

Table of Contents

Executive Summary

Introduction	S-1
Project Description	S-2
Purpose and Need	S-2
Alternatives Considered	S-3
Transportation Effects	S-4
Environmental Consequences	S-10
Comparison of Alternatives	S-19

1 Project Description.....1-1

1.1 Project Description	1-1
1.2 Study Area	1-1
1.3 Project History	1-6
1.4 Purpose and Need	1-11
1.5 Consistency with State, Regional, and Local Plans	1-33
1.6 Public Participation Process and Agency Coordination	1-35
1.7 Permit Requirements	1-37

2 Alternatives2-1

2.1 Alternatives Selection Process.....	2-1
2.2 Draft EIS Alternatives.....	2-4

3 Affected Environment.....3-1

3.1 Transportation	3-1
3.2 Wetlands	3-16
3.3 Surface Water and Groundwater Resources	3-22
3.4 Floodplains.....	3-33
3.5 Biological Diversity	3-34
3.6 Rare Species	3-44
3.7 Agricultural Soils	3-45
3.8 Historic Resources	3-49
3.9 Archaeological Resources	3-54
3.10 Public Parks, Wildlife Refuges, and Recreation Areas.....	3-57

3.11 Visual and Scenic Qualities.....	3-61
3.12 Air Quality	3-65
3.13 Noise.....	3-72
3.14 Land Use.....	3-77
3.15 Relocations	3-85
3.16 Socioeconomic Conditions.....	3-86
3.17 Hazardous or Contaminated Materials.....	3-93
3.18 Construction.....	3-96
3.19 Energy.....	3-100
4 Secondary and Cumulative Impacts.....	4-1
4.1 Secondary Impacts	4-1
4.2 Cumulative Impacts.....	4-3
5 Section 4(f)Evaluation	5-1
5.1 Introduction	5-1
5.2 Project Description	5-1
5.3 Purpose and Need	5-1
5.4 Alternatives Evaluated	5-2
5.5 Section 4(f) Resources.....	5-2
5.6 Potential Impacts To Section 4(f) Resources	5-3
5.7 Summary.....	5-10
6 Connecticut Coastal Area Management Program Consistency	6-1
6.1 Project Relationship to the Coastal Area	6-1
6.2 Coastal and Land and Water Resource Policies (CGS Sect. 22a-5)	6-3
6.3 Adverse Impact Consistency.....	6-5
7 Glossary and Acronyms	7-1
8 Index	8-1
9 References.....	9-1
10 Circulation List	10-1
11 List of Preparers.....	11-1

Tables

Table No.	Description	Page
S-1	Comparison of Transportation Effects	S-6
S-2	Comparison of Environmental Effects.....	S-11
S-3	Cost Comparison	S-20
1-1	Average Daily Traffic Volume Trends, 1980-1998	1-7
1-2	Existing Volume-to-Capacity Summary.....	1-16
1-3	Level-of-Service Criteria for Signalized Intersections	1-17
1-4	Existing Signalized Intersection Level of Service.....	1-19
1-5	Projected Traffic Volume Growth, 1998-2020.....	1-25
1-6	2020 Volume-to-Capacity Summary	1-26
1-7	2020 Signalized Intersection Level of Service	1-28
2.1-1	Alternatives Considered in the Draft EIS	2-4
2.2-1	Summary of Alternatives.....	2-7
2.2-2	Summary of Capital and Operating Costs	2-11
2.2-3	Transit Boardings by Station (Commuter Rail).....	2-13
2.2-4	Transit Boardings by Station (Transitway)	2-13
2.2-5	Transit Boardings by Station (Busway).....	2-20
3.1-1	2020 Traffic Volume and Volume-to-Capacity Summary ..	3-7
3.1-2	Daily Transit Trips (2020).....	3-9
3.2-1	Summary of Existing Wetlands in the Study Area.....	3-18
3.2-2	Wetland Impacts by Project Element	3-20
3.2-3	Wetland Impacts	3-21
3.3-1	Route 2 Study Area Surface Water Bodies and Use Classification.....	3-25
3.3-2	Water Resources Impacts by Project Element.....	3-29
3.3-3	Summary of Water Resources Impacts	3-31
3.4-1	Floodplain Impacts by Project Element.....	3-35

Tables (continued)

Table No.	Description	Page
3.4-2	Summary of Floodplain Impacts	3-36
3.5-1	Impacts to Vegetative Cover Types	3-41
3.5-2	Summary of Biodiversity Impacts.....	3-42
3.6-1	Summary of State of Connecticut Listed Species and Communities in the Project Area	3-44
3.7-1	Regulated Farmland Soil Impacts by Project Element....	3-48
3.7-2	Summary of Impacts to Regulated Farmland Soils.....	3-48
3.8-1	Potential Impacts to HistoricResources	3-52
3.8-2	Summary of Impacts to Historic Resources	3-52
3.9-1	Impacts of Project Elements on Archaeological Resources.....	3-56
3.9-2	Impacts of Alternatives to Archaeological Resources	3-57
3.10-1	Public Parks, Wildlife Refuges, Public Recreation Areas and Section 6(f) Properties	3-59
3.10-2	Summary of Impacts to Public Parks, Wildlife Refuges and Recreation Areas	3-61
3.11-1	Summary of Visual Impacts	3-65
3.12-1	Mesoscale Analysis Results	3-68
3.12-2	Predicted Maximum 1-Hour CO Concentrations.....	3-70
3.12-3	Predicted Maximum 8-Hour CO Concentrations.....	3-71
3.13-1	1998 Existing Sound Levels	3-73
3.13-2	Summary of Noise Impacts.....	3-75
3.14-1	Land Uses.....	3-79
3.14-2	Summary of Land Use Impacts by Project Element (By Town)	3-81

