	Reason				In Ne	ed of Ir	nprov	ement	
					CMT			CAPT		20	04	20	03	20	02 ³
	2004 ¹	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002	Y/N	Year	Y/N	Year	Y/N	Year
Rocky Hill School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved		R				M		0				
Salem School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Salisbury School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Scotland School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Seymour School District	Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved		R	M & R		M & R	M & R	Hold	1	Yes	1		
Sharon School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Shelton School District	Achieved	Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R		M & R			M & R		0				
Sherman School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Simsbury School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Somers School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved						M		0				
Southington School District	Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R		M	M & R	Hold	1	Yes	1		
South Windsor School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved	R				M & R	M & R		0				
Sprague School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Stafford School District	Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M	M & R	M & R		M	M & R	Hold	1	Yes	1		
Stamford School District	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	Yes	2	Yes	1		

¹ Year represents the fall of the school year

² No districts were identified as "in need of improvement" in 2002

³ A district will have "Not Achieved" under AYP status if it fails AYP on BOTH the CMT and CAPT. The AYP Reason indicates which area(s) the district failed AYP for both CMT and CAPT. A blank cell indicates the district made AYP for that test.

		AYP Status	S ²			AYP	Reason				In Ne	ed of Iı	mprov	ement	
					CMT			CAPT		20	04	20	003	20)02 ³
	2004 ¹	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002	Y/N	Year	Y/N	Year	Y/N	Year
Sterling School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Stonington School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved	R	M & R						0				
Stratford School District	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	Yes	2	Yes	1		-
Suffield School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved		M & R	R					0				
Thomaston School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved						M & R		0				
Thompson School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved		M & R						0				
Tolland School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved	R	R	R					0				
Torrington School District	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	Yes	2	Yes	1		
<u>Trumbull School District</u>	Achieved	Not Achieved	Achieved		M & R	M		R			0				
Union School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Vernon School District	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	Yes	2	Yes	1		
Voluntown School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Wallingford School District	Achieved	Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R			M & R		0				
Waterbury School District	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	Yes	2	Yes	1		
Waterford School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved	M & R	M & R	R					0				-

¹ Year represents the fall of the school year

² No districts were identified as "in need of improvement" in 2002

³ A district will have "Not Achieved" under AYP status if it fails AYP on BOTH the CMT and CAPT. The AYP Reason indicates which area(s) the district failed AYP for both CMT and CAPT. A blank cell indicates the district made AYP for that test.

		AYP Reason							In Need of Improvement							
				CMT				CAPT			2004		2003		2002 ³	
	2004 ¹	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002	Y/N	Year	Y/N	Year	Y/N	Year	
Watertown School District	Achieved	Achieved	Not Achieved	M	M & R	M & R			M & R		0					
Westbrook School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0					
West Hartford School District	Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R		M & R	M & R	Hold	1	Yes	1			
West Haven School District	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	Yes	2	Yes	1			
Weston School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0					
Westport School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved					M & R	M & R		0					
Wethersfield School District	Achieved	Achieved	Not Achieved	R	M & R	R			R		0					
Willington School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0					
Wilton School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0					
Winchester School District	Achieved	Achieved	Not Achieved			R		R	R		0					
Windham School District	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	M & R	Yes	2	Yes	1			
Windsor School District	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	R	M & R	M & R	R	M & R	M & R	Yes	2	Yes	1			
Windsor Locks School District	Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved		M & R	M		M & R	M & R	Hold	1	Yes	1			
Wolcott School District	Achieved	Achieved	Not Achieved			R		M	M		0					
Woodbridge School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0					

¹ Year represents the fall of the school year

² No districts were identified as "in need of improvement" in 2002

³ A district will have "Not Achieved" under AYP status if it fails AYP on BOTH the CMT and CAPT. The AYP Reason indicates which area(s) the district failed AYP for both CMT and CAPT. A blank cell indicates the district made AYP for that test.

				AYP	Reason	In Need of Improvement								
				CMT				CAPT		2004	2003		2002 ³	
	2004 ¹	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002	Y/N Year	Y/N	Year	Y/N	Year
Woodstock School District	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved							0				
Regional School District 01	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved						M & R	0				
Regional School District 04	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved						M & R	0				
Regional School District 05	Achieved	Not Achieved	Achieved		M	R		R		0				
Regional School District 06	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved							0				
Regional School District 07	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved							0				
Regional School District 08	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved							0				
Regional School District 09	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved							0				
Regional School District 10	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved		R					0				
Regional School District 11	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved							0				
Regional School District 12	Achieved	Achieved	Not Achieved			R			M	0				
Regional School District 13	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved	R	R					0				
Regional School District 14	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved	M & R	R	R				0				
Regional School District 15	Achieved	Not Achieved	Achieved		R			M & R	R	0				
Regional School District 16	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved		M & R					0				

¹ Year represents the fall of the school year

² No districts were identified as "in need of improvement" in 2002

³ A district will have "Not Achieved" under AYP status if it fails AYP on BOTH the CMT and CAPT. The AYP Reason indicates which area(s) the district failed AYP for both CMT and CAPT. A blank cell indicates the district made AYP for that test.

		AYP Reason							In Need of Improvement						
				CMT			CAPT			2004		2003		2002 ³	
	2004 ¹	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002	Y/N	Year	Y/N	Year	Y/N	Year
Regional School District 17	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Regional School District 18	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Regional School District 19	Not Achieved	Achieved	Not Achieved				M & R		M & R		0				
Capitol Region Education Council	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved	M		M		M & R			0				
Cooperative Educational Services	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Area Cooperative Educational Services	Not Achieved	Achieved	Achieved	M & R		M & R	R				0				
<u>Learn</u>	Achieved	Achieved	Achieved								0				
Connecticut Technical High School System	Not Achieved	Not Achieved	Not Achieved				М	M & R	M & R	Yes	2	Yes	1		

¹ Year represents the fall of the school year

² No districts were identified as "in need of improvement" in 2002

³ A district will have "Not Achieved" under AYP status if it fails AYP on BOTH the CMT and CAPT. The AYP Reason indicates which area(s) the district failed AYP for both CMT and CAPT. A blank cell indicates the district made AYP for that test.