

# **APPENDIX TO SECTION 3**

# **City-wide Comprehensive Traffic Management Plan**

- Sample PNF/PIF Forms for Various Demonstration Locations (Completed)
- Order of Magnitude Opinion of Cost for Various Demonstration Intersections
- Master List of Projects Currently "In Process" with the City of Norwalk.







#### West Rocks Road / France Street / Park Street between Route 7 and East Wall Street

	GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION					
Date:	3/14/2012					
Type of Project:	Multimodal corridor improvements					
Project Advocate:	City of Norwalk					
Project Limits:	West Rocks Road / France Street / Park Street between Route 7 and East Wall Street					
Functional Classification:	Minor Arterial					
	City of Norwalk					
Description:	This corridor is primarily residential and civic (schools and churches) in nature, and serves as a major commuter route to and through Norwalk. It is directly accessible from both I-95 and the Merritt parkway. Pedestrian, bicycle, and transit demand is apparent but is underserved. Vehicular speeds are too high relative to the surrounding land use context.					

This worksheet will be completed by the Proponent and reviewed by City of Norwalk staff in accordance with the City's TMP to identify the need for potential projects.

GOAL 1: SAFETY					
Criterion 1.1:	Crash Ratio*				
Ratio	# Points				
<0.50	0				
0.50 - 0.75	2				
0.75 - 1.00	5				
>1.00	7				
Criterion 1.2: Fatal Crashes*					
# of Fatal Crashes	# Points				
0	0				
1 or more	. 7				
Criterion 1.3	: SLOSS List*				
On SLOSS List?	# Points				
No	0				
Yes	7				
Criterion 1.4: Ped/Bike Crashes*					
# of Ped/Bike Crashes	# Points				
0	0				
1 or more	7				
Criterion 1.5: Ve	ehicular Speeds				
85th % Speeds	# Points				
< Posted Speed	0				
≤ Posted + 5 mph	2				
≤ Posted + 10 mph	5				
> Posted + 10 mph	7				
Goal 1	Score				
14					

PED/BIKE					
Criterion 2.1 Ped Facilities**					
# Points					
0					
1					
2					
3					
Criterion 2.2 Ped Volumes**					
# Points					
0					
1					
2					
3					
ike Facilities**					
# Points					
0					
1					
2					
3					
Criterion 2.4 Bike Volumes**					
# Points					
0					
1					
2					
3					
/Bike Corridor**					
# Points					
0					
3					
ransit Access					
# Points					
0					
3					
ity Center Access					
# Points					
0					
3					
Score					
3					

GOAL 3: VEHICLES					
Criterion 3.1: Traffic Volume					
Volume per Lane	# Points				
	0				
C ltti Chart	2				
See Instruction Sheet	3				
	5				
Criterion 3.2: Heavy Truck Volume					
HV% or Volume	# Points				
<2% or <20 hvpd	0				
2% - 5%	2				
5% - 10%	3				
10% or >500 hvpd	5				
Criterion 3.3	: Operations				
Level of Service	# Points				
LOS A/B/C	- O ,				
LOS D	2				
LOS E	3				
LOS F	5				
Criterion 3.4: Pavement Condition					
Condition	# Points				
Rating 1	0				
Rating 2	2				
Rating 3	3				
Rating 4	, 5				
Criterion 3.5 Comme	rcial Area Access***				
Access	# Points				
No	0				
Yes	5				
Criterion 3.6 Ti	ransit Corridor				
Corridor	# Points				
No	0				
Yes	5				
Goal 3	Score				
	4				
*** Sources: Urban Renewal/Rea Developn	levelopment Plan Map & Priority nent Site				

GOAL 4: SUPPORT					
Criterion 4.1 Public Support					
Support Level	# Points				
None	0				
Low	2				
Moderate	5				
High	7				
Criterion 4.2: Funding Sources					
Funding	# Points				
None	0				
Partial	4				
Full	7				
Goal 4	Score				
7					

PROJECT NEED WORKSHEET
TOTAL SCORE

48



## West Rocks Road / France Street / Park Street between Route 7 and East Wall Street

	GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION
Date:	3/14/2012
Type of Project:	Multimodal corridor improvements
Project Limits:	West Rocks Road / France Street / Park Street between Route 7 and East Wall Street
Functional Classification:	Minor Arterial
Jurisdiction:	City of Norwalk
	Improvements seek to fill in sidewalk gaps, improve pedestrian crossing opportunities, enhance transit stops, and provide continuous bike lanes along the entire corridor.

This worksheet will be completed by the Proponent and reviewed by City of Norwalk staff in accordance with the City's TMP to initiate and prioritize potential projects.

Goal 1: Safety Improves Safety		Goal 2: Ped/Bike  Improves Ped Mobility/Connectivity		Goal 3: Vehicles  Improves Vehicular Operations		Goal 4: Support  Support by Public	
Yes	6	Partially	3	Partially	3	Partially	3
Reduces Vehicular Speeds		Fully	6	Fully	6	Fully	6
No	0	Improves Bike Mobi	Improves Bike Mobility/Connectivity		Condition Rating	Funding Source	es Identifiea
Yes	6	No	0	No	0	None	0
		Partially	3	Yes	6	Partial	3
		Fully	6			Full	6

			PROJECT	READINESS			
Project Need Wo	rksheet Competed	Project Plans Comp	olete to Date	Outreach	to Date		*
No	.0	None	0	No Outreach	0		
Yes	6	Conceptual Plans	2	1 Group	2		
		25% Design Plans	4	2 Groups	4		
		75% Design Plans	. 6	3 Groups	6		
		100% Design Plans	8				
			,		PROJECT I	READINESS SCORE:	14

Conceptual Proje	ect Cost Estimate	ROW Impa	icts	Impacts to Environme	ental Resources	Air Quality B	enefits
>\$1,000k	0	Structure Impact	0	Major Impact	0	No	0
\$750 - \$1,000k	-1	Major Land Impact	2 .	Minor Impact	3	Yes	5
\$500 - \$750k	3	Minor Land Impact	3	No Impact	5	Environmenta	l Justice
\$250 - \$500k	4	No Impact	5	Impacts to Cultural/His	storical Resources	Negative Impact	0
\$100 - \$250k	6			Yes	0	No Impact	3
<\$100k	7			No	5	Positive Impact	5

PROJECT INITIATION AND



#### Washington St / Fairfield Ave, I-95 to Water Street

	GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION
Date:	3/14/2012
Type of Project:	Bicycle facility addition, streetscaping, beautification
Project Advocate:	City of Norwalk
Project Limits:	Washington St / Fairfield Ave, I-95 to Water Street
Functional Classification:	Minor Arterial
	City of Norwalk
Description:	This corridor directly connects downtown Norwalk with commuter rail, I-95, and E. Norwalk. It has both residential components (Fairfield Ave) and mixed commercial activities. The street needs better balance between pedestrian, bicycle, and automobile modes, as well as gateway features to better define its sense of place.

This worksheet will be completed by the Proponent and reviewed by City of Norwalk staff in accordance with the City's TMP to identify the need for potential projects.

GOAL 1:	SAFETY				
Criterion 1.1: Crash Ratio*					
Ratio	# Points				
<0.50	0				
0.50 - 0.75	2				
0.75 - 1.00	. 5				
>1.00	, , 7				
Criterion 1.2: Fatal Crashes*					
# of Fatal Crashes	# Points				
, , 0	0				
1 or more	7				
Criterion 1.3: SLOSS List*					
On SLOSS List?	# Points				
No	0				
Yes	7				
Criterion 1.4: Ped/Bike Crashes*					
# of Ped/Bike Crashes	# Points				
0	0				
1 or more	7				
Criterion 1.5: V	ehicular Speeds				
85th % Speeds	# Points				
< Posted Speed	0				
≤ Posted + 5 mph	2				
≤ Posted + 10 mph	5				
> Posted + 10 mph	7				
Goal 1	. Score				
	2				
* "Pursuant to Title 23 United States Code Section 409, this data is not admissible and not discoverable in any federal or state court proceeding, and cannot be considered for any other purpose in any					

GOAL 2: PED/BIKE					
Criterion 2.1 Ped Facilities**					
Condition	# Points				
Good	0				
Fair	1				
Poor	2				
None	3				
Criterion 2.2 Ped Volumes**					
Volumes	# Points				
None	0				
Low/Light	1				
Moderate	2				
High/Heavy	3				
Criterion 2.3 B	ike Facilities**				
Facility	# Points				
Bike Lane	0				
Sharrow	1				
Shoulder	2				
None	3				
Criterion 2.4 Bike Volumes**					
Volumes	# Points				
None	0				
Few	1				
Some	2				
Many	3				
Criterion 2.5: Ped	//Bike Corridor**				
Corridor	# Points				
No	0				
Yes	3				
Criterion 2.6 1	ransit Access				
Access	# Points				
No	0				
Yes	3				
Criterion 2.7 Activ	rity Center Access				
Access	# Points				
No	0				
Yes	3				
Goal 2 Score					

GOAL 3: VEHICLES			
Criterion 3.1: Traffic Volume			
Volume per Lane	# Points		
	0 /		
See Instruction Sheet	2		
	3		
	5		
Criterion 3.2: Hed	avy Truck Volume		
HV% or Volume	# Points		
<2% or <20 hvpd	0		
2% - 5%	2		
5% - 10%	3		
10% or >500 hvpd	5		
Criterion 3.3	: Operations		
Level of Service	# Points		
LOS A/B/C	0		
LOS D	2		
LOS E	3		
LOS F	5 ·		
Criterion 3.4: Pay	ement Condition		
Condition	# Points		
Rating 1	0		
Rating 2	2		
Rating 3	3		
Rating 4	5		
Criterion 3.5 Comme	rcial Area Access***		
Access	# Points		
No	0		
Yes	5		
Criterion 3.6 Ti	ransit Corridor		
Corridor	# Points		
No	0		
Yes	5		
Goal 3	Score		
1			
*** Sources: Urban Renewal/Red Developn			

GOAL 4: S	UPPORT
Criterion 4.1 Pu	blic Support
Support Level	# Points
None	0
Low	2
Moderate	5
High	7
Criterion 4.2: Fu	nding Sources
Funding	# Points
None	. 0
Partial	4
Full	7
Goal 4	Score
5	

PROJECT NEED WORKSHEET
TOTAL SCORE

44



## Washington St / Fairfield Ave, I-95 to Water Street

	GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION
Date:	3/14/2012
Type of Project:	Bicycle facility addition, streetscaping, beautification
Project Limits:	Washington St / Fairfield Ave, I-95 to Water Street
Functional Classification:	Minor Arterial
Jurisdiction:	City of Norwalk
Project Description:	Improvements include a two-way cycle track on Fairfield Ave, plaza redesign, installation of public areas, and pedestrian-oriented streetscaping.

This worksheet will be completed by the Proponent and reviewed by City of Norwalk staff in accordance with the City's TMP to initiate and prioritize potential projects.

Goal 1:	Safety	Goal 2: Ped/Bike		Goal 3: Vehicles		Goal 4: Support	
Improve:	s Safety	Improves Ped Mobi	lity/Connectivity	nnectivity Improves Vehicular Operations		Support by Public	
No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0.
Yes	6	Partially	3	Partially	3	Partially	3
Reduces Vehi	cular Speeds	Fully	6	Fully	. 6	Fully	6
No	0	Improves Bike Mobi	Improves Bike Mobility/Connectivity		Condition Rating	Funding Source	es Identifiea
Yes .	6	No	0	No	0	None	0
		Partially	3	Yes	6	Partial	3
		Fully	6			Full	6

			PROJECT	READINESS			
Project Need Wor	rksheet Competed	Project Plans Comp	lete to Date	Outreach to	o Date		
No	0	None	0	No Outreach	0		-
Yes	6	Conceptual Plans	2	1 Group	2		
		25% Design Plans	4	2 Groups	4		<u> </u>
		75% Design Plans	6	3 Groups	6		
		100% Design Plans	8			140	
e .					PROJECT	READINESS SCORE	: 14

Conceptual Project	Cost Estimate	ROW Impa	cts	Impacts to Environ	mental Resources	Air Quality B	enefits
> \$1,000k	0	Structure Impact	0	Major Impact	0	No	0
\$750 - \$1,000k	1	Major Land Impact	2	Minor Impact	, · · · · · 3	Yes	5
\$500 - \$750k	3	Minor Land Impact	3	No Impact	5	Environmenta	l Justice
\$250 - \$500k	4	No Impact	5	Impacts to Cultural/	Historical Resources	Negative Impact	0
\$100 - \$250k	6			Yes	0	No Impact	3
<\$100k	7	1		No	5	Positive Impact	5



#### West Avenue at Belden Avenue / Mott Avenue

	GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION
Date:	3/19/2012
Type of Project:	Complete Streets-Oriented Intersection and Vicinity Enhancement
Project Advocate:	City of Norwalk
Project Limits:	Intersection of West Avenue at Belden Ave / Mott Ave
Functional Classification:	West Ave/Belden Ave/Wall St - Major Arterial; Mott Ave - Collector
Jurisdiction:	City of Norwalk
Description:	Intersection located in the middle of a civic node and redevelopment potential is strong. Geometric design favors automobiles and detracts from the pedestrian environment. Streets have excess vehicular capacity and should be right-sized to better support all modes: increased walkability, parking access, safety.

This worksheet will be completed by the Proponent and reviewed by City of Norwalk staff in accordance with the City's TMP to identify the need for potential projects.

GOAL 1: SAFETY				
Criterion 1.1:	Crash Ratio*			
Ratio	# Points			
<0.50	0			
0.50 - 0.75	2			
0.75 - 1.00	5			
>1.00	7			
Criterion 1.2:	Fatal Crashes*			
# of Fatal Crashes	# Points			
0	0			
1 or more	7			
Criterion 1.3	: SLOSS List*			
On SLOSS List?	# Points			
No	0			
Yes	7			
Criterion 1.4: Ped/Bike Crashes*				
# of Ped/Bike Crashes	# Points			
0	0			
1 or more	7			
Criterion 1.5: V	ehicular Speeds			
85th % Speeds	# Points			
< Posted Speed	0 ,			
≤ Posted + 5 mph	2			
≤ Posted + 10 mph	5			
> Posted + 10 mph	7			
Goal 1	Score			
1	4			
* "Pursuant to Title 23 United State admissible and not discoverable in a proceeding, and cannot be consider action for damages arising from an	any federal or state court red for any other purpose in any			

nt and reviewed by City of Norwalk staff in according to the GOAL 2: PED/BIKE				
Criterion 2.1 F	ed Facilities**			
Condition	# Points			
Good	0			
Fair	1			
Poor	2			
None	3 2			
Criterion 2.2 Ped Volumes**				
Volumes	# Points			
None	0			
Low/Light	1			
Moderate	2			
High/Heavy	3			
	ike Facilities**			
Facility	# Points			
Bike Lane	0			
Sharrow	1			
Shoulder	2			
None	3			
Volumes	ike Volumes** # Points			
None	0			
90 AC 30 AM 300	1			
Few	2			
Some	3			
Many				
	I/Bike Corridor**			
Corridor	# Points			
No	, 0			
Yes	3			
Criterion 2.6				
Access	# Points			
No	0			
Yes	3			
Criterion 2.7 Activ	ity Center Access			
Access	# Points			
No	0			
Yes	3			
Goal 2	Score			
1	6			

GOAL 3: VEHICLES			
Criterion 3.1: Traffic Volume			
Volume per Lane	# Points		
	0		
See Instruction Sheet	2		
	3		
4 8	5		
Criterion 3.2: Hed	avy Truck Volume		
HV% or Volume	# Points		
<2% or <20 hvpd	0		
2% - 5%	2		
5% - 10%	3		
10% or >500 hvpd	5		
Criterion 3.3	: Operations		
Level of Service	# Points		
LOS A/B/C	0		
LOS D	2		
LOS E	, 3		
LOS F	5		
Criterion 3.4: Pay	ement Condition		
Condition	# Points		
Rating 1	0		
Rating 2	2		
Rating 3	3		
Rating 4	5		
Criterion 3.5 Comme	rcial Area Access***		
Access	# Points		
No	0		
Yes	5		
Criterion 3.6 Ti	ransit Corridor		
Corridor	# Points		
No	0 ,		
Yes	5		
Goal 3	Score		
2			
*** Sources: Urban Renewal/Rea Developm	levelopment Plan Map & Priority nent Site		
Sereioph			

potential projects.  GOAL 4: S	UPPORT
Criterion 4.1 Pu	blic Support
Support Level	# Points
None	0
Low	2
Moderate	5
High	7
Criterion 4.2: Fur	nding Sources
Funding	# Points
None	0
Partial	4
Full	7
Goal 4	Score
5	

PROJECT NEED WORKSHEET
TOTAL SCORE



#### West Avenue at Belden Avenue / Mott Avenue

GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION				
Date:	3/19/2012			
Type of Project:	Complete Streets-Oriented Intersection and Vicinity Enhancement			
Project Limits:	Intersection of West Avenue at Belden Ave / Mott Ave			
Functional Classification:	West Ave/Belden Ave/Wall St - Major Arterial; Mott Ave - Collector			
Jurisdiction:	City of Norwalk			
	Remove high speed slip lanes, reconfigure turn lanes at intersection, perform 4>3 road diet with spot medians and streetscaping. Bolster wayfinding abilities via design, materials, and signage. (Note: Long-term street network modifications have not been included in this assessment.)			

This worksheet will be completed by the Proponent and reviewed by City of Norwalk staff in accordance with the City's TMP to initiate and prioritize potential projects.

Goal 1: Safety Improves Safety		Goal 2: Pe	ed/Bike	Goal 3: Vehicles		Goal 4: Support		
		Improves Ped Mobility/Connectivity		Improves Vehicul	ar Operations	Support by	/ Public	
No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	
Yes	6	Partially	3	Partially	3	Partially	3	
Reduces Veh	icular Speeds	Fully	6	Fully	6	Fully	6	
No	0	Improves Bike Mobility/Connectivity		Improves Pavement Condition Rating		Funding Source	Funding Sources Identified	
Yes	6	No	0	No	0	None	0	
F		Partially	3	Yes	6	Partial	3	
		Fully	6			Full	6	

			PROJECT	READINESS			
Project Need Wo	orksheet Competed	Project Plans Comp	lete to Date	Percentage         Outreach to Date           No Outreach         0           1 Group         2           2 Groups         4           3 Groups         6			
No	0	None	. 0	No Outreach	0		**
Yes	6	Conceptual Plans	2	1 Group	2		
	). (2)	25% Design Plans	4	2 Groups	4		-
		75% Design Plans	6	3 Groups	6		
		100% Design Plans	8		ξ '		
					PROJECT I	READINESS SCORE:	14

Conceptual Project	t Cost Estimate	ROW Impacts		Impacts to Environme	Impacts to Environmental Resources		enefits	
>\$1,000k	0	Structure Impact	0	Major Impact	0	No	0	
\$750 - \$1,000k	1	Major Land Impact	2	Minor Impact	3	Yes	5	
\$500 - \$750k	3	Minor Land Impact	3	No Impact	5	Environmenta	l Justice	
\$250 - \$500k	4	No Impact,	5	Impacts to Cultural/His	torical Resources	Negative Impact	Negative Impact 0	
\$100 - \$250k	6			Yes	0	No Impact	3	
<\$100k	7	1		No	5	Positive Impact	5	



# Flax Hill Road at Taylor Avenue & Flax Hill Road at Lowe Street

	GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION
Date:	3/19/2012
Type of Project:	Multimodal operational improvements at pair of T-Intersections
Project Advocate:	City of Norwalk
Project Limits:	Intersections of Flax Hill Road at Taylor Ave & Flax Hill Road at Lowe Street
Functional Classification:	Flax Hill Rd - Minor Arterial; Taylor Ave/Lowe St - Collector
Jurisdiction:	City of Norwalk
	These intersections are located on a residential local street that parallels I-95 and US-1 to the south, and is also proximate to a commuter rail
Description:	station and large park. Heavy through-vehicle traffic degrades the residential environment.

This worksheet will be completed by the Proponent and reviewed by City of Norwalk staff in accordance with the City's TMP to identify the need for potential projects.

GOAL 1:	SAFETY
Criterion 1.1:	Crash Ratio*
Ratio	# Points
<0.50	0
0.50 - 0.75	2
0.75 - 1.00	5
>1.00	7
Criterion 1.2:	Fatal Crashes*
# of Fatal Crashes	# Points
0	0
1 or more	7
Criterion 1.3	: SLOSS List*
On SLOSS List?	# Points
No	0
Yes	7
Criterion 1.4: Pe	d/Bike Crashes*
# of Ped/Bike Crashes	# Points
0 .	0
1 or more	7
Criterion 1.5: V	ehicular Speeds
85th % Speeds	# Points
< Posted Speed	0
≤ Posted + 5 mph	2
≤ Posted + 10 mph	5
> Posted + 10 mph	7
Goal 1	Score
* "Pursuant to Title 23 United State admissible and not discoverable in proceeding, and cannot be consider action for damages arising from an	s Code Section 409, this data is not any federal or state court red for any other purpose in any

	y of Norwalk staff in ac PED/BIKE
Criterion 2.1 P	ed Facilities**
Condition	# Points
Good	0
Fair	1
Poor	2
None	3
Criterion 2.2 P	ed Volumes**
Volumes	# Points
None	. 0
Low/Light	1
Moderate	2
High/Heavy	3
Criterion 2.3 B	ike Facilities**
Facility	# Points
Bike Lane	0
Sharrow	1
Shoulder	2
None	3
Criterion 2.4 B	ike Volumes**
Volumes	# Points
None	0
Few	1
Some	2
Many	3
Criterion 2.5: Ped	I/Bike Corridor**
Corridor	# Points
No	0
Yes	3
Criterion 2.6	Transit Access
Access	# Points
No ·	0
Yes	3
Criterion 2.7 Activ	vity Center Access
Access	# Points
No	0
Yes	3
Goal 2	Score
1	5

	VEHICLES
Criterion 3.1:	Traffic Volume
Volume per Lane	# Points
,	0
Coolination Chart	2
See Instruction Sheet	3
	5
Criterion 3.2: Hea	avy Truck Volume
HV% or Volume	# Points
<2% or <20 hvpd	0
2% - 5%	2 1
5% - 10%	3
10% or >500 hvpd	5
Criterion 3.3	: Operations
Level of Service	# Points
LOS A/B/C	0
LOS D	2
LOS E	3
LOS F	5
Criterion 3.4: Pav	rement Condition
Condition	# Points
Rating 1	0
Rating 2	2
Rating 3	3
Rating 4	5
Criterion 3.5 Comme	rcial Area Access***
Access	# Points
No	0
Yes	5
Criterion 3.6 Ti	ransit Corridor
Corridor	# Points
No	0
Yes	5
Goal 3	Score
1	
*** Sources: Urban Renewal/Rea Developn	

potentiai projects.	
GOAL 4: S	UPPORT
Criterion 4.1 Pu	ıblic Support
Support Level	# Points
None	0
Low	2
Moderate	5
High	7
Criterion 4.2: Fu	nding Sources
Funding	# Points
None	0
Partial	4
Full	7
Goal 4	Score
5	

PROJECT NEED WORKSHEET
TOTAL SCORE

40



#### Flax Hill Road at Taylor Avenue & Flax Hill Road at Lowe Street

	GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION
Date:	3/19/2012
Type of Project:	Multimodal operational improvements at pair of T-Intersections
Project Limits:	Intersections of Flax Hill Road at Taylor Ave & Flax Hill Road at Lowe Street
Functional Classification:	Flax Hill Rd - Minor Arterial; Taylor Ave/Lowe St - Collector
Jurisdiction:	City of Norwalk
	Excess pavement would be reclaimed to slow through vehicles, install bicycle facilities, and enhance pedestrian crossing opportunities. The Lowe Street intersection is proposed to be re-oriented (to 90 degrees) and a traffic signal or roundabout would be installed at Taylor Ave. Crosswalks and bicycle facilities (lanes, shoulders, or sharrow markings) would be installed to improve access to local destinations.

This worksheet will be completed by the Proponent and reviewed by City of Norwalk staff in accordance with the City's TMP to initiate and prioritize potential projects.

Goal 1:	Safety	Goal 2: Ped/Bike  Improves Ped Mobility/Connectivity		Goal 3: Vehicles		Goal 4: Support	
Improve	s Safety			Improves Vehicu	ar Operations	Support b	y Public
No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0
Yes	6	Partially	3	Partially	3	Partially	3
Reduces Vehi	cular Speeds	Fully	6	Fully	6	Fully	6
No .	0	Improves Bike Mobility/Connectivity		Improves Pavement Condition Rating		Funding Source	es Identified
Yes	6	No	0	No	0	None	0
		Partially	3	Yes	6	Partial	3
		Fully	6			Full	6

			PROJECT	READINESS		
Project Need Wor	rksheet Competed	Project Plans Compl	lete to Date	Outreach to	o Date	
No	0	None	0	No Outreach	0	
Yes	6	Conceptual Plans	2	1 Group	2	
		25% Design Plans	4	2 Groups	4	* .
		75% Design Plans	6	3 Groups	6	
		100% Design Plans	8			
					PROJECT I	READINESS SCORE: 14

Benefits	Air Quality E	Impacts to Environmental Resources		ROW Impacts		Conceptual Project Cost Estimate	
, 0	No No	0	Major Impact	0	Structure Impact	0	>\$1,000k
5	Yes	3	Minor Impact	2	Major Land Impact	1	5750 - \$1,000k
al Justice	Environment	5	No Impact	3	Minor Land Impact	3	\$500 - \$750k
0	Negative Impact	torical Resources	Impacts to Cultural/His	5	No Impact	4	\$250 - \$500k
3	No Impact	0	Yes			6	\$100 - \$250k
5	Positive Impact	5	No			7	<\$100k

PROJECT INITIATION AND



#### Flax Hill Road at Rowayton Avenue & Flax Hill Road at Richards Avenue

GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION					
Date:	3/19/2012				
Type of Project:	Multimodal Intersection Improvement				
Project Advocate:	City of Norwalk				
Project Limits:	Intersections of Flax Hill Road at Rowayton Avenue & Flax Hill Road at Richards Avenue				
Functional Classification:	Flax Hill Rd/Rowayton Ave/Richards Ave - Minor Arterial				
SO NOT THE REPORT OF THE PARTY	City of Norwalk				
Description:	This pair of intersections is located on a residential local street that parallels I-95 and US-1 to the south. Richards Avenue provides access to the retail corridor along Route 1 located approximately 1/2 mile to the north. A significant amount of vehicular through traffic competes with local bicycle and pedestrain traffic. Current intersection geometry (skew triangles) encourages speeding and angles leads to driver confusion.				

This worksheet will be completed by the Proponent and reviewed by City of Norwalk staff in accordance with the City's TMP to identify the need for potential projects.

GOAL 1: SAFETY				
Criterion 1.1:	Crash Ratio*			
Ratio	# Points			
<0.50	0			
0.50 - 0.75	2			
0.75 - 1.00	5			
>1.00	7			
Criterion 1.2: Fatal Crashes*				
# of Fatal Crashes	# Points			
0	0			
1 or more	7			
Criterion 1.3: SLOSS List*				
On SLOSS List?	# Points			
No	0			
Yes	7			
Criterion 1.4: Ped/Bike Crashes*				
# of Ped/Bike Crashes	# Points			
0	0			
1 or more	7			
Criterion 1.5: V	ehicular Speeds			
85th % Speeds	# Points			
< Posted Speed	0			
≤ Posted + 5 mph	2 .			
≤ Posted + 10 mph	5			
> Posted + 10 mph	7			
Goal 1	Score			
	5			
* "Pursuant to Title 23 United States Code Section 409, this data is no admissible and not discoverable in any federal or state court proceeding, and cannot be considered for any other purpose in any				

GOAL 2:	PED/BIKE			
Criterion 2.1 Ped Facilities**				
Condition	# Points			
Good	0			
Fair	1			
Poor	2			
None	3			
Criterion 2.2 Ped Volumes**				
Volumes	# Points			
None	0			
Low/Light	1			
Moderate	2			
High/Heavy	3			
Criterion 2.3 B	ike Facilities**			
Facility	# Points			
Bike Lane	0			
Sharrow	1			
Shoulder	2			
None	3			
Criterion 2.4 Bike Volumes**				
Volumes	# Points			
None	0			
Few	1			
Some	2			
Many	3			
Criterion 2.5: Ped	I/Bike Corridor**			
Corridor	# Points			
No	0			
Yes	3			
Criterion 2.6	Transit Access			
Access	# Points			
No	0			
Yes	3			
Criterion 2.7 Activ	vity Center Access			
Access	# Points			
No	0			
Yes	3			
Goal 2 Score				

GOAL 3: VEHICLES					
Criterion 3.1: Traffic Volume					
Volume per Lane	# Points				
	0				
See Instruction Sheet	2				
	3				
	5				
Criterion 3.2: Heavy Truck Volume					
HV% or Volume	# Points				
<2% or <20 hvpd	0				
2% - 5%	2				
5% - 10%	3				
10% or >500 hvpd	5				
Criterion 3.3: Operations					
Level of Service	# Points				
LOS A/B/C	0				
LOS D	2				
LOS E	3				
LOS F	5				
Criterion 3.4: Pavement Condition					
Condition	# Points				
Rating 1	0				
Rating 2	2				
Rating 3	3				
Rating 4	5				
Criterion 3.5 Comme	rcial Area Access***				
Access	# Points				
No	0				
Yes	5				
Criterion 3.6 To	ransit Corridor				
Corridor	# Points				
No	0				
Yes	5				
Goal 3	Score				
19					
*** Sources: Urban Renewal/Red Developn					

GOAL 4: S	UPPORT			
Criterion 4.1 Public Support				
Support Level	# Points			
None	0			
Low	2			
Moderate	5			
High	7			
Criterion 4.2: Funding Sources				
Funding	# Points			
None	0			
Partial	4			
Full	7			
Goal 4	Score			
7				

PROJECT NEED WORKSHEET
TOTAL SCORE

41



# Flax Hill Road at Rowayton Avenue & Flax Hill Road at Richards Avenue

GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION				
Date:	3/19/2012			
Type of Project:	Multimodal Intersection Improvement			
Project Limits:	Intersections of Flax Hill Road at Rowayton Avenue & Flax Hill Road at Richards Avenue			
Functional Classification:	Flax Hill Rd/Rowayton Ave/Richards Ave - Minor Arterial			
Jurisdiction:	City of Norwalk			
	To reduce traffic speeds, a combination of intersection realignments or replacement with roundabouts is proposed. Crosswalks and bicycle accommodations would be installed. Possible bicycle facility types include shared lanes (with sharrow markings) or dedicated bike lanes. The latter would require street widening along Flax Hill Road in this area.			

This worksheet will be completed by the Proponent and reviewed by City of Norwalk staff in accordance with the City's TMP to initiate and prioritize potential projects.

Goal 1: Safety Improves Safety		Goal 2: Ped/Bike  Improves Ped Mobility/Connectivity		Goal 3: V	Goal 3: Vehicles  Improves Vehicular Operations		Goal 4: Support  Support by Public	
				Improves Vehicu				
No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	
Yes	6	Partially	3	Partially	3	Partially	3	
Reduces Vehicular Speeds		Fully	6	Fully	6	Fully	6	
No	0	Improves Bike Mobility/Connectivity		Improves Pavement	Condition Rating	Funding Source	es Identified	
Yes	6	No ·	0	No	0	None	0	
		Partially	, 3	Yes	6	Partial	3	
		Fully	6	* 1		Full	- 6	

PROJECT READINESS						
Project Need Worksheet Competed		Project Plans Complete to Date		Outreach to Date		
No	0	None	0	No Outreach	0	
Yes	6	Conceptual Plans	2	1 Group	2	
		25% Design Plans	4	2 Groups	4	
		75% Design Plans	6	3 Groups	6	
		100% Design Plans	8		-	
					PROJECT R	EADINESS SCORE: 14

/ Benefits	Air Quality	nental Resources	Impacts to Environm	cts	ROW Impa	t Cost Estimate	Conceptual Project
0	No	0	Major Impact	0	Structure Impact	0	>\$1,000k
5	Yes	3	Minor Impact	2	Major Land Impact	1	\$750 - \$1,000k
ntal Justice	Environmen	5	No Impact	3	Minor Land Impact	3	\$500 - \$750k
0	Negative Impact	istorical Resources	Impacts to Cultural/Hi	5	No Impact	4	\$250 - \$500k
3	No Impact	0	Yes	N.	3	6	\$100 - \$250k
5	Positive Impact	5	No		-	7	<\$100k



## Newtown Avenue (Route 53) at Dry Hill Road and Murray Street

	GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION				
Date:	3/19/2012				
Type of Project:	Complete Streets-Oriented Intersection and Vicinity Enhancement				
Project Advocate:	City of Norwalk				
Project Limits:	Intersection of Newtown Avenue (Route 53) at Dry Hill Road and Murray Street				
Functional Classification:	Route 53 - State Route; Dry Hill Road/Murray Street - Collector				
	Route 53 - CT DOT; Dry Hill Road/Murray Street - City of Norwalk				
Description:	Dry Hill Road and Murray Street intersect Newtown Avenue in an atypical "Y" configuration with excess pavement, adding complexity for drivers and pedestrians. The surrounding area is predominantly single family residential. Objectives for intersection improvement are to enhance multimodal safety while maintaining character and scale with the surrounding area.				

This worksheet will be completed by the Proponent and reviewed by City of Norwalk staff in accordance with the City's TMP to identify the need for potential projects.

GOAL 1: SAFETY				
Criterion 1.1:	Crash Ratio*			
Ratio	# Points			
<0.50	0			
0.50 - 0.75	· 2			
0.75 - 1.00	5			
>1.00	7			
Criterion 1.2:	Fatal Crashes*			
# of Fatal Crashes	# Points			
0	0			
1 or more	7			
Criterion 1.3: SLOSS List*				
On SLOSS List?	# Points			
No	0			
Yes	7			
Criterion 1.4: Ped/Bike Crashes*				
# of Ped/Bike Crashes	# Points			
0	0			
1 or more	7			
Criterion 1.5: V	ehicular Speeds			
85th % Speeds	# Points			
< Posted Speed	0			
≤ Posted + 5 mph	2			
≤ Posted + 10 mph	5			
> Posted + 10 mph	7			
Goal 1	. Score			
	)			
"Pursuant to Title 23 United States Code Section 409, this data is not admissible and not discoverable in any federal or state court proceeding, and cannot be considered for any other purpose in any action for damages arising from an accurrence at a location				

GOAL 2: PED/BIKE					
Criterion 2.1 Ped Facilities**					
Condition	# Points				
Good	0				
Fair	1				
Poor	2				
None	3				
Criterion 2.2 Ped Volumes**					
Volumes	# Points				
None	. 0				
Low/Light	1				
Moderate	2				
High/Heavy	3				
Criterion 2.3 Bike Facilities**					
Facility	# Points				
Bike Lane	0				
Sharrow	1				
Shoulder	2				
None	3				
Criterion 2.4 Bike Volumes**					
Volumes	# Points				
None	0				
Few	1				
Some	2				
Many	. 3				
Criterion 2.5: Ped	I/Bike Corridor**				
Corridor	# Points				
No	0				
Yes	3				
Criterion 2.6	Transit Access				
Access	# Points				
No	0				
Yes	3				
Criterion 2.7 Activ	vity Center Access				
Access	# Points				
No	0				
Yes	3				
Goal 2 Score					
10					

GOAL 3: VEHICLES			
Criterion 3.1: Traffic Volume			
Volume per Lane	# Points		
	0		
	2		
See Instruction Sheet	3		
* 1	5		
Criterion 3.2: Hed	avy Truck Volume		
HV% or Volume	# Points		
<2% or <20 hvpd	. 0		
2% - 5%	2		
5% - 10%	3		
10% or >500 hvpd	5		
Criterion 3.3	: Operations		
Level of Service	# Points		
LOS A/B/C	0 ,		
LOS D	2		
LOS E	3		
LOS F	5		
Criterion 3.4: Pay	rement Condition		
Condition	# Points		
Rating 1	0		
Rating 2	2		
Rating 3	.3		
Rating 4	. 5		
Criterion 3.5 Comme	rcial Area Access***		
Access	# Points		
No	0		
Yes	5		
Criterion 3.6 T	ransit Corridor		
Corridor	# Points		
No	0		
Yes	5		
Goal 3	Score		
	2		
*** Sources: Urban Renewal/Red Develops	development Plan Map & Priority nent Site		

GOAL 4: SUPPORT				
Criterion 4.1 Public Support				
Support Level	# Points			
None	0			
Low	2			
Moderate	5			
High	7 ,			
Criterion 4.2: Fu	nding Sources			
Funding	# Points			
None	0			
Partial	4			
Full	7			
	A STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER.			
Goal 4	Score			

PROJECT NEED WORKSHEET TOTAL SCORE

33



## Newtown Avenue (Route 53) at Dry Hill Road and Murray Street

	GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION
Date:	3/19/2012
Type of Project:	Complete Streets-Oriented Intersection and Vicinity Enhancement
Project Limits:	Intersection of Newtown Avenue (Route 53) at Dry Hill Road and Murray Street
Functional Classification:	Route 53 - State Route; Dry Hill Road/Murray Street - Collector
Jurisdiction:	Route 53 - CT DOT; Dry Hill Road/Murray Street - City of Norwalk
	There are two options: 1) creating a "square" to separate inbound and outbound traffic (similar function to a roundabout), or 2) teeing Dry Hill Rd into Murray Street east of the Route 53 intersection. An additional turn lane would be required on Route 53 in Option #2.

This worksheet will be completed by the Proponent and reviewed by City of Norwalk staff in accordance with the City's TMP to initiate and prioritize potential projects.

Goal 1: Safety Improves Safety		Goal 2: Ped/Bike  Improves Ped Mobility/Connectivity		Goal 3: V	Goal 3: Vehicles		Goal 4: Support	
				Improves Vehicular Operations		Support by Public		
No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0	
Yes	6	Partially	3	Partially	3	Partially	3	
Reduces Vehi	cular Speeds	Fully	. 6	Fully	6	Fully	6	
No	0	Improves Bike Mob	ility/Connectivity	Improves Pavement	Condition Rating	Funding Source	es Identified	
Yes	6	No	0	No	0	None	0	
.8		Partially	3	Yes	6 , 4	Partial	3	
		Fully	6			Full	6	

			PROJECT	READINESS		
Project Need Wo	orksheet Competed	Project Plans Compl	ete to Date	Outreach to	o Date	
No ·	0	None	0	No Outreach	0	
Yes	6	Conceptual Plans	2	1 Group	2	
		25% Design Plans	4	2 Groups	4	
		75% Design Plans	6	3 Groups	6	
		100% Design Plans	8			<del>7</del> 
				,	PROJECT	READINESS SCORE: 14

Conceptual Proje	ct Cost Estimate	ROW Impa	cts	Impacts to Environme	ental Resources	Air Quality B	enefits
>\$1,000k	0	Structure Impact	0	Major Impact	0	No	0
\$750 - \$1,000k	1	Major Land Impact	2	Minor Impact	3	Yes	5
\$500 - \$750k	3	Minor Land Impact	3	No Impact	5	Environmenta	ıl Justice
\$250 - \$500k	4	No Impact	5	Impacts to Cultural/His	torical Resources	Negative Impact	0
\$100 - \$250k	6		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Yes	0	No Impact	3
<\$100k	7	1		Nọ	5	Positive Impact	5



#### New Canaan Avenue (Route 123) at Bartlett Avenue/Ells Street

Date: 3/19/2012  Type of Project: Multimodal Intersection Improvement  Project Advocate: City of Norwalk  Project Limits: Intersection of New Canaan Avenue (Route 123) at Bartlett Avenue/Ells Street  Functional Classification: Route 123 - State Route; Bartlett Ave / Collector; Ells Street - Local		GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION
Project Advocate: City of Norwalk Project Limits: Intersection of New Canaan Avenue (Route 123) at Bartlett Avenue/Ells Street Functional Classification: Route 123 - State Route; Bartlett Ave - Collector; Ells Street - Local	Date	3/19/2012
Project Limits: Intersection of New Canaan Avenue (Route 123) at Bartlett Avenue/Ells Street  Functional Classification: Route 123 - State Route; Bartlett Ave - Collector; Ells Street - Local	Type of Project:	Multimodal Intersection Improvement
Functional Classification: Route 123 - State Route; Bartlett Ave - Collector; Ells Street - Local	Project Advocate:	City of Norwalk
	Project Limits:	Intersection of New Canaan Avenue (Route 123) at Bartlett Avenue/Ells Street
Jurisdiction: Route 123 - CT DOT: Bartlett Ave/Ells Street - City of Norwalk	Functional Classification:	Route 123 - State Route; Bartlett Ave - Collector; Ells Street - Local
Julisdiction Route 125 - Cl Doll, Burdett Wel Elis Street - City of Norwalk	Jurisdiction:	Route 123 - CT DOT; Bartlett Ave/Ells Street - City of Norwalk
Needs/Issues/Project New Canaan Avenue, Bartlett Avenue, and Ells Street intersect at an awkward five-legged, signalized intersection. The configuration inhibits so	Needs/Issues/Project	New Canaan Avenue, Bartlett Avenue, and Ells Street intersect at an awkward five-legged, signalized intersection. The configuration inhibits safe
Description: pedestrian and automobile movement. There are no bicycle facilities at this intersection.	Description:	pedestrian and automobile movement. There are no bicycle facilities at this intersection.

This worksheet will be completed by the Proponent and reviewed by City of Norwalk staff in accordance with the City's TMP to identify the need for potential projects.

GOAL 1: SAFETY			
Criterion 1.1:	Crash Ratio*		
Ratio	# Points		
<0.50	0		
0.50 - 0.75	2		
0.75 - 1.00	5		
>1.00	7		
Criterion 1.2: Fatal Crashes*			
# of Fatal Crashes	# Points		
0	0		
1 or more	7		
Criterion 1.3	: SLOSS List*		
On SLOSS List?	# Points		
No	0		
Yes	7		
Criterion 1.4: Pe	d/Bike Crashes*		
# of Ped/Bike Crashes	# Points		
. 0	0		
1 or more	7		
Criterion 1.5: V	ehicular Speeds		
85th % Speeds	# Points		
< Posted Speed	. 0		
≤ Posted + 5 mph	2		
≤ Posted + 10 mph	5		
> Posted + 10 mph	7		
Goal 1	Score		
1	0		
* "Pursuant to Title 23 United States Code Section 409, this data is not admissible and not discoverable in any federal or state court proceeding, and cannot be considered for any other purpose in any action for domages arising from an occurrence at a location.			

GOAL 2: PED/BIKE		
Criterion 2.1 P	ed Facilities**	
Condition	# Points	
Good	0	
Fair	1	
Poor	2	
None	3	
Criterion 2.2 P	ed Volumes**	
Volumes	# Points	
None	0	
Low/Light	1	
Moderate	2	
High/Heavy	3	
Criterion 2.3 B	ike Facilities**	
Facility	# Points	
Bike Lane	0	
Sharrow	1	
Shoulder	2	
None	3	
Criterion 2.4 B	ike Volumes**	
Volumes	# Points	
None	0	
. Few	1	
Some	2	
Many	3	
Criterion 2.5: Ped	I/Bike Corridor**	
Corridor	# Points	
No	0	
Yes	3	
Criterion 2.6 1	Transit Access	
Access	# Points	
No	0	
Yes	3	
Criterion 2.7 Activ	vity Center Access	
Access	# Points	
No	0	
Yes	3	
Goal 2	Score	

\*\* Source: Norwalk Pedestrian and Bikeway Transportation Plan

GOAL 3: VEHICLES				
Criterion 3.1: Traffic Volume				
Volume per Lane	# Points			
	0			
6 1 1 1 1 6	2			
See Instruction Sheet	3			
8	5			
Criterion 3.2: Hed	avy Truck Volume			
HV% or Volume	# Points			
<2% or <20 hvpd	0			
2% - 5%	2			
5% - 10%	3			
10% or >500 hvpd	. 5			
Criterion 3.3	: Operations			
Level of Service	# Points			
LOS A/B/C	0			
LOS D	2			
LOS E	3			
LOS F	5			
Criterion 3.4: Pav	ement Condition			
Condition	# Points			
Rating 1	0			
Rating 2	2			
Rating 3	3			
Rating 4	5			
Criterion 3.5 Comme	rcial Area Access***			
Access	# Points			
No	0			
Yes	5			
Criterion 3.6 Ti	ransit Corridor			
Corridor	# Points			
No	0			
Yes	5			
Goal 3	Score			
2				
*** Sources: Urban Renewal/Red Developn				

octentiai projects.					
GOAL 4: SUPPORT					
Criterion 4.1 Public Support					
# Points					
0					
2					
5					
7					
ding Sources					
# Points					
0					
4					
, , , 7					
Score					

PROJECT NEED WORKSHEET TOTAL SCORE

51



# New Canaan Avenue (Route 123) at Bartlett Avenue/Ells Street

		GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION
Γ	Date:	3/19/2012
Γ	Type of Project:	Multimodal Intersection Improvement
Γ	Project Limits:	Intersection of New Canaan Avenue (Route 123) at Bartlett Avenue/Ells Street
Γ	Functional Classification:	Route 123 - State Route; Bartlett Ave - Collector; Ells Street - Local
Γ		Route 123 - CT DOT; Bartlett Ave/Ells Street - City of Norwalk
		Improvements realign each leg of Bartlett Avenue and Ells Street to intersect New Canaan Avenute at traditional 90-degree angles. The offset between Ells Street and the southern leg of Bartlett Avenue is increased to provide better vehicular operations. Pedestrian safety is improved though better geometry and additional crosswalks. Bicycle facilities are proposed.

This worksheet will be completed by the Proponent and reviewed by City of Norwalk staff in accordance with the City's TMP to initiate and prioritize potential projects.

Goal 1: Safety		Goal 2: Ped/Bike		Goal 3: Vehicles		Goal 4: Support	
Improve	s Safety	Improves Ped Mob	ility/Connectivity	Improves Vehicular Operations		Support by Public	
No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0
Yes	6	Partially	3	Partially	3	Partially	3
Reduces Vehi	icular Speeds	Fully	6	Fully	6	Fully 6	
No	0	Improves Bike Mob	ility/Connectivity	Improves Pavement Condition Rating		Funding Sources Identifie	
Yes	6	No	0	No	0	None	0
	2	Partially	3	Yes	6	Partial.	3
		Fully	6			Full	6

PROJECT ADDRESSES NEEDS/ISSUES SCORE:

			PROJECT	READINESS		
Project Need Worksheet Competed		Project Plans Complete to Date		Outreach	to Date	-
No	0	None	0	No Outreach	0	
Yes	6	Conceptual Plans	2	1 Group	2	
2	1.0	25% Design Plans	4	2 Groups	4	
		75% Design Plans	6	3 Groups	6	
		100% Design Plans	8			
					PROJECT I	READINESS SCORE: 14

Conceptual Project Cost Estimate		ROW Impacts		Impacts to Environr	Impacts to Environmental Resources		Air Quality Benefits	
>\$1,000k	0	Structure Impact	0	Major Impact	0	No	0	
\$750 - \$1,000k	1	Major Land Impact	2	Minor Impact	3	Yes	5	
\$500 - \$750k	3	Minor Land Impact	3	No Impact	5	Environmenta	l Justice	
\$250 - \$500k	4	No Impact	. 5	Impacts to Cultural/H	listorical Resources	Negative Impact	0	
\$100 - \$250k	6			Yes	. 0	No Impact	3	
<\$100k	7	1		No	5	Positive Impact	5	



#### **Project Location**

		GENERAL PROJ	ECT INFORMATION	N	
Date:			w e	x 2 1 x	
Type of Project:		*			
Project Advocate:		ži.			-
Project Limits:	9			, '	
Functional Classification:			* * *		
Jurisdiction:				,	
Needs/Issues/Project Description:					

This worksheet will be completed by the Proponent and reviewed by City of Norwalk staff in accordance with the City's TMP to identify the need for potential projects.

This worksheet will be	completed by the Propo
GOAL 1:	SAFETY
Criterion 1.1:	Crash Ratio*
Ratio	# Points
<0.50	0
0.50 - 0.75	2
0.75 - 1.00	5
>1.00	7
Criterion 1.2: I	Fatal Crashes*
# of Fatal Crashes	# Points
0	0
1 or more	7
Criterion 1.3	: SLOSS List*
On SLOSS List?	# Points
No	0
Yes	7
Criterion 1.4: Pe	d/Bike Crashes*
# of Ped/Bike Crashes	# Points
0	0
1 or more	7
Criterion 1.5: V	ehicular Speeds
85th % Speeds	# Points
< Posted Speed	0
≤ Posted + 5 mph	2 ,
≤ Posted + 10 mph	5
> Posted + 10 mph	7
Goal 1	Score
3	5
* "Pursuant to Title 23 United State admissible and not discoverable in a proceeding, and cannot be consider action for damages arising from an	any federal or state court ed for any other purpose in any

GOAL 2:	PED/BIKE
Criterion 2.1 P	Ped Facilities**
Condition	# Points
Good	0
Fair	1
Poor	2
None	3
Criterion 2.2 P	ed Volumes**
Volumes	# Points
None	0
Low/Light	1
Moderate	2
High/Heavy	3
Criterion 2.3 B	ike Facilities**
Facility	# Points
Bike Lane	0
Sharrow	1
Shoulder	2
None	3
Criterion 2.4 B	ike Volumes**
Volumes	# Points
None	0
Few	1
Some	2
Many	3
Criterion 2.5: Pea	I/Bike Corridor**
Corridor	# Points
No	0
Yes	3
Criterion 2.6 1	Transit Access
Access	# Points
No	0
Yes	3
Criterion 2.7 Activ	vity Center Access
Access	# Points
No	0
Yes	3
Goal 2	Score
2	1

	VEHICLES
Criterion 3.1:	Traffic Volume
Volume per Lane	# Points
	0
Cara landamentina Chard	2
See Instruction Sheet	3
5	5
Criterion 3.2: Hed	avy Truck Volume
HV% or Volume	# Points
<2% or <20 hvpd	0
2% - 5%	2
5% - 10%	3
10% or >500 hvpd	5
Criterion 3.3	: Operations
Level of Service	# Points
LOS A/B/C	0
LOS D	2
LOS E	3
LOS F	5
Criterion 3.4: Pav	ement Condition
Condition	# Points
Rating 1	0
Rating 2	2
Rating 3	3
Rating 4	5
Criterion 3.5 Comme	rcial Area Access***
Access	# Points
No	0
Yes	5
Criterion 3.6 Ti	ransit Corridor
Corridor	# Points
No	0
Yes	5
Goal 3	Score
3	
*** Sources: Urban Renewal/Rea Developn	

potentiai projects.	
GOAL 4: S	SUPPORT
Criterion 4.1 P	ublic Support
Support Level	# Points
None	0
Low	2
Moderate	5
High	7
Criterion 4.2: Fu	unding Sources
Funding	# Points
None	0
Partial	4
Full	7.
Goal 4	Score
1	4

PROJECT NEED WORKSHEET TOTAL SCORE

100



## **Project Location**

	GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION
Date:	
Type of Project:	
Project Limits:	
Functional Classification:	
Jurisdiction:	
Project Description:	

This worksheet will be completed by the Proponent and reviewed by City of Norwalk staff in accordance with the City's TMP to initiate and prioritize potential projects.

Goal 1	L: Safety	Goal 2: P	ed/Bike	Goal 3: Vehicles  Improves Vehicular Operations		Goal 4: Support  Support by Public	
Improv	es Safety	Improves Ped Mobi	lity/Connectivity				
No	0	No	0	No	0	No	0
Yes	6	Partially	3	Partially	3	Partially	3
Reduces Vel	hicular Speeds	Fully	6	Fully	6	Fully	6
No	0	Improves Bike Mobility/Connectivity		Improves Pavement Condition Rating		Fully 6  Funding Sources Identified	
Yes	6	No	0	No	0	No Partially Fully	0
		Partially	3	Yes	6	Partial	3
		Fully	6		,	Full	6

			PROJECT	READINESS		
Project Need Worksheet Competed		Project Plans Complete to Date		Outreach	to Date	
No	0 ,	None	0	No Outreach	0	
Yes	. 6	Conceptual Plans	2	1 Group	2	
		25% Design Plans	4	2 Groups	4	
		75% Design Plans	6	3 Groups	, 6	
		100% Design Plans	8			-
		p = 0	*	•	PROJECT	READINESS SCORE: 20

Conceptual Project Cost Estimate		ROW Impacts		Impacts to Environmental Resources		Air Quality Benefits	
>\$1,000k	0	Structure Impact	0	Major Impact	0	No	0
\$750 - \$1,000k	1	Major Land Impact	2	Minor Impact	3	Yes	5
\$500 - \$750k	3	Minor Land Impact	3	No Impact	5	Environmenta	ıl Justice
\$250 - \$500k	4	No Impact	5	Impacts to Cultural/His	torical Resources	Negative Impact	0
\$100 - \$250k	6		ř.	Yes	0	No Impact	3
<\$100k	7	1		No	5	Positive Impact	5



#### MEMORANDUM

TO:

Robert Nagi P.E.

FROM:

Ted J DeSantos P.E. P.T.O.E.

DATE:

December 9, 2011

RE:

Norwalk Traffic Management Plan Cost Estimates

This memo is meant to introduce the cost estimates for the 7 demonstration corridors/intersections for the Norwalk TMP project. We would like to make you aware of the following assumptions used during the estimating process:

- Estimates utilized the standard CTDOT cost estimating approach and pricing including inflation markups and estimates for contingencies
- Estimates were performed to the limits of the concept design plan only. Bicycle corridor and/or additional geometric improvements outside the limits of the concept plans have not been included in these estimates.
- Residential concepts included basic streetscape elements such as sidewalks, bicycle facilities and greenspace, while urban locations received more robust streetscape improvements including street furniture, lighting, pavers, plazas, artwork and plantings.
- Minor grading improvements are included within the items as per standard CTDOT cost estimating approach.
- Full depth reconstruction was assumed in locations with proposed grade changes or locations with poor pavement conditions.
- Drainage modifications and improvements were included within the limits of the concept plans based on a cursory review of the existing and proposed grading.
- Cost estimates for the West Rocks Road/France Street/Park Street Corridor has been performed on a cost per linear foot basis, as a concept plan and detailed complete streets improvements have not been completely developed.