Tables, Continued

Table No.	Description	Page
3.14-3	Summary of Land Use Impacts by Element.....	3-82
3.14-4	Summary of Land Use Impacts by Alternative (by Category)	3-84
3.14-5	Summary of Land Use Impacts by Alternative	3-84
3.15-1	Relocations	3-85
3.16-1	Socioeconomic Impact Analysis Components	3-88
3.16-2	Summary of Economic Impacts	3-89
3.16-3	Indirect Socioeconomic Impacts	3-91
3.17-1	Hazardous or Contaminated Site Impacts by Project Element.....	3-94
3.17-2	Hazardous or Contaminated Sites Encountered by Project Alternative.....	3-95
3.19-1	Summary of Energy Impacts.....	3-102
4.2-1	Cumulative Impacts	4-5
5.6-1	Use of Section 4(f) Properties.....	5-5

Figures

Figure No.	Description
S-1	EIS Alternatives
1.2-1	Study Area Map
1.3-1	Recent and Planned Improvements and Studies
1.4-1	1998 Existing Conditions Volume-to-Capacity Summary
1.4-2	1993 – 1995 Accident Trends
1.4-3	Anticipated Development Nodes
1.4-4	2020 Future Condition Volume-to-Capacity Summary
2.2-1	EIS Alternatives
2.2-2	Alternatives B and C
2.2-3	Heavy Rail Line
2.2-4	Connecticut College/ U.S. Coast Guard Station
2.2-5	Waterford/Quaker Hill Station
2.2-6	Mohegan Sun Resort Transportation Center
2.2-7	Norwich West Transportation Center
2.2-8	I-395 Transportation Center
2.2-9	Typical Light Rail Cross-Section
2.2-10	Typical Monorail Cross-Section
2.2-11	Transitway Planning Concept
2.2-12	I-95 Transportation Center
2.2-13	North Stonington Station
2.2-14	Foxwoods Station

Figures (continued)

Figure No.	Description
2.2-15	Poquetanuck Village Station
2.2-16	Norwich State Hospital Transportation Center
2.2-17	Roadway Cross Sections
2.2-18	Route 2 Upgrade Planning Concept (Route 214 to I-95)
2.2-19	Transitway Southern Bridge
2.2-20	Transitway Northern Bridge
2.2-21	Alternative D
2.2-22	Typical Busway Cross-section
2.2-23	Route 2 Upgrade, Norwich Planning Concept
2.2-24	Alternative E
2.2-25	Route 2A Bridge Concept
2.2-26	Route 2A Bypass Planning Concept
2.2-27	Route 2 Widening Concept, Preston
2.2-28	Route 2 Widening Concept, Route 214 to I-95
2.2-29	Route 32 Upgrade Concept
2.2-30	Route 164 Upgrade Concept
2.2-31	Alternative F
2.2-32	Route 2 Bypass Planning Concept
3.1-1	Alternative B1: Volume to Capacity Summary
3.1-2	Alternative C1: Volume to Capacity Summary
3.1-3	Alternative D: Volume to Capacity Summary
3.1-4	Alternative E: Volume to Capacity Summary
3.1-5	Alternative F: Volume to Capacity Summary

Figures (continued)

Figure No.	Description
3.1-6	Comparison of Alternatives: Route 2 from Route 184 to Route 201, North Stonington
3.1-7	Comparison of Alternatives: Route 2 from Route 164 to Route 2A, Preston
3.1-8	Comparison of Alternatives: Route 2A from Route 12 to Route 117, Poquetanuck
3.1-9	Comparison of Alternatives: Route 32 from Route 2A to Route 163, Montville
3.1-10	Comparison of Alternatives Route 164, Preston
3.2-1	Key Map: Potential Impact Figures
3.2-2	Potential Impacts: Wetlands and Floodplains
3.3-1	Potential Impacts: Surface and Groundwater Resources
3.3-2	Study Area Aquifers
3.5-1	Potential Impacts: Cover Types, Forest Blocks, Significant Natural Communities
3.5-2	Study Area Forest Blocks
3.7-1	Potential Impacts: Agricultural Soils
3.8-1	Potential Impacts: 4F/6F Parcels, Historic Resources
3.9-1	Potential Impacts: Archaeological Sensitivity
3.12-1	Potential Impacts: Hazardous Materials, Noise Receptor Locations, Air Quality Intersections
3.14-1	Potential Impacts: Land Use
6.1-1	Coastal Zone

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK