jury and Viole		alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN9: Percenta		ho rarely or neve	r wore a seat belt	(when riding in	a car driven			
11.4	9.1	9.4	9.2	8.0	8.3	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
			driver who had be ys before the surv		nol (in a car or			
29.7	27.3	26.7	25.2	22.2	18.7	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased
QN11: Percent	tage of students the survey, amo	who drove when	drinking alcohol had driven a car o	(one or more time	es during the		1	

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

tal ury and Viole		ılth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 †		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
least 1 day dui	ntage of students w ring the 30 days be g the 30 days before	efore the survey,	nailed while drivi among students v	ng a car or other who had driven a	vehicle (on at car or other			
_	-	•		36.3	29.6	Decreased, 2013-2015	Not available§	No change
QN15: Percer club on at leas 6.4	ntage of students w st 1 day during the 5.5	who carried a wea 30 days before the 3.9	npon on school pr he survey) 6.6	roperty (such as a	gun, knife, or 6.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
club on at leas 6.4 QN16: Percer	st 1 day during the	30 days before the 3.9 who did not go to	6.6 school because t	6.6 hey felt unsafe at	6.2 school or on	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
club on at leas 6.4 QN16: Percer	st 1 day during the 5.5 ntage of students w	30 days before the 3.9 who did not go to	6.6 school because t	6.6 hey felt unsafe at	6.2 school or on	No linear change No linear change	No quadratic change Decreased, 2005-2009 Increased, 2009-2015	No change
QN16: Percer their way to or 7.4	5.5 ntage of students were from school (on a	30 days before the 3.9 who did not go to at least 1 day dur. 4.9 who were threater	school because t ing the 30 days b 5.3	6.6 hey felt unsafe at efore the survey) 6.8 th a weapon on so	6.2 school or on 6.9 chool property		Decreased, 2005-2009	

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. §Not enough years of data to calculate.

otal jury and Viole	ence							
	He	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	nges		Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
QN18: Percen		who were in a ph	ysical fight (one	or more times dur	ring the 12			
32.7	31.4	28.3	25.1	22.4	18.4	Decreased, 2005-2015	Decreased, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	Decreased
QN21: Percen (when they did	tage of students value (1)	who were ever ph	ysically forced to	have sexual inte	ercourse			
	9.7	7.4	7.3	9.2	7.8	No linear change	Not available§	No change
during the 12 i with an object	nonths before the or weapon on pu	e survey, includin rpose by someon	g being hit, slam: e they were datin	iolence (one or med into somethi g or going out wi hs before the surv	ng, or injured th among			
				9.0	8.0	No linear change	Not available	No change
during the 12 r to have sexual	nonths before the intercourse when	e survey, includin n they did not war	g kissing, touching to by someone	elence (one or mong, or being physithey were dating the 12 months be	ically forced or going out			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				11.1	11.5	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

otal ijury and Viole	ence							
	Нег	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	eges	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN24: Percen before the surv	tage of students v	who were bullied	on school proper	ty (during the 12	months			
			21.6	21.9	18.6	Decreased, 2011-2015	Not available§	Decreased
QN25: Percen e-mail, chat ro survey)	tage of students voms, instant mess	who were electron aging, websites,	nically bullied (in or texting during 16.3	the 12 months be	llied through efore the	Decreased, 2011-2015	Not available	Decreased
	tage of students v							
24.8	22.8	25.0	24.4	27.2	26.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN27: Percen months before	tage of students v	who seriously cor	nsidered attemptin	ng suicide (during	g the 12			
15.1	13.1	14.1	14.6	14.5	13.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Total Injury and Viole		alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 [†]		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN29: Percen before the surv		who attempted su	icide (one or mor	e times during th	ne 12 months			
12.1	9.8	7.4	6.7	8.1	7.9	Decreased, 2005-2015	Decreased, 2005-2009 No change, 2009-2015	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

otal obacco Use	Hea	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	ges	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN33: Percer days before the	ntage of students versions students versions.	who currently sm	oked cigarettes (c	on at least 1 day o	luring the 30			
18.1	21.1	17.8	15.9	13.5	10.3	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	Decreased
	ercentage of stude e 30 days before t		y frequently smo	ked cigarettes (or	1 20 or more			
7.5	8.9	6.2	5.4	4.1	1.7	Decreased, 2005-2015	Decreased, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	Decreased
	Percentage of stu days before the su		ntly smoked cigar	rettes daily (on al	1 30 days			
6.1	6.7	4.4	3.8	3.4	1.2	Decreased, 2005-2015	Decreased, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

	er Drug Use He	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN42: Percenthan a few sips	tage of students v	who drank alcoho	ol before age 13 y	ears (for the first	time other			
21.3	18.3	17.6	15.6	14.9	10.6	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased
QN43: Percen least 1 day dur 45.3	tage of students ving the 30 days b	who currently dra efore the survey) 43.5	nk alcohol (at lea	ast one drink of al	cohol on at	Decreased, 2005-2015	Na abanga 2005 2011	Decreased
45.3	46.0	43.5	41.5	36.7	30.2	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	Decreased
	tage of students v s on at least 1 day				within a			
27.8	26.2	24.2	22.3	20.0	14.0	Decreased, 2005-2015	Decreased, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	Decreased
	tage of students vg students who co			hey drank by som	neone giving it			
			34.1	32.5	34.0	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
	tage of students v	who ever used ma	arijuana (one or n	nore times during	their life)			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

otal cohol and Oth	0	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	ages	Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
QN48: Percen	tage of students v	who tried marijua	ına before age 13	years (for the fir	st time)			
8.5	8.5	5.8	6.3	7.0	5.8	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN49: Percendays before the	itage of students ve survey)	who currently use	ed marijuana (one	or more times d	uring the 30			
23.1	23.2	21.8	24.1	26.0	20.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	Decreased
	atage of students vase, one or more			of cocaine, such a	as powder,			
7.8	8.3	5.4	5.0	4.9	4.6	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	itage of students v							
11.1	11.2	10.6	9.0	8.1	6.3	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

otal Icohol and Otho	er Drug Use							
	He	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	nges	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN52: Percent white," one or	tage of students was times during	who ever used he	roin (also called	"smack," "junk,"	or "China			
4.3	4.1	3.2	2.9	3.4	2.2	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased
QN53: Percent "crank," or "ice	tage of students ve," one or more ti	who ever used me	ethamphetamines life)	(also called "spe	eed," "crystal,"			
5.9	5.6	3.3	3.2	4.3	3.0	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased
QN54: Percent		who ever used ec	stasy (also called	"MDMA," one o	or more times			
6.4	6.6	5.0	6.3	6.5	4.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	Decreased
		who ever injected or more times du	l any illegal drug ring their life)	(used a needle to	inject any			
				2.4	2.0	No linear change	Not available§	No change
		who were offered before the survey	l, sold, or given a	n illegal drug on	school			
31.5	30.5	28.9	27.8	27.1	28.5	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

otal xual Behaviors	S							
	Не	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	ages	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN60: Percen	tage of students	who ever had sex	ual intercourse					
46.0	42.4	40.5	42.7	41.1	33.0	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased
QN61: Percent	tage of students	who had sexual in	ntercourse before	age 13 years (for	the first time)			
5.5	5.9	4.6	4.9	3.6	2.7	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	No change
QN62: Percent their life)	tage of students	who had sexual in	ntercourse with fo	our or more perso	ns (during			
14.2	12.4	10.5	10.6	10.8	6.2	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased
QN63: Percent least one person	tage of students on during the 3 m	who were current onths before the s	ly sexually active survey)	e (sexual intercou	rse with at			
	31.8	29.6	30.5	30.3	23.3	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available§	Decreased
		who drank alcoho		efore last sexual	intercourse			
, 3,	27.9	24.8	18.8	20.3	22.4	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Fotal Sexual Behaviors		alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	nges	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from	
							201	2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN65: Percent	tage of students vere currently sex	who used a condo	om (during last se	exual intercourse	among			
	62.7	59.4	60.5	60.7	59.4	No linear change	Not available§	No change
QN85: Percent donating blood		who were ever te	sted for HIV (not	including tests d	one when			
	14.3	12.2	14.2	13.0	10.3	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available	No change
QN66: Percent prevent pregnar	tage of students v	who used birth co	ontrol pills (before rently sexually a	e last sexual inter	course to			
	26.2	24.1	22.3	27.9	26.8	No linear change	Not available	No change
(e.g., Implanon	Percentage of stu or Nexplanon) (were currently sex	before last sexua	n IUD (e.g., Mire l intercourse to p	ena or ParaGard) revent pregnancy	or implant among			
				1.9	4.1	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Total Sexual Behaviors	5							
	Не	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ages	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
OrthoEvra), or	Percentage of st birth control ring ently sexually ac	udents who used a g (e.g., NuvaRing tive)	a shot (e.g., Depo) (during last sex	o-Provera), patch ual intercourse an	(e.g., nong students			
				4.2	1.6	Decreased, 2013-2015	Not available§	No change
patch, or birth		udents who used lore last sexual inte						_
				34.0	32.5	No linear change	Not available	No change
IUD or implan	t; or a shot, patch	tudents who used n, or birth control dents who were cu	ring before last s	exual intercourse				
				10.5	11.1	No linear change	Not available	No change
		tudents who did not tudents who were			nancy (during			
	9.6	9.3	12.1	8.8	11.6	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Γotal Veight Manage	ment and Dietar	y Behaviors						
	Не	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	ages		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
			rweight (>= 85th					
14.5	13.1	14.3	14.1	13.9	14.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
			bese (>= 95th per om the 2000 CDC		mass index,			
11.1	12.2	10.2	12.5	12.3	12.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN69: Percen	ntage of students	who described the	emselves as sligh	tly or very overw	eight			
28.8	28.7	26.0	28.7	29.4	30.0	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN70: Percer	ntage of students	who were trying	to lose weight					
46.7	45.2	46.4	47.1	47.2	47.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	ntage of students vays before the sur		fruit juice (100%	6 fruit juices one	or more times			
18.9	18.3	17.7	20.0	23.6	26.9	Increased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2009 Increased, 2009-2015	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