ORDER OF MAGNITUDE OPINION OF COST			
PROJECT: Norwalk Traffic Management Plan	DATE PREPARED: 12/9/11		
LOCATION: Norwalk, CT	BASIS: ConnDOT 2011		
DESCRIPTION: Flax Hill Road at Rowayton Avenue and	ESTIMATOR: CDY	CHECKED BY: MSR	
Richards Avenue Option #1	PROJECT NO.: 20100265.A10		

cost estimator.				
Item	Est. Quant.	Unit	Unit Price	Total
RO	DADWAY ITEMS			9
Earth Excavation	3070	c.y.	\$25.00	\$76,750
Trench Excavation 0-4' Deep	75	c.y.	\$15.00	\$1,125
Trench Excavation 0-10' Deep	130	c.y.	\$25.00	\$3,250
Subbase	340	c.y.	\$45.00	\$15,300
Sedimentation Control System	1800	l.f.	\$4.00	\$7,200
Processed Aggregate Base	230	c.y.	\$45.00	\$10,350
Milling of Bituminous Concrete Pavement	885	s.y.	\$7.50	\$6,638
H.M.A.	1040	ton	\$100.00	\$104,000
Bedding Material	30	c.y.	\$50.00	\$1,500
15" R.C.P.	400	l.f.	\$55.00	\$22,000
Simple Catch Basin	6	ea.	\$3,000.00	\$18,000
Structure Resetting (Storm and Sanitary)	10	ea.	\$1,000.00	\$10,000
Bituminous Concrete Lip Curbing	1450	I.f.	\$7.00	\$10,150
Granite Curbing	640	l.f.	\$45.00	\$28,800
Concrete Sidewalk	7050	s.f.	\$12.50	\$88,125
Pavers (Sidewalk/Crosswalk)	4450	s.f.	\$22.00	\$97,900
Bituminous Concrete Driveway - Residential	85	s.y.	\$36.00	\$3,060
Furnishing and Placing Topsoil	2875	s.y.	\$6.50	\$18,688
Turf Establishment	2875	s.y.	\$2.50	\$7,188
Landscaping - Trees	10	ea.	\$750.00	\$7,500
Landscaping - Shrubs/Bushes	50	ea.	\$60.00	\$3,000
Roadway Lighting - Ornamental Roadway	900	l.f.	\$130.00	\$117,000
	RAFFIC ITEMS	- ×		
Trafficmen - Town (City) Police Officer	640	hr.	\$75.00	\$48,000
Trafficmen - Uniformed Flagger	640	hr.	\$55.00	\$35,200
Contract Items			SUBTOTAL	\$740,700
Clearing and Grubbing Roadway		2.0%		\$14,800
M & P of Traffic		4.0%		\$29,600
Mobilization		7.5%		\$55,600
Construction Staking		1.0%		\$7,400
Minor Items		25.0%		\$185,200
Inflation Factor	10	5.0%	9	\$650,000
		CONSTRU	ICTION TOTALS	\$1,680,000
ENGINEERING		8.0%		\$134,000
CONTINGENCIES		10.0%		\$168,000
INCIDENTALS		25.0%		\$420,000
UTILITIES		2.0%		\$34,000
RIGHTS OF WAY				\$0
		TOTAL ES	TIMATED COST	\$2,436,000



ORDER	OF MAGNITUDE	OPINION OF COST

The second secon	The second secon			
PROJECT: Norwalk Traffic Management Plan	DATE PREPARED: 12/9/11			
LOCATION: Norwalk, CT	BASIS: ConnDOT 2011			
DESCRIPTION: Flax Hill Road at Rowayton Avenue and	ESTIMATOR: CDY	CHECKED BY: MSR		
Richards Avenue Option #2	PROJECT NO.: 20100265.A10			

Item	Est. Quant.	Unit	Unit Price	Total
ROAI	DWAY ITEMS			
Earth Excavation	225	c.y.	\$50.00	\$11,250
Trench Excavation 0-4' Deep	35	c.y.	\$15.00	\$525
Trench Excavation 0-10' Deep	60	c.y.	\$25.00	\$1,500
Sedimentation Control System	1200	l.f.	\$4.00	\$4,800
Milling of Bituminous Concrete Pavement	1290	s.y.	\$7.50	\$9,675
H.M.A.	160	ton	\$110.00	\$17,600
Bedding Material	6	c.y.	\$50.00	\$300
15" R.C.P.	75	l.f.	\$55.00	\$4,125
Simple Catch Basin	2	ea.	\$3,000.00	\$6,000
Structure Resetting (Storm and Sanitary)	5	ea.	\$1,000.00	\$5,000
Bituminous Concrete Lip Curbing	675	l.f.	\$7.00	\$4,725
Concrete Sidewalk	3300	s.f.	\$12.50	\$41,250
Pavers (Sidewalk/Crosswalk)	880	s.f.	\$22.00	\$19,360
Furnishing and Placing Topsoil	1000	s.y.	\$6.50	\$6,500
Turf Establishment	1000	s.y.	\$2.50	\$2,500
Landscaping - Trees	10	ea.	\$750.00	\$7,500
Landscaping - Shrubs/Bushes	20	ea.	\$60.00	\$1,200
Roadway Lighting - Ornamental Roadway	100	l.f.	\$130.00	\$13,000
TRA	FFIC ITEMS			
Trafficmen - Town (City) Police Officer	320	hr.	\$75.00	\$24,000
Trafficmen - Uniformed Flagger	320	hr.	\$55.00	\$17,600
Contract Items		-	SUBTOTAL	\$198,400
Clearing and Grubbing Roadway		2.0%		\$4,000
M & P of Traffic		4.0%		\$7,900
Mobilization		7.5%		\$14,900
Construction Staking		1.0%		\$2,000
Minor Items		25.0%		\$49,600
Inflation Factor	10	5.0%		\$174,000
		CONSTRU	CTION TOTALS	\$450,000
ENGINEERING		8.0%	* *	\$36,000
CONTINGENCIES		10.0%		\$45,000
INCIDENTALS		30.0%		\$135,000
UTILITIES		2.0%		\$9,000
RIGHTS OF WAY			*	\$0
		TOTAL ES	TIMATED COST	\$675,000



OBDEB	OF MA	<b>GNITUDE</b>	OPINION	OF COST
UNDER	OI. MIV	CIVILLODE	OLIMICIA	OI COSI

ORDER OF MAIOTREE STATES OF STATES			
PROJECT: Norwalk Traffic Management Plan	DATE PREPARED: 12/9/11		
LOCATION: Norwalk, CT	BASIS: ConnDOT 2011		
DESCRIPTION: Route 123 at Bartlett Avenue and	ESTIMATOR: CDY	CHECKED BY: MSR	
Ells Street	PROJECT NO.: 20100265.A10		

Item	Est. Quant.	Unit	Unit Price	Total
	DADWAY ITEMS			
Earth Excavation	950	c.y.	\$30.00	\$28,500
Trench Excavation 0-4' Deep	10	c.y.	\$15.00	\$150
Trench Excavation 0-10' Deep	60	c.y.	\$25.00	\$1,500
Subbase	135	c.y.	\$45.00	\$6,075
Sedimentation Control System	2000	l.f.	\$4.00	\$8,000
Processed Aggregate Base	100	c.y.	\$45.00	\$4,500
Milling of Bituminous Concrete Pavement	2850	s.y.	\$7.50	\$21,375
H.M.A.	710	ton	\$110.00	\$78,100
Bedding Material	2	c.y.	\$50.00	\$100
15" R.C.P.	20	l.f.	\$55.00	\$1,100
Simple Catch Basin	2	ea.	\$3,000.00	\$6,000
Structure Resetting (Storm and Sanitary)	10	ea.	\$1,000.00	\$10,000
Concrete Curbing	500	l.f.	\$35.00	\$17,500
Concrete Sidewalk	2250	s.f.	\$12.50	\$28,125
Pavers (Sidewalk/Crosswalk)	400	s.f.	\$22.00	\$8,800
Decorative Pavement (Stamped Concrete)	2400	s.f.	\$20.00	\$48,000
Street Furniture - Benches	2	ea.	\$1,750.00	\$3,500
Street Furniture - Trash Receptacles	2	ea.	\$1,750.00	\$3,500
Street Furniture - Bicycle Racks	2	ea.	\$1,500.00	\$3,000
Bituminous Concrete Driveway - Commercial	120	s.y.	\$40.00	\$4,800
Furnishing and Placing Topsoil	275	s.y.	\$12.00	\$3,300
Turf Establishment	275	s.y.	\$3.50	\$963
Landscaping - Trees	5	ea.	\$750.00	\$3,750
Landscaping - Shrubs/Bushes	10	ea.	\$60.00	\$600
Landscaping - Planters	6	ea.	\$1,000.00	\$6,000
Decorative Fence	920	l.f.	\$100.00	\$92,000
	RAFFIC ITEMS			
Trafficmen - Town (City) Police Officer	320	hr.	\$75.00	\$24,000
Trafficmen - Uniformed Flagger	160	hr.	\$55.00	\$8,800
Minor Signal Modifications	1	ea.	\$30,000.00	\$30,000
Contract Items		11	SUBTOTAL	\$452,000
Clearing and Grubbing Roadway		2.0%		\$9,000
M & P of Traffic		4.0%		\$18,100
Mobilization		7.5%		\$33,900
Construction Staking		1.0%		\$4,500
Minor Items		25.0%		\$113,000
Inflation Factor	10	5.0%		\$397,000

Item	Est. Quant.	Unit	Unit Price	Total
		CONSTRU	ICTION TOTALS	\$1,030,000
ENGINEERING		8.0%		\$82,000
CONTINGENCIES		10.0%		\$103,000
INCIDENTALS		25.0%		\$258,000
UTILITIES		2.0%		\$21,000
RIGHTS OF WAY				\$0
		TOTAL ES	TIMATED COST	\$1,494,000



ORDER OF MAGNITUDE OPINION OF COST				
PROJECT: Norwalk Traffic Management Plan	DATE PREPARED: 12/9/	/11		
LOCATION: Norwalk, CT	BASIS: ConnDOT 2011	BASIS: ConnDOT 2011		
DESCRIPTION: Route 53 at Dry Hill Road and	ESTIMATOR: CDY	CHECKED BY: MSR		
Murray Street Option #1	PROJECT NO : 20100265 A10			