	He	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	iges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN72: Percenthe survey)	tage of students	who did not eat fi	ruit (one or more	times during the	7 days before			
15.2	12.6	12.0	12.3	12.7	12.0	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QNFR0: Perce days before the		s who did not eat	fruit or drink 100)% fruit juices (d	uring the 7			
6.6	5.5	4.3	4.4	4.7	6.3	No linear change	Decreased, 2005-2011 Increased, 2011-2015	No change
	entage of student of days before the	s who ate fruit or ne survey)	drank 100% frui	t juices one or mo	ore times per			
62.3	65.1	66.4	65.4	63.3	61.8	No linear change	Increased, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	No change
	entage of student e 7 days before th	s who ate fruit or ne survey)	drank 100% frui	t juices two or mo	ore times per			
33.8	33.6	35.6	35.2	31.0	30.5	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	No change
		s who ate fruit or	drank 100% frui	t juices three or n	nore times per			
day (during the	, i days octore u							

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

		y Behaviors alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	eges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN73: Percei	ntage of students v	who did not eat sa	alad (during the 7	days before the	survey)			
30.2	29.8	32.0	32.9	34.7	36.0	Increased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN74: Percer	ntage of students v	who did not eat p	otatoes (during th	ne 7 days before t	he survey)			
33.8	34.8	33.6	31.3	34.2	33.1	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN75: Percei	ntage of students v	who did not eat car	arrots (during the	7 days before the	e survey)			
52.3	47.4	48.2	49.9	50.1	50.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
		1 111 4	ther vegetables (d	luring the 7 days	before the			
QN76: Percer survey)	ntage of students v	vno did not eat o	mer vegetables (e	ranning and , amy s				

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

tal eight Managei	ment and Dietar	y Behaviors						
	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	iges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
	rcentage of studer ried potatoes, or p							
7.2	6.3	5.6	4.3	6.1	6.9	No linear change	Decreased, 2005-2011 Increased, 2011-2015	No change
ONVEG1: Pe	rcentage of stude	nts who ate veget	ables one or more	e times per day (g	green salad,			
potatoes [exclu	iding French fries tys before the sur		or potato chips], o	carrots, or other v	egetables,			
potatoes [exclu			or potato chips], o	carrots, or other v	egetables, 64.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
potatoes [excluduring the 7 da 61.8 QNVEG2: Pe potatoes [exclu	rys before the sur	ota vey) 64.9 nts who ate veget s, fried potatoes, of the control	64.7 ables two or mor	65.8 e times per day (§	64.8 green salad,	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
potatoes [excluduring the 7 da 61.8 QNVEG2: Pe potatoes [exclu	66.5 rcentage of studenting French fries	ota vey) 64.9 nts who ate veget s, fried potatoes, of the control	64.7 ables two or mor	65.8 e times per day (§	64.8 green salad,	No linear change No linear change	No quadratic change No quadratic change	No change
potatoes [excluduring the 7 da 61.8 QNVEG2: Pe potatoes [excluduring the 7 da 30.5 QNVEG3: Pe potatoes [excluduring the 7 da 30.5	nys before the sur 66.5 reentage of studer ading French fries nys before the sur	nts who ate vegets, fried potatoes, evey) 28.7 nts who ate vegets, fried potatoes, evey)	ables two or mor or potato chips], of 28.3	e times per day (gearrots, or other v	64.8 green salad, egetables, 28.7 (green salad,			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	nges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	soda or diet pop,				pop (not			
8				29.5	33.6	Increased, 2013-2015	Not available§	Increased
			can, bottle, or gl					
	(not including die					No linear change	Not available	No change
imes per day		et soda or diet pop	o, during the 7 da	13.9 ass of soda or po	11.9 p two or more	No linear change	Not available	No change
mes per day ONSODA2: I	(not including die	et soda or diet pop	o, during the 7 da	13.9 ass of soda or po	11.9 p two or more	No linear change No linear change	Not available Not available	No change
QNSODA2: I times per day	(not including die	et soda or diet pop dents who drank a et soda or diet pop dents who drank a	a can, bottle, or glo, during the 7 da	ass of soda or po ys before the surv 9.6	p two or more vey) 7.6 p three or			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
N79: Percen	tage of students v	who did not eat b	reakfast (during t	he 7 days before	the survey)			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

·	Нег	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
more days (do	ntage of students ving any kind of plome of the time do	nysical activity th	nat increased their	heart rate and m				
			49.5	46.6	45.3	No linear change	Not available§	No change
ctivity on at l	Percentage of stue east 1 day (doing eathe hard some or	any kind of phys	ical activity that i	increased their he	s of physical eart rate and			
activity on at l	east 1 day (doing	any kind of phys	ical activity that i	increased their he	s of physical eart rate and	No linear change	Not available	No change
activity on at I made them bre QNPA7DAY: all 7 days (doi:	east 1 day (doing	any kind of phys f the time during idents who were ysical activity that	the 7 days before 11.5 physically active at increased their	at least 60 minut heart rate and ma	14.3 es per day on	No linear change	Not available	No change
activity on at I made them bre QNPA7DAY: all 7 days (doi:	east 1 day (doing eathe hard some of the	any kind of phys f the time during idents who were ysical activity that	the 7 days before 11.5 physically active at increased their	at least 60 minut heart rate and ma	14.3 es per day on	No linear change No linear change	Not available Not available	No change
QNPA7DAY: all 7 days (doi: breathe hard so	east 1 day (doing eathe hard some of the	any kind of phys f the time during idents who were ysical activity tha during the 7 days	ical activity that is the 7 days before 11.5 physically active at increased their before the survey 26.0	at least 60 minut heart rate and may	es per day on de them			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

al ysical Activity	Не	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN82: Percenta	nge of students day (for someth	who played video	or computer gan school work on ar	nes or used a com n average school	nputer 3 or day)			
	27.6	27.9	30.5	36.7	37.8	Increased, 2007-2015	Not available§	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	nges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
QN88: Percer	itage of students v	who had 8 or mor	re hours of sleep	(on an average scl	hool night)			
QN88: Percen	atage of students v	who had 8 or mor	re hours of sleep (26.2	(on an average scl 24.2	hool night)	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available [§]	Decreased
	26.0	25.6	26.2		19.9	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available [§]	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

tal e-Added	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN90: Percer	ntage of students v	who described the	eir health as exce	llent or very good	1			
64.2	60.6	65.5	62.9	62.2	62.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	ntage of students v fore the survey, a				29.4	Decreased, 2013-2015	Not available [§]	Decreased
	ntage of students v ize, or physical ap							
			25.5	25.7	24.3	No linear change	Not available	No change
	ntage of students v ght they were gay							

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

tal e-Added	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
	cutting or burnin			ore times during				
	3,		16.1	16.7	18.5	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
	tage of students v			t the kind of help ry, or anxious)	they need			
38.5	39.0	44.1	38.0	37.8	25.4	Decreased, 2005-2015	Increased, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	Decreased
QN97: Percentimes during th		vho have taken o	ver-the-counter d	rugs to get high (one or more			
13.0	12.9	10.0	9.7	10.3	6.3	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased
				uence of alcohol or ing the 12 months				
•				16.3	13.3	Decreased, 2013-2015	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

otal te-Added								
	Неа	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN99: Percen	ntage of students v	who have been pr	egnant or gotten	someone pregnar	nt (one or			
			4.2	3.2	3.2	No linear change	Not available§	No change
	entage of students e 7 days before th		one meal with the	eir family (on thre	ee or more			
	62.9	63.7	65.5	64.4	68.2	Increased, 2007-2015	Not available	No change
sports team eit have memory	entage of students her during a game problems, double ne or more times, the survey)	e or during practi or blurry vision,	ce (which caused headaches or "pr	them to get "kno essure" in the hea	ocked out", ad, or nausea g the 12			
				19.3	20.0	No linear change	Not available	No change
QN108: Perce	entage of students sease (STD)	who have been t	old by a doctor o	r nurse that they l	had a sexually			
		3.8	5.2	5.4	3.8	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

	Неа	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from
								2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
ctivities (suc	entage of students h as school clubs; i; or other supervis	sports; communi	ty center groups;	music, art, or dar	nce lessons;			
58.5	60.7	66.8	64.3	64.4	65.7	Increased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
N116: Perco	85.2 entage of students on a school day)	85.1	86.0	87.3	85.0 without an	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
	26.3	21.6	23.5	21.2	22.0	Decreased, 2005-2015	Decreased, 2005-2009	No change
29.2	20.3						No change, 2009-2015	
29.2 N118: Perce	entage of students	who reported the		teacher or other a	adult in their		No change, 2009-2015	
29.2 N118: Perce	entage of students	who reported the		teacher or other a	adult in their 67.2	Increased, 2013-2015	Not available	Increased
29.2 QN118: Perceichool that the	entage of students	who reported the	n 	63.8	67.2	Increased, 2013-2015		Increased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. §Not enough years of data to calculate.

	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
			-	-	-	-		
rogram (such	ntage of students as a vocational tr							
						No linear change	Increased, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	No change
program (such college) 75.8 QN121: Perce lottery tickets,	as a vocational tr	79.5 who gambled for team sports, or p	78.8	76.6	75.5 buying	No linear change		No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

ale jury and Violo		alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN9: Percent by someone el	age of students w	ho rarely or neve	r wore a seat belt	(when riding in a	a car driven			
15.0	10.6	10.0	10.9	8.9	9.4	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	ntage of students v				nol (in a car or			
27.5	27.0	24.9	25.0	23.0	17.9	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased
	ntage of students verthe survey, amore survey)					No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
ON12: Paragr	ntage of students v	who texted or e-n	nailed while drivi	ng a car or other	vehicle (on at			
least 1 day dui	ring the 30 days be the 30 days before	efore the survey, e the survey)	among students v	who had driven a	car or other			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Male Injury and Viole	ence							
	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN15: Percenclub on at leas	tage of students v t 1 day during the	who carried a wea 30 days before t	apon on school pr he survey)	operty (such as a	gun, knife, or			
9.5	8.1	5.5	9.8	9.5	8.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	tage of students v							
8.5	4.4	5.1	6.1	6.6	7.2	No linear change	Decreased, 2005-2009 No change, 2009-2015	No change
	tage of students v knife, or club on							
12.2	10.1	7.9	8.8	9.2	7.9	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN18: Percen	tage of students v	who were in a phy	ysical fight (one o	or more times du	ring the 12			
38.5	37.9	35.7	32.4	29.2	22.9	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Male Injury and Viole	ence							
	Неа	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	eges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN21: Percer (when they did	ntage of students v	who were ever ph	nysically forced to	have sexual inte	ercourse			
	7.9	6.3	4.4	6.8	6.2	No linear change	Not available§	No change
during the 12 with an object	ntage of students v months before the or weapon on pur dated or went out	survey, including survey, someone	g being hit, slam e they were dating	ned into somethi g or going out wi	ng, or injured th among	No linear change	Not available	No chango
				7.9	0.8	No linear change	Not available	No change
during the 12 to have sexual	ntage of students v months before the intercourse when udents who dated	survey, includin they did not war	g kissing, touchir nt to by someone	ng, or being phys they were dating	ically forced or going out			
survey)				7.3	6.4	No linear change	Not available	No change
QN24: Percer before the surv	ntage of students vey)	who were bullied	on school proper	ty (during the 12	months			
			22.3	17.9	16.2	Decreased, 2011-2015	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

ale jury and Viole								
	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN25: Percen e-mail, chat ro survey)	atage of students voms, instant mess	who were electron aging, websites,	nically bullied (in or texting during	cluding being bu the 12 months be	llied through efore the			
			12.5	12.3	10.3	No linear change	Not available§	No change
	atage of students values topped doing							
18.3	16.4	17.2	18.0	19.7	18.5	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN27: Percen	tage of students v	who seriously cor	nsidered attemptin	ng suicide (during	g the 12			
12.3	9.7	11.7	11.9	10.9	10.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN29: Percen	tage of students v	who attempted su	icide (one or mor	e times during th	e 12 months			
12.0	10.2	7.5	5.2	8.1	6.6	Decreased, 2005-2015	Decreased, 2005-2011 No change, 2011-2015	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Iale obacco Use	Не	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN33: Percendays before the		who currently sm	oked cigarettes (c	on at least 1 day o	luring the 30			
17.8	19.5	19.0	17.3	15.1	12.3	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	No change
	ercentage of stud e 30 days before		y frequently smol	ked cigarettes (or	1 20 or more			
8.6	8.6	7.1	6.2	5.5	2.4	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	Decreased
	Percentage of st lays before the st		ntly smoked cigar	rettes daily (on al	ll 30 days			
7.6	6.2	4.9	4.2	4.4	1.7	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Male Alcohol and Oth	er Drug Use							
	Не	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ages		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN42: Percenthan a few sips		who drank alcoho	ol before age 13 y	ears (for the first	time other			
24.6	21.0	19.3	18.2	18.8	12.7	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased
		who currently dra before the survey)		ast one drink of a	lcohol on at			
45.0	46.7	43.2	41.3	36.4	28.0	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	Decreased
		who drank five or y during the 30 da			within a			
28.7	27.8	26.0	25.4	23.0	13.6	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	Decreased
		who usually obtai urrently drank alc		hey drank by son	neone giving it			
			27.0	27.3	31.3	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
QN47: Percen	tage of students	who ever used ma	arijuana (one or n	nore times during	their life)			
42.2	39.9	41.3	43.7	46.1	35.3	No linear change	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ages		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN48: Percer	ntage of students v	vho tried marijua	ina before age 13	years (for the first	st time)			
11.7	9.9	7.9	8.3	10.0	8.2	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN49: Percer days before the	ntage of students versions and survey)	vho currently use	ed marijuana (one	or more times d	uring the 30			
25.9	24.4	25.3	27.3	29.4	19.7	No linear change	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	Decreased
	ntage of students vase, one or more			of cocaine, such a	s powder,			
10.2	9.0	6.6	6.3	6.9	6.1	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	ntage of students v							
life)								

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Iale lcohol and Oth	er Drug Use							
	He	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	ages		Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	tage of students v		roin (also called	"smack," "junk,"	or "China			
6.9	4.8	4.1	4.2	5.4	3.0	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased
		who ever used me mes during their	ethamphetamines life)	(also called "spe	ed," "crystal,"			
8.1	6.3	4.4	4.4	6.4	3.6	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased
QN54: Percen during their life	tage of students v	who ever used ec	stasy (also called	"MDMA," one o	or more times			
8.6	6.8	6.2	7.5	8.5	5.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	Decreased
		who ever injected or more times du	l any illegal drug ring their life)	(used a needle to	inject any			
				3.6	2.7	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
QN59: Percen	tage of students v	who were offered before the survey	, sold, or given a	n illegal drug on	school			
33.9	33.8	32.7	32.3	29.0	30.3	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

ale xual Behaviors	3							
	He	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	nges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN60: Percent	tage of students	who ever had sex	ual intercourse	,	,			
47.0	43.1	42.9	43.7	43.2	34.5	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased
QN61: Percent	tage of students	who had sexual in	ntercourse before	age 13 years (for	the first time)			
9.2	8.2	6.1	6.5	5.4	4.0	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN62: Percent their life)	tage of students	who had sexual in	ntercourse with fo	our or more perso	ns (during	-		
16.6	15.1	12.5	12.6	13.9	7.1	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased
10.0								
QN63: Percent		who were current onths before the s		e (sexual intercou	rse with at			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	nges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN65: Percentagestudents who we	ge of students v	who used a condo	om (during last se	exual intercourse	among			
	67.4	62.9	68.5	65.7	66.6	No linear change	Not available§	No change
	ge of students v	who were ever tes	sted for HIV (not	including tests d	one when			
	ge of students v	who were ever tes	sted for HIV (not 14.8	including tests d	one when 9.1	No linear change	Not available	Decreased
donating blood) QN66: Percenta	13.0 ge of students v	11.2 who used birth co	14.8	13.9 e last sexual inter	9.1	No linear change	Not available	Decreased
QN85: Percentage donating blood) QN66: Percentage prevent pregnance	13.0 ge of students v	11.2 who used birth co	14.8	13.9 e last sexual inter	9.1	No linear change	Not available Not available	Decreased No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Male Sexual Behaviors	s							
	Не	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
OrthoEvra), or	Percentage of st birth control ring ently sexually act	udents who used a g (e.g., NuvaRing tive)	a shot (e.g., Depo) (during last sex	o-Provera), patch ual intercourse an	(e.g., mong students			
				3.1	2.1	No linear change	Not available§	No change
patch, or birth		udents who used bore last sexual inte						
				27.7	28.5	No linear change	Not available	No change
IUD or implan	t; or a shot, patch	udents who used a, or birth control dents who were cu	ring before last s	exual intercourse	ontrol pills; an (to prevent			
				8.5	9.8	No linear change	Not available	No change
		tudents who did not tudents who were			nancy (during			
	9.7	9.9	9.6	9.1	10.5	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
			rweight (>= 85th ific reference data					
16.3	14.8	16.6	16.5	14.3	14.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
			pese (>= 95th per m the 2000 CDC		mass index,			
13.8	16.0	13.7	16.5	14.5	15.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN69: Percen	tage of students v	who described the	emselves as slight	ly or very overw	eight			
23.8	23.6	23.8	26.2	23.8	26.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN70: Percen	tage of students v	who were trying t	o lose weight					
31.6	31.1	31.6	34.7	30.6	34.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	tage of students v		fruit juice (100%	fruit juices one	or more times			
18.5	15.7	17.4	19.2	20.1	25.4	Increased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2009 Increased, 2009-2015	Increased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

	Не	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN72: Percer the survey)	ntage of students	who did not eat fi	ruit (one or more	times during the	7 days before			
17.9	14.3	14.6	15.0	14.9	15.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QNFR0: Perc days before the	entage of student e survey)	s who did not eat	fruit or drink 100	% fruit juices (d	uring the 7			
8.2	6.2	5.4	5.7	5.3	8.8	No linear change	No change, 2005-2011 No change, 2011-2015	Increased
QNFR1: Perc day (during the	entage of student e 7 days before th	s who ate fruit or le survey)	drank 100% fruit	juices one or mo	ore times per			
62.7	65.8	67.3	65.8	64.6	59.5	No linear change	No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	Decreased
	entage of student e 7 days before th		drank 100% fruit	juices two or mo	ore times per			
265	34.8	37.3	36.4	31.3	27.7	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	No change
36.5			1 1 1000/ 6 1	• • 41	ana timaa man			
QNFR3: Perc	entage of student e 7 days before th	s who ate fruit or le survey)	drank 100% fruit	juices three or n	iore umes per			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	iges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
QN73: Percei	ntage of students v	who did not eat sa	alad (during the 7	days before the	survey)			
33.8	34.4	35.3	37.7	40.8	42.0	Increased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN74: Percei	ntage of students v	who did not eat p	otatoes (during th	ne 7 days before t	he survey)			
		21.2	29.8	31.6	30.7	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
32.0	33.8	31.2	27.0	21.0	30.7	140 illicar change	110 quadratic change	140 change
	33.8 ntage of students v					Two finear change	Two quadratic citatige	140 change
						No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN75: Percer	ntage of students v	who did not eat ca	arrots (during the	7 days before the	e survey) 49.5			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Iale Veight Manage	ment and Dietary	y Behaviors						
	Нег	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	iges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
	rcentage of studer ried potatoes, or p							
8.8	7.6	5.8	5.7	7.8	7.7	No linear change	Decreased, 2005-2009 No change, 2009-2015	No change
potatoes [excli	rcentage of studer ading French fries ays before the sur-	s, fried potatoes, o						
60.8	66.3	65.2	61.4	66.0	63.1	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
potatoes [excli	rcentage of studer uding French fries ays before the sur-	s, fried potatoes,						
31.4	28.8	28.6	26.3	29.5	28.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
potatoes [excli	rcentage of studer uding French fries ays before the sur-	s, fried potatoes, o						
15.1	14.5	13.7	11.3	14.5	13.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Male Weight Manage	ment and Dietar	y Behaviors						
	Не	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	nges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
		who did not drink during the 7 days			oop (not			
				23.5	29.9	Increased, 2013-2015	Not available§	Increased
		lents who drank a et soda or diet pop						
				17.1	16.0	No linear change	Not available	No change
		lents who drank a et soda or diet pop						
				11.9	10.1	No linear change	Not available	No change
		lents who drank a						
				5.4	5.9	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

	He	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
-	:					:		
N79: Percer	tage of students v	who did not eat b	reakfast (during t	he 7 days before	the survey)			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Male Physical Activity	,							
	Нег	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
more days (doi	tage of students v ng any kind of ph ome of the time du	nysical activity th	at increased their	heart rate and m	lay on 5 or ade them			
			57.9	55.3	50.8	No linear change	Not available§	No change
activity on at le	Percentage of stue east 1 day (doing athe hard some of	any kind of phys	ical activity that i	ncreased their he	s of physical eart rate and			
			10.0	10.9	11.7	No linear change	Not available	No change
all 7 days (doi:	Percentage of stung any kind of phyome of the time (d	ysical activity tha	t increased their	heart rate and ma	es per day on ade them			
			34.8	33.4	32.6	No linear change	Not available	No change
QN81: Percen school day)	tage of students v	who watched tele	vision 3 or more l	hours per day (or	ı an average			
35.3	31.5	30.8	27.5	25.1	21.6	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

de ysical Activity	He	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ages		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN82: Percenta more hours per c	ige of students v lay (for someth	who played video	or computer gan school work on ar	nes or used a com	nputer 3 or day)			
	30.8	29.6	32.9	34.5	37.3	Increased, 2007-2015	Not available§	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

ner	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges	Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
N88: Percen	tage of students v	who had 8 or mor	e hours of sleep (on an average scl	hool night)			
	28.1	29.1	26.9	25.4	22.1	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available§	No change
QN89: Percent	tage of students vey)	who made mostly	A's or B's in sch	ool (during the 12	2 months			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

ale te-Added	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN90: Percen	tage of students v	who described the	eir health as excel	lent or very good	1			
70.2	67.7	70.2	66.8	69.1	66.7	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
		who talked on a c mong students w			t 1 day during 29.6	Decreased, 2013-2015	Not available [§]	Decreased
		who have been the						
			25.2	21.8	21.5	No linear change	Not available	No change
QN94: Percensomeone thoug	tage of students verte gay	who have been the	e victim of teasin kual (during the 1	g or name calling 2 months before	g because the survey)			
			11.1	11.7	9.8	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †		
2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
cutting or burning							
		10.7	11.4	14.3	No linear change	Not available§	No change
				they need			
36.1	44.5	34.8	39.5	24.4	Decreased, 2005-2015	Increased, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	Decreased
	vho have taken o	ver-the-counter d	rugs to get high (one or more			
eir life)							
t	tage of students v cutting or burning ey)	tage of students who did somethin cutting or burning themselves on pey) tage of students who most of the tits who report having felt sad, empressions.	tage of students who did something to purposely hucutting or burning themselves on purpose one or meey) 10.7 tage of students who most of the time or always get to who report having felt sad, empty, hopeless, angree.	tage of students who did something to purposely hurt themselves with cutting or burning themselves on purpose one or more times during the telephone to the students who most of the time or always get the kind of help to the students who report having felt sad, empty, hopeless, angry, or anxious)	tage of students who did something to purposely hurt themselves without wanting cutting or burning themselves on purpose one or more times during the 12 months ey) 10.7 11.4 14.3 tage of students who most of the time or always get the kind of help they need to who report having felt sad, empty, hopeless, angry, or anxious)	tage of students who did something to purposely hurt themselves without wanting cutting or burning themselves on purpose one or more times during the 12 months ey) 10.7 11.4 14.3 No linear change tage of students who most of the time or always get the kind of help they need to who report having felt sad, empty, hopeless, angry, or anxious)	tage of students who did something to purposely hurt themselves without wanting cutting or burning themselves on purpose one or more times during the 12 months ey) 10.7 11.4 14.3 No linear change Not available§ tage of students who most of the time or always get the kind of help they need to who report having felt sad, empty, hopeless, angry, or anxious) 36.1 44.5 34.8 39.5 24.4 Decreased, 2005-2015 Increased, 2005-2009

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

lle e-Added								
	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
QN99: Percer more times)	itage of students v	who have been pr	egnant or gotten	someone pregnar	nt (one or			
			4.2	4.3	3.8	No linear change	Not available§	No change
	entage of students e 7 days before th		one meal with the	eir family (on thre	ee or more			
	62.8	65.8	64.3	65.1	69.6	Increased, 2007-2015	Not available	No change
sports team eit have memory	entage of students her during a game problems, double the or more times, the survey)	e or during practi or blurry vision,	ce (which caused headaches or "pr	them to get "kno essure" in the hea	ocked out", ad, or nausea			
				22.9	22.9	No linear change	Not available	No change
QN108: Perce	entage of students sease (STD)	who have been t	old by a doctor of	nurse that they	had a sexually			
	. ,	4.1	5.4	7.1	3.5	No linear change	Not available	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Connecticut High School Survey 10-year Trend Analysis Report

	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
activities (such	entage of students as school clubs; or other supervise	sports; communi	ty center groups;	music, art, or dar	nce lessons;			
	57.9	64.8	61.5	64.7	63.4	Increased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
survey) 56.2 QN112: Perce	entage of students p and support who	who agree or streen they need it	ongly agree that t	heir family loves	them and			
survey) 56.2 QN112: Perce	entage of students	who agree or stro				Increased, 2005-2015 No linear change	No quadratic change Not available ⁸	No change
survey) 56.2 QN112: Perce gives them hel QN116: Perce	entage of students p and support who	who agree or streen they need it 87.7	ongly agree that t	heir family loves 89.2	them and 86.6			
survey) 56.2 QN112: Perce gives them hel QN116: Perce	entage of students p and support who 87.3	who agree or streen they need it 87.7	ongly agree that t	heir family loves 89.2	them and 86.6			
survey) 56.2 QN112: Perce gives them hel QN116: Perce adult present (a 27.9) QN118: Perce	entage of students p and support who 87.3 entage of students on a school day)	who agree or streen they need it 87.7 who usually spen 21.7	ongly agree that the street st	heir family loves 89.2 ours after school 20.7	them and 86.6 without an 22.1	No linear change	Not available ⁸	No change

12.0

11.4

No linear change

Not available

No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. §Not enough years of data to calculate.

2005					Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
		who probably or raining program,						
68.9	74.1	75.6	76.9	73.6	71.0	No linear change	Increased, 2005-2009 No change, 2009-2015	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female njury and Viole	ence							
	Hea	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	eges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN9: Percent by someone el	age of students w	ho rarely or neve	r wore a seat belt	(when riding in a	a car driven			
7.5	7.5	8.5	7.3	7.0	7.0	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN10: Percer	ntage of students v	who rode with a during the 30 da	lriver who had be	en drinking alcol	nol (in a car or			
31.9	27.4	28.2	25.4	21.3	19.0	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN11: Percer 30 days before days before th	ntage of students ve the survey, amore survey)	who drove when a	drinking alcohol on a driven a car o	(one or more time r other vehicle du	es during the uring the 30			
				8.5	4.3	Decreased, 2013-2015	Not available§	Decreased
least 1 day du	ntage of students viring the 30 days before	efore the survey,	nailed while drivi among students v	ng a car or other who had driven a	vehicle (on at car or other			
	-	• /		32.4	29.4	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female Injury and Viole	nce							
	Hea	llth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	tage of students w			roperty (such as a	gun, knife, or			
3.0	2.8	2.2	3.4	3.6	3.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	tage of students w							
5.9	6.4	4.6	4.3	7.1	6.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	tage of students w knife, or club one							
5.6	5.0	5.9	4.6	4.7	5.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN18: Percen months before	tage of students w	who were in a phy	ysical fight (one o	or more times du	ring the 12			
26.6	24.7	20.7	17.6	15.1	13.2	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Female Injury and Viole	ence							
	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ages		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN21: Percer (when they did	ntage of students v	who were ever ph	ysically forced to	have sexual inte	ercourse			
	11.5	8.4	10.2	11.6	9.3	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
during the 12 with an object	ntage of students v months before the or weapon on pur dated or went out	survey, includin rpose by someone	g being hit, slams they were datin	med into somethi g or going out wi	ng, or injured th among			
				10.1	8.7	No linear change	Not available	No change
during the 12 to have sexual	ntage of students we months before the intercourse when udents who dated	survey, includin they did not war	g kissing, touchirn to by someone	ng, or being phys they were dating	ically forced or going out			
				15.5	16.4	No linear change	Not available	No change
QN24: Percer before the surv	ntage of students vey)	who were bullied	on school proper	ty (during the 12	months			
			20.6	26.1	20.8	No linear change	Not available	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female njury and Viole	ence							
	He	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	iges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN25: Percer e-mail, chat ro survey)	ntage of students vooms, instant mess	who were electron saging, websites,	nically bullied (in or texting during	cluding being bu the 12 months be	llied through efore the			
•			20.1	22.8	17.4	No linear change	Not available§	Decreased
	ntage of students whey stopped doing							
31.4	29.3	32.9	31.0	34.9	34.7	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN27: Percer months before	ntage of students v	who seriously cor	sidered attempting	ng suicide (during	g the 12			
18.0	16.5	16.6	17.3	18.1	16.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN29: Percent	ntage of students vey)	who attempted su	icide (one or mor	re times during th	e 12 months			
11.8	9.2	7.3	8.2	7.8	8.7	Decreased, 2005-2015	Decreased, 2005-2009 No change, 2009-2015	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female Tobacco Use	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	eges	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN33: Percer days before the		who currently sm	oked cigarettes (on at least 1 day o	luring the 30			
18.2	22.6	16.5	14.4	11.4	8.2	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	No change
	ercentage of stude e 30 days before t		y frequently smo	ked cigarettes (or	20 or more			
6.3	9.2	5.2	4.7	2.7	1.0	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	Decreased
	Percentage of stu lays before the su		ntly smoked ciga	rettes daily (on al	1 30 days			
4.4	7.2	3.8	3.5	2.3	0.8	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. † Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

	Не	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN42: Percenthan a few sips		who drank alcoho	l before age 13 y	ears (for the first	time other			
17.6	15.3	15.6	12.7	10.7	8.1	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN43: Percen least 1 day dur 45.5	atage of students bring the 30 days b	who currently dra before the survey) 43.8	nk alcohol (at lea	st one drink of al	cohol on at	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2011	No change
43.3	43.3	43.6	42.1	37.0	32.0	Decreased, 2003-2013	Decreased, 2011-2015	No change
							,	
		who drank five or y during the 30 da			within a			
					within a	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
couple of hour 26.6 QN46: Percen	24.5	y during the 30 da	19.3 ned the alcohol t	vey) 16.8	14.1	Decreased, 2005-2015		No change
26.6 QN46: Percen	24.5	y during the 30 da 22.5 who usually obtai	19.3 ned the alcohol t	vey) 16.8	14.1	Decreased, 2005-2015 No linear change		No change
26.6 QN46: Percento them (amon	24.5 atage of students who could be student	y during the 30 da 22.5 who usually obtai	ned the alcohol tohol) 41.4	ney drank by som	14.1 neone giving it 37.1	,	No quadratic change	

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

male cohol and Oth		alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 †	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN48: Percen	tage of students v	vho tried marijua	na before age 13	years (for the first	st time)			
5.1	6.9	3.5	4.4	3.8	3.1	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN49: Percen days before the	tage of students ve survey)	who currently use	d marijuana (one	or more times de	uring the 30			
20.0	22.0	18.2	21.0	22.6	20.9	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	tage of students vase, one or more			of cocaine, such a	s powder,			
4.9	7.4	3.9	3.6	2.7	2.6	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN51: Percen aerosol spray o life)	tage of students v	who ever used inhory paints or spray	nalants (sniffed gl	lue, breathed the e or more times d	contents of uring their			
11.0	11.0	10.2	9.1	7.5	5.0	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

emale lcohol and Oth	er Drug Use							
	He	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	ages		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	tage of students more times durin		roin (also called '	"smack," "junk,"	or "China			
1.3	3.0	2.0	1.7	1.2	1.1	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
		who ever used me mes during their	ethamphetamines life)	(also called "spe	ed," "crystal,"			
3.3	4.5	1.8	2.1	2.0	1.9	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN54: Percen during their life		who ever used ec	stasy (also called	"MDMA," one o	r more times			
3.5	6.2	3.6	5.1	4.3	3.2	No linear change	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	No change
QN58: Percen illegal drug int	tage of students of their body one	who ever injected or more times du	l any illegal drug ring their life)	(used a needle to	inject any			
				0.9	1.1	No linear change	Not available§	No change
QN59: Percen property (durin	tage of students v	who were offered before the survey	, sold, or given a	n illegal drug on	school			
28.8	27.0	25.1	23.3	24.9	26.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female Sexual Behaviors	;							
	Не	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	iges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN60: Percent	tage of students	who ever had sex	ual intercourse					
45.0	41.8	38.0	41.8	39.0	31.3	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased
QN61: Percent	tage of students	who had sexual in	ntercourse before	age 13 years (for	the first time)			,
1.8	3.7	2.8	3.3	1.9	1.3	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	No change
QN62: Percent their life)	tage of students	who had sexual in	ntercourse with fo	our or more perso	ns (during			
11.6	9.8	8.4	8.6	7.8	5.3	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN63: Percent least one person	tage of students on during the 3 m	who were current onths before the	ly sexually active	e (sexual intercou	rse with at			
	33.3	30.3	31.9	30.8	25.1	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available§	Decreased
		who drank alcohorently sexually ac	ol or used drugs b	efore last sexual	intercourse			
(among student	22.7	21.5	18.2	16.0	19.1	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

emale exual Behaviors		alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ages		Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		2013-2013
	tage of students vere currently sex		om (during last se	exual intercourse	among			
	58.7	56.1	53.3	56.0	53.6	No linear change	Not available§	No change
QN85: Percent donating blood		who were ever tes	sted for HIV (not	including tests d	one when			
	15.4	13.2	13.6	12.0	11.3	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available	No change
			ontrol pills (before rently sexually a		course to			
	30.2	25.6	24.8	32.8	30.8	No linear change	Not available	No change
(e.g., Implanon		before last sexua	n IUD (e.g., Mire l intercourse to p			No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female Sexual Behavior	s							
	He	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
OrthoEvra), or		udents who used a g (e.g., NuvaRing tive)						
				5.2	1.2	Decreased, 2013-2015	Not available [§]	No change
patch, or birth		udents who used lore last sexual inte						
				39.9	35.9	No linear change	Not available	No change
IUD or implan	t; or a shot, patch	tudents who used n, or birth control dents who were cu	ring before last s	exual intercourse				
				12.4	12.1	No linear change	Not available	No change
		tudents who did n			nancy (during			
	9.3	8.8	14.4	8.4	12.3	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Temale Veight Manage	ment and Dietar	y Behaviors						
	Не	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	ages		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
		nts who were over sex- and age-spec						
12.7	11.3	11.9	11.7	13.5	14.1	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
		lents who were ol reference data fro			mass index,			
8.2	8.1	6.5	8.4	10.1	9.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN69: Percer	tage of students	who described the	emselves as sligh	tly or very overw	eight			
33.8	34.2	28.3	31.0	35.0	33.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN70: Percer	tage of students	who were trying t	o lose weight	1	,			
62.5	59.8	61.6	59.8	64.0	60.5	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	tage of students	who did not drink	fruit juice (100%	6 fruit juices one	or more times			
19.2	20.5	17.9	20.7	26.9	28.4	Increased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2009 Increased, 2009-2015	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. † Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

	Не	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	ages		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN72: Percer the survey)	atage of students	who did not eat f	ruit (one or more	times during the	7 days before			
12.3	10.6	9.4	9.5	10.2	8.3	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QNFR0: Percedays before the		s who did not eat	fruit or drink 100	0% fruit juices (d	uring the 7			
4.8	4.6	3.3	2.9	4.0	3.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	entage of student e 7 days before th		drank 100% frui	t juices one or mo	ore times per			
61.8	64.5	65.5	65.1	62.0	64.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QNFR2: Perceday (during the	entage of student e 7 days before th	s who ate fruit or ne survey)	drank 100% frui	t juices two or mo	ore times per			
31.1	32.3	33.7	34.1	30.7	33.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	antaga of student	s who ate fruit or	drank 100% frui	t inices three or n	nore times per			
QNFR3: Perceday (during the	entage of student e 7 days before th		draine 10070 Hui	t juices unice of i	nore united per			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN73: Percei	ntage of students v	who did not eat sa	alad (during the 7	days before the s	survey)			
26.5	24.7	28.6	28.0	28.5	29.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN74: Percei	ntage of students v	who did not eat po	otatoes (during th	e 7 days before tl	ne survey)			
35.8	35.8	35.9	32.9	37.0	35.7	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN75: Percei	ntage of students v	vho did not eat ca	arrots (during the	7 days before the	e survey)			
QN75: Percei	ntage of students v	who did not eat ca	arrots (during the 49.9	7 days before the 52.0	survey) 50.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
53.5	_	50.9	49.9	52.0	50.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
	rcentage of studer ried potatoes, or p							
5.4	5.1	5.4	2.8	4.4	6.3	No linear change	Decreased, 2005-2011 Increased, 2011-2015	No change
otatoes [exclu	rcentage of studer ading French fries ays before the sur-	s, fried potatoes, o						
ootatoes [exclu	ıding French fries	s, fried potatoes, o				No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
potatoes [excluduring the 7 da 62.9 QNVEG2: Perpotatoes [exclu	iding French fries tys before the sur	s, fried potatoes, ovey) 64.6 Ints who ate vegets, fried potatoes, over the second s	or potato chips], of 67.9 ables two or more	earrots, or other v 65.5 e times per day (§	egetables, 66.3 green salad,	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
potatoes [excluduring the 7 da 62.9 QNVEG2: Perpotatoes [exclu	ding French fries ays before the sur- 66.8 rcentage of studer ading French fries	s, fried potatoes, ovey) 64.6 Ints who ate vegets, fried potatoes, over the second s	or potato chips], of 67.9 ables two or more	earrots, or other v 65.5 e times per day (§	egetables, 66.3 green salad,	No linear change No linear change	No quadratic change No quadratic change	No change
potatoes [excluduring the 7 da 62.9 QNVEG2: Perpotatoes [excluduring the 7 da 29.6 QNVEG3: Perpotatoes [excluduring the 7 da 29.6	ading French fries says before the sur- 66.8 recentage of studer ading French fries says before the sur-	s, fried potatoes, ovey) 64.6 Ints who ate veget s, fried potatoes, ovey) 28.7 Ints who ate veget s, fried potatoes, ovey)	ables two or more potato chips], of ables two or more potato chips], of ables three or more ables three or more potato chips.	etimes per day (gearrots, or other v	green salad, egetables, 29.1 (green salad,			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Female Weight Manager	ment and Dietar	y Behaviors						
	He	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	nges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
		who did not drink during the 7 days			oop (not			
				35.6	37.3	No linear change	Not available§	No change
		lents who drank a et soda or diet pop						
				10.4	7.8	No linear change	Not available	No change
		lents who drank a et soda or diet pop						
				7.2	5.1	No linear change	Not available	No change
		lents who drank a						
				4.6	2.5	Decreased, 2013-2015	Not available	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Health Risk Behavior and Percentages						Linear Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	=	
N79: Percen	tage of students v	who did not eat b	reakfast (during t	he 7 days before	the survey)		

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female Physical Activity	7							
	Нег	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
more days (doi	tage of students ving any kind of phome of the time do	nysical activity th	nat increased their	heart rate and m				
			41.1	37.8	39.9	No linear change	Not available§	No change
activity on at le	Percentage of stue east 1 day (doing eathe hard some of	any kind of phys	ical activity that i	increased their he				
			13.2	17.3	16.9	No linear change	Not available	No change
all 7 days (doin	Percentage of stung any kind of phome of the time (c	ysical activity that	at increased their	heart rate and ma				
			17.0	18.7	18.1	No linear change	Not available	No change
QN81: Percen school day)	tage of students v	who watched tele	vision 3 or more	hours per day (or	n an average			
31.6	28.7	29.4	26.7	23.2	22.3	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

emale nysical Activity		alth Risk Behav	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
QN82: Percent	tage of students	who played video	or computer gan	nes or used a com	nputer 3 or			
more nours per	24.4	26.2	28.0	39.0	38.2	Increased, 2007-2015	Not available§	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

er	He	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ages		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
N88: Percer	ntage of students	who had 8 or mor	e hours of sleep ((on an average sc	hool night)			
QN88: Percer	ntage of students v	who had 8 or mor	e hours of sleep (25.3	(on an average sci	hool night) 17.5	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available [§]	Decreased
	23.9	22.1	25.3	22.9	17.5	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available [§]	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

e-Added	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
QN90: Percer	ntage of students v	who described the	eir health as excel	lent or very good	d			
58.0	53.6	60.7	58.9	55.0	57.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
INUL: Parcar								
	fore the survey, a				28.9	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
QN93: Percer		mong students w	ho drove a car or e victim of teasin	other vehicle) 31.7 g or name calling	28.9 g because of	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
the 30 days be QN93: Percer	fore the survey, a	mong students w	ho drove a car or e victim of teasin	other vehicle) 31.7 g or name calling	28.9 g because of	No linear change	Not available [§] Not available	No change
Ne 30 days be QN93: Percer their weight, s	fore the survey, a	who have been the opearance (during who have been the opearance)	e victim of teasing the 12 months b 25.7 e victim of teasin	g or name calling efore the survey) 29.8 g or name calling	28.9 g because of 27.0 g because			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

nale e-Added	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
QN95: Percer to die (such as before the surv	cutting or burning	who did something themselves on p	g to purposely hupurpose one or m	art themselves with ore times during the	hout wanting he 12 months			
			21.6	22.0	22.6	No linear change	Not available§	No change
	ntage of students v			t the kind of help try, or anxious)	they need			
41.4	41.4	43.6	40.7	36.7	26.1	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	Decreased
N97: Percer imes during tl	ntage of students v	vho have taken o	ver-the-counter d	rugs to get high (c	one or more			
12.0	13.3	9.7	9.5	8.4	4.5	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	Decreased
				uence of alcohol oring the 12 months				
survey)	-			-				

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

male e-Added	He	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
QN99: Percer more times)	ntage of students v	who have been pr	egnant or gotten	someone pregnar	nt (one or			
			3.9	2.0	2.6	No linear change	Not available§	No change
QN101: Perce	entage of students e 7 days before th	who ate at least one survey)	one meal with the	ir family (on thre	ee or more			
	63.4	61.5	66.9	63.8	67.0	No linear change	Not available	No change
sports team eit have memory	entage of students ther during a game problems, double ae or more times, the survey)	e or during practi or blurry vision,	ce (which caused headaches or "pro	them to get "kno essure" in the hea	ocked out", ad, or nausea	No linear change	Not available	No change
				14.7	17.0	No iniear change	Not available	No change
QN108: Perce transmitted dis	entage of students sease (STD)	who have been to	old by a doctor or	nurse that they l	had a sexually			
		3.3	4.9	3.8	3.9	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

male e-Added								
	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
activities (such	entage of students as school clubs; or other supervise	sports; communi	ty center groups;	music, art, or dar	nce lessons;			
60.9	63.5	69.0	67.4	64.1	68.0	Increased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	entage of students p and support wh		ongly agree that t	heir family loves	them and			
	83.0	82.4	84.9	85.5	83.7	No linear change	Not available§	No change
	entage of students on a school day)	who usually spen	nd four or more h	ours after school	without an			
30.8	25.9	21.6	22.2	21.5	21.9	Decreased, 2005-2015	Decreased, 2005-2009 No change, 2009-2015	No change
	entage of students y can talk to if the			teacher or other a	adult in their			
				64.2	65.1	No linear change	Not available	No change
QN119: Perce	entage of students cation plan or IEF	who are receiving	g special educati	on services (as pa	art of an			
	r	,		8.8	7.7	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

					Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
82.4	83.7	80.8	79.8	80.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	e of students vocational tra	e of students who probably or vocational training program, 82.4 83.7	e of students who probably or definitely will covocational training program, military service, 82.4 83.7 80.8	e of students who probably or definitely will complete a post hig vocational training program, military service, community colleg	e of students who probably or definitely will complete a post high school vocational training program, military service, community college, or 4-year 82.4 83.7 80.8 79.8 80.3	e of students who probably or definitely will complete a post high school vocational training program, military service, community college, or 4-year 82.4 83.7 80.8 79.8 80.3 No linear change	e of students who probably or definitely will complete a post high school vocational training program, military service, community college, or 4-year 82.4 83.7 80.8 79.8 80.3 No linear change No quadratic change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

hite* jury and Viole		alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ages		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN9: Percent by someone el	age of students w	ho rarely or neve	r wore a seat belt	(when riding in a	a car driven			
9.1	6.2	7.5	7.3	5.6	6.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	ntage of students vone or more times 27.9				nol (in a car or	Decreased, 2005-2015	Decreased, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	Decreased
	ntage of students verthe survey, amore survey)			r other vehicle du	aring the 30			
				9.4	6.9	No linear change	Not available¶	No change
	ntage of students viring the 30 days b	efore the survey,						
	the 30 days before	re the survey)						