Cost estimator.	Est. Quant.	Unit	Unit Price	Total
Item ROA	DWAY ITEMS	Unit	OHILFHUE	TULAI
Earth Excavation	1625	C.y.	\$30.00	\$48,750
Trench Excavation 0-4' Deep	5	C.y.	\$15.00	\$75
Trench Excavation 0-10' Deep	45	C.y.	\$25.00	\$1,125
Subbase	325		\$45.00	\$14,625
Sedimentation Control System	1550	C.y.	\$4.00	\$6,200
Processed Aggregate Base	225		\$45.00	\$10,125
H.M.A.	900	c.y.	\$110.00	\$99,000
Bedding Material	2		\$50.00	\$100
		C.y.	\$55.00	\$1,100
15" R.C.P.	20	I.f.		\$6,000
Simple Catch Basin	4	ea.	\$3,000.00 \$1,000.00	\$4,000
Structure Resetting (Storm and Sanitary)		ea.		
Concrete Curbing	750	I.f.	\$35.00	\$26,250
Granite Curbing	250	I.f.	\$45.00	\$11,250
Concrete Sidewalk	3300	s.f.	\$12.50	\$41,250
Pavers (Sidewalk/Crosswalk)	1200	s.f.	\$22.00	\$26,400
Street Furniture - Benches	2	ea.	\$1,750.00	\$3,500
Street Furniture - Trash Receptacles	2	ea.	\$1,750.00	\$3,500
Street Furniture - Bicycle Racks	. 1	ea.	\$1,500.00	\$1,500
Furnishing and Placing Topsoil	1225	s.y.	\$6.50	\$7,963
Turf Establishment	1225	s.y.	\$2.50	\$3,063
Landscaping - Trees	10	ea.	\$750.00	\$7,500
Landscaping - Shrubs/Bushes	25	ea.	\$60.00	\$1,500
Landscaping - Artwork	1	l.s.	\$20,000.00	\$20,000
Roadway Lighting - Ornamental Pedestrian	300	l.f.	\$190.00	\$57,000
	AFFIC ITEMS			
Trafficmen - Town (City) Police Officer	480	hr.	\$75.00	\$36,000
Trafficmen - Uniformed Flagger	480	hr.	\$55.00	\$26,400
Contract Items			SUBTOTAL	\$464,200
Clearing and Grubbing Roadway		2.0%		\$9,300
M & P of Traffic		4.0%		\$18,600
Mobilization		7.5%		\$34,800
Construction Staking		1.0%		\$4,600
Minor Items		25.0%		\$116,100
Inflation Factor	10	5.0%	*	\$407,000
			JCTION TOTALS	\$1,050,000
ENGINEERING		8.0%		\$84,000
CONTINGENCIES		10.0%		\$105,000
INCIDENTALS		25.0%		\$263,000
UTILITIES		2.0%		\$21,000
RIGHTS OF WAY		2.070		\$0
INICITIO OF WALL		TOTAL ES	TIMATED COST	\$1,523,000



ORDER OF MAGNITUDE OPINION OF COST			
PROJECT: Norwalk Traffic Management Plan	DATE PREPARED: 12/9/	/11	
LOCATION: Norwalk, CT	BASIS: ConnDOT 2011		
DESCRIPTION: Route 53 at Dry Hill Road and	ESTIMATOR: CDY	CHECKED BY: MSR	
Murray Street Option #2	Murray Street Option #2 PROIECT NO.: 20100265.A10		

Item	Est. Quant.	Unit	Unit Price	Total
R	OADWAY ITEMS			
Earth Excavation	1050	c.y.	\$30.00	\$31,500
Trench Excavation 0-4' Deep	5	c.y.	\$15.00	\$75
Trench Excavation 0-10' Deep	45	c.y.	\$25.00	\$1,125
Subbase	220	c.y.	\$45.00	\$9,900
Sedimentation Control System	1550	l.f.	\$4.00	\$6,200
Processed Aggregate Base	150	c.y.	\$45.00	\$6,750
H.M.A.	600	ton	\$110.00	\$66,000
Bedding Material	2	c.y.	\$50.00	\$100
15" R.C.P.	20	l.f.	\$55.00	\$1,100
Simple Catch Basin	2	ea.	\$3,000.00	\$6,000
Structure Resetting (Storm and Sanitary)	4	ea.	\$1,000.00	\$4,000
Concrete Curbing	600	l.f.	\$35.00	\$21,000
Concrete Sidewalk	1775	s.f.	\$12.50	\$22,188
Pavers (Sidewalk/Crosswalk)	920	s.f.	\$22.00	\$20,240
Street Furniture - Benches	1	ea.	\$1,750.00	\$1,750
Street Furniture - Trash Receptacles	1	ea.	\$1,750.00	\$1,750
Furnishing and Placing Topsoil	250	s.y.	\$12.00	\$3,000
Turf Establishment	250	s.y.	\$3.50	\$875
Landscaping - Trees	10	ea.	\$750.00	\$7,500
Landscaping - Shrubs/Bushes	20	ea.	\$60.00	\$1,200
Landscaping - Artwork	1	l.s.	\$20,000.00	\$20,000
ENVIRONME	NTAL COMPLIANCE	ITEMS		4
Water Pollution Control	1	est.		\$0
	RAFFIC ITEMS			2
Trafficmen - Town (City) Police Officer	320	hr.	\$75.00	\$24,000
Trafficmen - Uniformed Flagger	320	hr.	\$55.00	\$17,600
Contract Items		= ,	SUBTOTAL	\$273,900
Clearing and Grubbing Roadway		2.0%		\$5,500
M & P of Traffic	×1	4.0%		\$11,000
Mobilization		7.5%		\$20,500
Construction Staking		1.0%		\$2,700
Minor Items		25.0%		\$68,500
Inflation Factor	10	5.0%		\$240,000
	:		JCTION TOTALS	\$620,000
ENGINEERING		8.0%		\$50,000
CONTINGENCIES		10.0%		\$62,000
INCIDENTALS		30.0%		\$186,000
UTILITIES		3.5%		\$22,000
RIGHTS OF WAY			a - 1 - 1	\$0
		TOTAL ES	STIMATED COST	\$940,000



ORDER OF MAGNITUDE OPINION OF COST					
PROJECT: Norwalk Traffic Management Plan	DATE PREPARED: 12/9/11				
LOCATION: Norwalk, CT BASIS: ConnDOT 2011					
DESCRIPTION: Taylor Avenue at Flax Hill Road Option #1	ESTIMATOR: CDY	CHECKED BY: MSR			
PROJECT NO.: 20100265.A10					

Item	Est. Quant.	Unit	Unit Price	Total
RO	ADWAY ITEMS	, ,		
Earth Excavation	175	c.y.	\$50.00	\$8,750
Trench Excavation 0-4' Deep	25	c.y.	\$15.00	\$375
Trench Excavation 0-10' Deep	150	c.y.	\$25.00	\$3,750
Subbase	. 50	c.y.	\$75.00	\$3,750
Sedimentation Control System	3000	l.f.	\$4.00	\$12,000
Processed Aggregate Base	40	c.y.	\$60.00	\$2,400
Milling of Bituminous Concrete Pavement	2650	s.y.	\$7.50	\$19,875
H.M.A.	465	ton	\$110.00	\$51,150
Bedding Material	5	c.y.	\$50.00	\$250
15" R.C.P.	50	l.f.	\$55.00	\$2,750
Simple Catch Basin	5	ea.	\$3,000.00	\$15,000
Structure Resetting (Storm and Sanitary)	10	ea.	\$1,000.00	\$10,000
Concrete Curbing	1200	l.f.	\$35.00	\$42,000
Concrete Sidewalk	7500	s.f.	\$12.50	\$93,750
Pavers (Sidewalk/Crosswalk)	1680	s.f.	\$22.00	\$36,960
Bituminous Concrete Driveway - Residential	250	s.y.	\$36.00	\$9,000
Furnishing and Placing Topsoil	1925	s.y.	\$6.50	\$12,513
Turf Establishment	1925	s.y.	\$2.50	\$4,813
Landscaping - Trees	30	ea.	\$750.00	\$22,500
Landscaping - Shrubs/Bushes	25	ea.	\$60.00	\$1,500
	RAFFIC ITEMS			
Trafficmen - Town (City) Police Officer	480	hr.	\$75.00	\$36,000
Trafficmen - Uniformed Flagger	480	hr.	\$55.00	\$26,400
New Signal - Town	1	ea.	\$225,000.00	\$225,000
Utility Relocations for Signal	1	ea.	\$7,000.00	\$7,000
Contract Items		. 7	SUBTOTAL	\$647,500
Clearing and Grubbing Roadway		2.0%		\$13,000
M & P of Traffic		4.0%		\$25,900
Mobilization		7.5%		\$48,600
Construction Staking		1.0%		\$6,500
Minor Items		25.0%		\$161,900
Inflation Factor	10	5.0%		\$568,000
			UCTION TOTALS	\$1,470,000
ENGINEERING		8.0%		\$118,000
CONTINGENCIES		10.0%		\$147,000
INCIDENTALS		25.0%		\$368,000
UTILITIES		3.0%		\$44,000
RIGHTS OF WAY				\$0
		TOTAL ES	STIMATED COST	\$2,147,000



ORDER OF MAGNITUDE OPINION OF COST					
PROJECT: Norwalk Traffic Management Plan	DATE PREPARED: 12/9/11				
LOCATION: Norwalk, CT	rwalk, CT BASIS: ConnDOT 2011				
DESCRIPTION: Taylor Avenue at Flax Hill Road Option #2	ESTIMATOR: CDY CHECKED BY: MSR				
PROJECT NO.: 20100265.A10					

Item	Est. Quant.	Unit	Unit Price	Total
	DWAY ITEMS	3		
Earth Excavation	1175	c.y.	\$30.00	\$35,250
Trench Excavation 0-4' Deep	25	c.y.	\$15.00	\$375
Trench Excavation 0-10' Deep	175	c.y.	\$25.00	\$4,375
Subbase	300	c.y.	\$45.00	\$13,500
Sedimentation Control System	3000	l.f.	\$4.00	\$12,000
Processed Aggregate Base	200	c.y.	\$45.00	\$9,000
Milling of Bituminous Concrete Pavement	1125	s.y.	\$7.50	\$8,438
H.M.A.	990	ton	\$110.00	\$108,900
Bedding Material	5	c.y.	\$50.00	\$250
15" R.C.P.	50	l.f.	\$55.00	\$2,750
Simple Catch Basin	6	ea.	\$3,000.00	\$18,000
Structure Resetting (Storm and Sanitary)	10	ea.	\$1,000.00	\$10,000
Concrete Curbing	1350	l.f.	\$35.00	\$47,250
Concrete Sidewalk	7500	s.f.	\$12.50	\$93,750
Pavers (Sidewalk/Crosswalk)	2755	s.f.	\$22.00	\$60,610
Bituminous Concrete Driveway - Residential	250	s.y.	\$36.00	\$9,000
Furnishing and Placing Topsoil	1925	s.y.	\$6.50	\$12,513
Turf Establishment	1925	s.y.	\$2.50	\$4,813
Landscaping - Trees	30	ea.	\$750.00	\$22,500
Landscaping - Shrubs/Bushes	25	ea.	\$60.00	\$1,500
TRA	FFIC ITEMS			*
Trafficmen - Town (City) Police Officer	640	hr.	\$75.00	\$48,000
Trafficmen - Uniformed Flagger	640	hr.	\$55.00	\$35,200
Contract Items			SUBTOTAL	\$558,000
Clearing and Grubbing Roadway		2.0%		\$11,200
M & P of Traffic		4.0%		\$22,300
Mobilization		7.5%		\$41,900
Construction Staking		1.0%		\$5,600
Minor Items		25.0%		\$139,500
Inflation Factor	10	5.0%	·	\$490,000
	*	CONSTRU	CTION TOTALS	\$1,270,000
ENGINEERING		8.0%		\$102,000
CONTINGENCIES		10.0%		\$127,000
INCIDENTALS		25.0%		\$318,000
UTILITIES		5.0%		\$64,000
RIGHTS OF WAY	*			\$0
		TOTAL ES	TIMATED COST	\$1,881,000



ORDER	OF MA	GNITUDE	OPINION	OF COST
OILDEIL	OI WILL		OTITIOIA	

PROJECT: Norwalk Traffic Management Plan	DATE PREPARED: 12/9/11	
LOCATION: Norwalk, CT	BASIS: ConnDOT 2011	
DESCRIPTION: Washington Street at North Main Street and	ESTIMATOR: CDY	CHECKED BY: MSR
Madison Street	PROJECT NO.: 20100265.A10	

Item	Est. Quant.	Unit	Unit Price	Total
	ROADWAY ITEMS	Offic	OTHE THOS	I Jiai
Earth Excavation	1700	c.y.	\$30.00	\$51,000
Sedimentation Control System	2400	l.f.	\$4.00	\$9,600
Milling of Bituminous Concrete Pavement	4900	s.y.	\$7.50	\$36,750
H.M.A.	570	ton	\$110.00	\$62,700
Structure Resetting (Storm and Sanitary)	20	ea.	\$1,000.00	\$20,000
Concrete Curbing	325	I.f.	\$35.00	\$11,375
Concrete Sidewalk	3850	s.f.	\$12.50	\$48,125
Pavers (Sidewalk/Crosswalk)	37000	s.f.	\$22.00	\$814,000
Street Furniture - Benches	21	ea.	\$1,750.00	\$36,750
Street Furniture - Trash Receptacles	6	ea.	\$1,750.00	\$10,500
Street Furniture - Bicycle Racks	3	ea.	\$1,500.00	\$4,500
Street Furniture - Bollards	20	ea.	\$1,000.00	\$20,000
Bituminous Concrete Driveway - Commercial	35	s.y.	\$40.00	\$1,400
Furnishing and Placing Topsoil	560	s.y.	\$12.00	\$6,720
Turf Establishment	560	s.y.	\$3.50	\$1,960
Landscaping - Trees	20	ea.	\$750.00	\$15,000
Landscaping - Shrubs/Bushes	40	ea.	\$60.00	\$2,400
Landscaping - Planters	12	ea.	\$1,000.00	\$12,000
Landscaping - Artwork	1	l.s.	\$20,000.00	\$20,000
Roadway Lighting - Ornamental Roadway	150	I.f.	\$130.00	\$19,500
Roadway Lighting - Ornamental Pedestrian	600	l.f.	\$190.00	\$114,000
Troudway Eighting Officinionian Education	TRAFFIC ITEMS		ψσσσσ	<b>4.1.1,000</b>
Trafficmen - Town (City) Police Officer	480	hr.	\$75.00	\$36,000
Trafficmen - Uniformed Flagger	480	hr.	\$55.00	\$26,400
Contract Items			SUBTOTAL	\$1,380,700
Clearing and Grubbing Roadway	,	2.0%		\$27,600
M & P of Traffic		4.0%		\$55,200
Mobilization		7.5%		\$103,600
Construction Staking		1.0%		\$13,800
Minor Items		25.0%		\$345,200
Inflation Factor	10	5.0%		\$1,211,000
		CONSTRU	JCTION TOTALS	\$3,140,000
ENGINEERING		8.0%		\$251,000
CONTINGENCIES		10.0%		\$314,000
INCIDENTALS		25.0%		\$785,000
UTILITIES		1.0%		\$31,000
RIGHTS OF WAY				\$0
		TOTAL ES	TIMATED COST	\$4,521,000



#### ORDER OF MAGNITUDE OPINION OF COST

PROJECT: Norwalk Traffic Management Plan	DATE PREPARED: 12/9/11		
LOCATION: Norwalk, CT	BASIS: ConnDOT 2011		
DESCRIPTION: West Avenue at Belden Avenue and	ESTIMATOR: CDY	CHECKED BY: MSR	
Mott Avenue	PROJECT NO.: 20100265.A10		

independent cost estimator.	Est Ouent	Unit	Unit Price	Total
Item	Est. Quant.	Offic	OHIL PHEE	i Ulai
	4425	Cv	\$25.00	\$110,625
Earth Excavation Trench Excavation 0-4' Deep	130	c.y.	\$15.00	\$1,950
	230	c.y.	\$15.00	\$5,750
Trench Excavation 0-10' Deep	300	c.y.	\$45.00	\$13,500
Subbase		c.y.		\$12,000
Sedimentation Control System	3000		\$4.00	\$9,000
Processed Aggregate Base	200	c.y.	\$45.00	
Milling of Bituminous Concrete Pavement	4320	S.y.	\$7.50	\$32,400
H.M.A.	1330	ton	\$100.00	\$133,000
Bedding Material	25	c.y.	\$50.00	\$1,250
15" R.C.P.	300	l.f.	\$55.00	\$16,500
Simple Catch Basin	8	ea.	\$3,000.00	\$24,000
Structure Resetting (Storm and Sanitary)	25	ea.	\$1,000.00	\$25,000
Concrete Curbing	2325	l.f.	\$35.00	\$81,375
Concrete Sidewalk	5625	s.f.	\$12.50	\$70,313
Pavers (Sidewalk/Crosswalk)	43100	s.f.	\$22.00	\$948,200
Street Furniture - Benches	14	ea.	\$1,750.00	\$24,500
Street Furniture - Trash Receptacles	12	ea.	\$1,750.00	\$21,000
Street Furniture - Bicycle Racks	6	ea.	\$1,500.00	\$9,000
Street Furniture - Bollards	40	ea.	\$1,000.00	\$40,000
Furnishing and Placing Topsoil	2000	s.y.	\$6.50	\$13,000
Turf Establishment	2000	s.y.	\$2.50	\$5,000
Landscaping - Trees	26	ea.	\$750.00	\$19,500
Landscaping - Shrubs/Bushes	60	ea.	\$60.00	\$3,600
Landscaping - Planters	14	ea.	\$1,000.00	\$14,000
Roadway Lighting - Ornamental Roadway	150	l.f.	\$130.00	\$19,500
Roadway Lighting - Ornamental Pedestrian	500	I.f.	\$190.00	\$95,000
Roadway Lighting - Artwork	1	l.s.	\$20,000.00	\$20,000
	TRAFFIC ITEMS			*1
Trafficmen - Town (City) Police Officer	640	hr.	\$75.00	\$48,000
Trafficmen - Uniformed Flagger	640	hr.	\$55.00	\$35,200
Minor Signal Modifications	2	ea.	\$30,000.00	\$60,000
Contract Items			SUBTOTAL	\$1,912,200
Clearing and Grubbing Roadway		2.0%		\$38,200
M & P of Traffic		4.0%		\$76,500
Mobilization		7.5%		\$143,400
Construction Staking		1.0%		\$19,100
Minor Items		25.0%		\$478,100
Inflation Factor	10	5.0%		\$1,678,000

Item	Est. Quant.	Unit	Unit Price	Total
		CONSTRU	ICTION TOTALS	\$4,350,000
ENGINEERING		8.0%		\$348,000
CONTINGENCIES		10.0%		\$435,000
INCIDENTALS		25.0%		\$1,088,000
UTILITIES		1.0%		\$44,000
RIGHTS OF WAY				\$0
		TOTAL ES	TIMATED COST	\$6,265,000



#### ORDER OF MAGNITUDE OPINION OF COST

Project: Norwalk Traffic Management Plan

Location: Norwalk, CT

Description: West Rocks Rd./France St./Park St.

Estimator: CDY Checked By: MSR

Project No: 20100625.A10

Based on our experience for the type of improvements proposed for the West Rocks Road/France Street/Park Street Corridor, we expect the construction cost to be \$1,250 to \$1,750 per linear foot. The lower end would consist of a basic complete streets concept including sidewalks, crosswalks, bike facilities and greenspace, while the high end estimate would include more robust construction materials, plazas, artwork, lighting and street furniture. Based on these prices, the construction costs for the 15,500 foot corridor is in the range of \$19.375 million to \$27.125 million. This price range can vary depending on the current construction cost and or scope/visions. Engineering costs can be estimated at approximately 8% of the total construction cost.



# **Norwalk Master Project List**

The following is a summary of the current transportation projects in the City of Norwalk, including jurisdiction and project status for reference:

- ♦ Exhibit X-1: Bridge Projects
- ♦ Exhibit X-2: Signal Projects
- Exhibit X-3: Roadway Projects
- ♦ Exhibit X-4: Multi-Purpose Trail Projects

The project list and supporting information was provided by the City of Norwalk on November 21, 2011 and is current as of that date. This list should be updated periodically as projects are completed, project statuses change, or new projects are added. In addition to the specific projects summarized below, the City also has other miscellaneous contracts such as paving, sidewalk, and crack seal contracts.

Exhibit X-1 Bridge Projects

Location	Jurisdiction	Project Status	Notes
James Street over Silvermine River	City	Design	
Perry Avenue over Norwalk River	City	Design	
Westmere over Farm Creek	City	Design	
Cedar over I-95	State	Final Design	
Taylor over I-95	State	Final Design	
Burnell Bridge over MNRR/Norwalk River	State	Construction	2nd phase under design
Triangle Street over MNRR	State	Design	
Lowering of Rowayton Avenue under MNRR	City	Design	
Lowering of Monroe Street under MNRR	State	Construction	
Glover Avenue over Norwalk Railroad	· ?	<mark>?</mark>	

MNRR Metro-NoRouteh Railroad



# Exhibit X-2 Signal Projects

Location	Jurisdiction	Project Status	Notes
Central Traffic Signal System Upgrade – Phase 1	City	Construction	
Central Traffic Signal System Upgrade – Phase 2	City	Bid	
Central Traffic Signal System Upgrade – Phase 3	City	Final Design	
Central Traffic Signal System Upgrade	. ?	Grant Submitted	Unfunded
Traffic Signal/Communication – Strawberry Hill	City	Design	

## **Exhibit X-3** Roadway Projects

Location	Jurisdiction	Project Status	Notes
Route 1 at East Avenue Intersection Improvement	State	Preliminary Design	
Route 1/Westport Avenue Signals – 3 locations	State	Design	Unfunded
Route 1 widening - Route 53 to East Avenue	State	Concept	Unfunded
Academy Street – Extension and realignment	City	Concept	Unfunded
West Ave at Wall Street Intersection Improvement	City	Concept	Unfunded
East Avenue at Wall Street Intersection Improvements	City	Concept	Unfunded
East Avenue at I-95 Improvement	State	Design	Unfunded
Haviland/Elizabeth Street Traffic Pattern Revision	City	Study	
I-95 project – Exit 15 to Exit 14	State	Final Design	
Route 1 Improvements – Exit 14 to Fairfield Avenue	State	Final Design	
Washington Street at Water Street Intersection Improvements	City	Construction	
West Avenue Improvements,- Gardner to Butler	City	Construction	
North Water Street	City	Construction	New roadway – to be opened
East Avenue Improvements - I-95 to Van Zant Street	City	Design	
Cedar Street Improvements	City	Design	
Fairfield Avenue Improvements	City	Concept	
Washington Street Improvements	City	Concept	
Merritt/Route 7 interchange	State	Design	Unfunded

# Exhibit X-4 Multi-Purpose Trail Projects

Location	Jurisdiction	Project Status	Notes
Norwalk River Valley Trail – Phase 3	City	RFP	
Norwalk Linear Trail	City	Design	One segment