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

hite* ajury and Violo		alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	ages		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		2013-2015 §
QN15: Percer	ntage of students v	who carried a wea	apon on school pr					
4.9	4.6	3.4	5.7	6.6	4.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	ntage of students v					,		
6.0	4.4	3.8	4.7	5.4	4.5	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	ntage of students v , knife, or club on							
8.1	5.7	6.2	5.8	5.6	4.6	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	stage of students	who were in a ph	vsical fight (one o	or more times dur	ring the 12			
QN18: Percer months before		who were in a pin	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		C			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

hite* jury and Viole	ence							
	Нег	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN21: Percen (when they did	ntage of students v d not want to)	who were ever ph	nysically forced to	have sexual inte	ercourse			
	8.0	5.8	5.5	7.2	5.3	No linear change	Not available [¶]	No change
with an object	months before the or weapon on pur	survey, includin	ig being hit, slami e they were dating	g or going out wi	ng, or injured th among			
with an object	months before the or weapon on pur dated or went out	survey, includin	ig being hit, slami e they were dating	ned into somethi g or going out wi	ng, or injured th among	No linear change	Not available	No change
QN23: Percenduring the 12 to have sexual	or weapon on pur	who experienced survey, including the someone during with someone during who experienced is survey, including they did not war	g being hit, slams e they were dating uring the 12 month sexual dating vious g kissing, touchir nt to by someone	ned into something or going out with a before the survey 7.6 lence (one or mong, or being physithey were dating the 12 months before the survey were dating the 12 months before the survey were dating the 12 months before the survey were dating the sur	ng, or injured th among vey) 7.0 re times ically forced or going out efore the		Not available Not available	
QN23: Percenduring the 12 it to have sexual with among straurvey)	ntage of students v months before the intercourse when udents who dated	who experienced e survey, including who experienced e survey, including they did not war or went out with	g being hit, slams e they were dating uring the 12 month sexual dating vious g kissing, touching to by someone a someone during	ned into something or going out with a before the survey 7.6 Hence (one or money, or being physical they were dating the 12 months be 8.5	ng, or injured th among vey) 7.0 The times ically forced or going out before the 13.1	No linear change Increased, 2013-2015		No change
QN23: Percenduring the 12 it to have sexual with among straurvey)	ntage of students who dated or went out was a students who dated that age of students who dated that age of students who dated that age of students who students	who experienced e survey, including who experienced e survey, including they did not war or went out with	g being hit, slams e they were dating uring the 12 month sexual dating vious g kissing, touching to by someone a someone during	ned into something or going out with a before the survey 7.6 Hence (one or money, or being physical they were dating the 12 months be 8.5	ng, or injured th among vey) 7.0 The times ically forced or going out before the 13.1			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. Not enough years of data to calculate.

ury and Viole		alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	tage of students voms, instant mess							
•			17.6	19.0	14.0	Decreased, 2011-2015	Not available¶	Decreased
QN26: Percen a row so that th 22.1	tage of students v ney stopped doing 19.7	who felt sad or hog some usual activated 22.1	peless (almost exvities during the 22.4	very day for 2 or 12 months before 24.0	more weeks in the survey) 22.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN27: Percen months before	tage of students v	who seriously cor	sidered attemptii	ng suicide (during	g the 12			
14.3	11.8	13.4	13.1	14.2	12.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN29: Percen before the surv	tage of students v	who attempted su	icide (one or mor	e times during th	e 12 months			
11.5	8.8	6.1	5.5	5.8	6.0	Decreased, 2005-2015	Decreased, 2005-2011 No change, 2011-2015	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

White*	
Tobacco	Use

	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges	Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN33: Percer days before the	ntage of students v e survey)	who currently sm	oked cigarettes (c	on at least 1 day d	luring the 30			
19.9	22.4	20.3	16.9	14.8	10.4	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	Decreased
•	ercentage of stude e 30 days before t		y frequently smol	ked cigarettes (or	20 or more			
0.0	10.0	7.3	5.6	4.7	2.0	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	Decreased
8.9								
QNDAYCIG:	Percentage of studays before the su	idents who current	ntly smoked ciga	rettes daily (on al	1 30 days			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

hite* cohol and Oth	er Drug Use							
	Не	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	nges		Linear Change [†] —	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
QN42: Percenthan a few sips		who drank alcoho	ol before age 13 y	ears (for the first	time other			
18.5	16.4	14.4	13.8	12.0	8.3	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased
		who currently dra before the survey)		ast one drink of a	lcohol on at			
49.0	50.6	45.6	44.8	39.7	31.9	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	Decreased
		who drank five or y during the 30 da			within a			
31.2	30.8	27.3	24.8	22.5	15.7	Decreased, 2005-2015	Decreased, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	Decreased
		who usually obtai urrently drank alc		hey drank by son	neone giving it			
			33.6	31.5	35.5	No linear change	Not available¶	No change
QN47: Percen	tage of students	who ever used ma	arijuana (one or n	nore times during	their life)			
38.8	40.1	38.5	39.5	41.4	34.5	No linear change	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	Decreased

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

	ner Drug Use Hea	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	nges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
N48: Percei	ntage of students v	who tried marijua	na before age 13	years (for the first	st time)			
6.5	7.4	4.8	5.6	5.1	4.7	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN49: Percer lays before th	ntage of students versus	who currently use	ed marijuana (one	or more times du	uring the 30			
23.8	25.1	23.1	25.1	25.6	20.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	Decreased
	ntage of students v			of cocaine, such a	s powder,			
8.4	9.0	5.8	4.6	4.4	3.9	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	ntage of students v							
life)								

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

/hite* lcohol and Otho	er Drug Use					Linear Change†		
	He	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	nges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	tage of students v	who ever used he	roin (also called	"smack," "junk,"	or "China			
3.9	3.1	2.7	2.5	2.8	1.5	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
		who ever used me mes during their		(also called "spe	ed," "crystal,"			
5.6	4.7	3.1	2.8	3.4	2.0	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN54: Percent during their life		who ever used ec	stasy (also called	"MDMA," one o	or more times			
6.1	5.4	4.9	6.2	6.5	3.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	Decreased
QN58: Percentillegal drug into	tage of students vo	who ever injected or more times du	any illegal drug ring their life)	(used a needle to	inject any			
				1.9	1.4	No linear change	Not available¶	No change
		who were offered before the survey		n illegal drug on	school			
31.6	29.9	29.8	27.1	27.2	27.8	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. Not enough years of data to calculate.

Connecticut High School Survey 10-year Trend Analysis Report

xual Behaviors		alth Risk Behav	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN60: Percent	age of students v	who ever had sex	ual intercourse					
41.5	38.3	35.9	38.5	39.5	31.4	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased
QN61: Percent	age of students v	who had sexual in	ntercourse before	age 13 years (for	the first time)			
3.3	3.0	2.0	2.5	1.7	1.5	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN62: Percent their life)	age of students v	who had sexual in	ntercourse with fo	our or more perso	ns (during			
11.2	9.4	7.6	7.7	8.2	5.0	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased

23.3

23.0

Decreased, 2007-2015

Decreased, 2007-2015

Not available ¶

Not available

No change

No change

QN63: Percentage of students who were currently sexually active (sexual intercourse with at

QN64: Percentage of students who drank alcohol or used drugs before last sexual intercourse

28.3

19.8

29.1

20.1

26.8

28.6

least one person during the 3 months before the survey)

(among students who were currently sexually active) 30.5

29.7

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.
Not enough years of data to calculate.

2009 ats who used a cond- sexually active)	2011	2013	2015	_		
	(1 1 1 1					
	om (during last se	exual intercourse	among			
60.8	62.2	60.4	59.6	No linear change	Not available [¶]	No change
nts who were ever te	ested for HIV (not	including tests de	one when			
7.6	12.1	10.8	7.7	No linear change	Not available	Decreased
			course to			
29.2	27.2	33.4	33.5	No linear change	Not available	No change
r	7.6 nts who used birth counties who were cut 29.2 f students who used a	nts who were ever tested for HIV (not 7.6 12.1 Ints who used birth control pills (before tudents who were currently sexually a 29.2 27.2 Fistudents who used an IUD (e.g., Mirron) (before last sexual intercourse to p	nts who were ever tested for HIV (not including tests de 7.6 12.1 10.8 nts who used birth control pills (before last sexual intertudents who were currently sexually active) 29.2 27.2 33.4 festudents who used an IUD (e.g., Mirena or ParaGard) on) (before last sexual intercourse to prevent pregnancy	nts who were ever tested for HIV (not including tests done when 7.6 12.1 10.8 7.7 Ints who used birth control pills (before last sexual intercourse to tudents who were currently sexually active) 29.2 27.2 33.4 33.5 Fistudents who used an IUD (e.g., Mirena or ParaGard) or implant on) (before last sexual intercourse to prevent pregnancy among	nts who were ever tested for HIV (not including tests done when 7.6 12.1 10.8 7.7 No linear change Ints who used birth control pills (before last sexual intercourse to tudents who were currently sexually active) 29.2 27.2 33.4 33.5 No linear change Fistudents who used an IUD (e.g., Mirena or ParaGard) or implant on) (before last sexual intercourse to prevent pregnancy among	nts who were ever tested for HIV (not including tests done when 7.6 12.1 10.8 7.7 No linear change Not available Ints who used birth control pills (before last sexual intercourse to tudents who were currently sexually active) 29.2 27.2 33.4 33.5 No linear change Not available Fistudents who used an IUD (e.g., Mirena or ParaGard) or implant on) (before last sexual intercourse to prevent pregnancy among

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. Not enough years of data to calculate.

Vhite* Sexual Behavior	s							
	Hea	ılth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	iges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
OrthoEvra), or	Percentage of stubirth control ring	(e.g., NuvaRing	a shot (e.g., Depo) (during last sex	o-Provera), patch ual intercourse an	(e.g., mong students			
				3.3	1.1	No linear change	Not available [¶]	No change
patch, or birth	Percentage of stu control ring (befo ently sexually acti	re last sexual into						
				39.4	38.4	No linear change	Not available	No change
IUD or implan	Percentage of str t; or a shot, patch nancy among stud	or birth control	ring before last s	exual intercourse				
				13.1	13.1	No linear change	Not available	No change
	Percentage of stu				nancy (during			
	8.5	4.3	7.7	6.8	7.3	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

hite* eight Manage	ment and Dietar	y Behaviors						
	He	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	iges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
				percentile but <9 a from the 2000 C				
13.6	12.1	13.5	12.3	13.3	11.7	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
		lents who were ol		centile for body r	nass index,			
9.1	9.4	8.6	9.8	9.8	11.1	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN69: Percer	tage of students	who described the	emselves as sligh	tly or very overw	eight			
29.0	27.5	25.9	27.1	28.2	29.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN70: Percer	tage of students	who were trying t	o lose weight					
46.8	46.4	46.9	45.7	46.7	45.1	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	atage of students ways before the sur		fruit juice (100%	6 fruit juices one	or more times			
19.3	17.4	17.3	21.0	24.5	28.9	Increased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2009 Increased, 2009-2015	Increased

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

	Не	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	nges		Linear Change [†] -	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
QN72: Percer the survey)	stage of students	who did not eat fi	ruit (one or more	times during the	7 days before			
12.8	10.2	9.4	9.7	9.4	10.1	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QNFR0: Percedays before the		s who did not eat	fruit or drink 100	0% fruit juices (d	uring the 7			
6.5	4.8	3.5	4.0	3.8	5.3	No linear change	Decreased, 2005-2009 No change, 2009-2015	No change
	entage of student e 7 days before th		drank 100% frui	t juices one or mo	ore times per			
63.0	67.1	67.8	67.0	64.8	65.0	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	entage of student e 7 days before th		drank 100% frui	t juices two or mo	ore times per			
32.0	32.6	35.8	35.1	29.5	31.5	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QNFR3: Perc			drank 100% frui	t juices three or n	nore times per			
day (during the	e / days before th	ie siirvevi						

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

	Hea	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN73: Percei	ntage of students v	who did not eat sa	alad (during the 7	days before the	survey)			
24.2	23.5	26.2	27.4	28.9	30.6	Increased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN74: Percei	ntage of students v	who did not eat p	otatoes (during th	e 7 days before t	he survey)			
29.2	31.3	29.1	27.4	31.5	29.9	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN75: Percei	ntage of students v	who did not eat car	arrots (during the	7 days before the	e survey)			
46.4	41.8	41.6	43.0	46.5	43.5	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
					1 6 4			
QN76: Percer	ntage of students v	who did not eat o	ther vegetables (d	luring the 7 days	before the			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [†] -	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
	rcentage of studer ried potatoes, or p							
4.1	4.2	2.7	2.7	4.1	4.5	No linear change	Decreased, 2005-2011 Increased, 2011-2015	No change
				-				
otatoes [excl	rcentage of studer ading French fries ays before the sur	s, fried potatoes, o	ables one or more or potato chips], o	e times per day (g carrots, or other v	green salad, egetables,			
ootatoes [excl	uding French fries	s, fried potatoes, o	ables one or more or potato chips], of 70.1	e times per day (g carrots, or other v 70.6	green salad, egetables, 71.5	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
ootatoes [exchluring the 7 da 67.8 QNVEG2: Pe	nding French fries ays before the sur	s, fried potatoes, ovey) 71.3 nts who ate vegets, fried potatoes, over the state of the state o	70.1 ables two or more	70.6 e times per day (§	71.5 green salad,	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
otatoes [exchluring the 7 da 67.8 QNVEG2: Pe	rcentage of studen	s, fried potatoes, ovey) 71.3 nts who ate vegets, fried potatoes, over the state of the state o	70.1 ables two or more	70.6 e times per day (§	71.5 green salad,	No linear change No linear change	No quadratic change No quadratic change	No change No change
potatoes [excliduring the 7 da 67.8 QNVEG2: Pe potatoes [excliduring the 7 da 33.2 QNVEG3: Pe potatoes [excliduring the 7 da 34.2	72.0 rcentage of studer ding French fries ays before the sur	rts who ate vegets, fried potatoes, ovey) 71.3 and the who ate vegets, fried potatoes, ovey) 31.6 and the who ate vegets, fried potatoes, ovey)	70.1 ables two or more potato chips], or 31.3 ables three or more potato chips	70.6 e times per day (gearrots, or other v 31.9 ore times per day	green salad, egetables, 31.4 (green salad,			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

	ement and Dietary Hea		ior and Percenta	ages		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
	ntage of students v				oop (not			
C	1 17	2 7		32.1	36.1	No linear change	Not available¶	No change
ONICODATE	Damaamtaaa af atud	anta ruha duanta a	oon bottle or a	lass of soda or po	n one or more			
	(not including die					No linear change	Not available	No change
imes per day QNSODA2: 1		t soda or diet pop	o, during the 7 da	ys before the surv 12.0 lass of soda or po	11.0 p two or more	No linear change	Not available	No change
imes per day QNSODA2: 1	(not including die	t soda or diet pop	o, during the 7 da	ys before the surv 12.0 lass of soda or po	11.0 p two or more	No linear change	Not available Not available	No change
QNSODA2: 1 imes per day	(not including die	ents who drank a t soda or diet pop	can, bottle, or glo, during the 7 da	12.0 lass of soda or po ys before the surv 7.4 lass of soda or po	p two or more vey) 6.7 p three or			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. Not enough years of data to calculate.

nite* sight Manager	ment and Dietar He	•	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
QN79: Percen	tage of students v	who did not eat b	reakfast (during tl	ne 7 days before t	he survey)			
				10.7	10.1	No linear change	Not available¶	No change
NBK7DAY:	Percentage of st	udents who ate b	reakfast on all 7 d	ays (during the 7	days before			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

Vhite* hysical Activity	7							
	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change†	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
more days (doi	tage of students ving any kind of plome of the time do	nysical activity th	at increased their	heart rate and m	day on 5 or ade them			
			54.7	52.7	50.6	No linear change	Not available [¶]	No change
activity on at le	Percentage of stue east 1 day (doing eathe hard some o	any kind of phys	ical activity that i	ncreased their he	s of physical eart rate and			
			10.0	9.6	12.0	No linear change	Not available	No change
all 7 days (doin	Percentage of stung any kind of phome of the time (c	ysical activity tha	it increased their	heart rate and ma	tes per day on ade them			
			28.8	29.3	27.5	No linear change	Not available	No change
QN81: Percen school day)	tage of students v	who watched tele	vision 3 or more	hours per day (or	an average			
27.1	23.6	23.5	22.1	19.8	18.9	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. Not enough years of data to calculate.

hite* sysical Activity	Не	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change†	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN82: Percenta	nge of students day (for someth	who played video	or computer gan	nes or used a com	nputer 3 or day)			
•	26.6	26.6	27.3	34.1	35.3	Increased, 2007-2015	Not available [¶]	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

ther	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN88: Percer	ntage of students v	who had 8 or mor	e hours of sleep (on an average scl	nool night)			
	•••		28.5	25.5	20.2	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available¶	Decreased
	28.0	27.0	26.3	23.3	20.2	Decreased, 2007-2013	110t available	Decreased
QN89: Percer before the surv	ntage of students v					Beereused, 2007-2013	Tvoi available	Decreased

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

nite* e-Added	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
QN90: Percer	ntage of students v	who described the	eir health as excel	llent or very good	d			
65.4	62.5	67.9	64.6	64.7	65.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN91: Percer	ntage of students v	who talked on a c	ell phone while d	riving (on at leas	st 1 day during			
	ntage of students v fore the survey, a				st 1 day during 35.6	No linear change	Not available [¶]	No change
the 30 days be QN93: Percer		mong students who have been the	ho drove a car or e victim of teasin	other vehicle) 38.9 g or name calling	35.6 g because of	No linear change	Not available¶	No change
The 30 days be QN93: Percer	fore the survey, a	mong students who have been the	ho drove a car or e victim of teasin	other vehicle) 38.9 g or name calling	35.6 g because of	No linear change No linear change	Not available¶ Not available	No change No change
QN93: Percertheir weight, s	fore the survey, a	who have been the opearance (during who have been the	e victim of teasing the 12 months b 25.1	g or name calling efore the survey) 25.7 g or name calling	35.6 g because of 22.4 g because			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. Not enough years of data to calculate.

Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change†	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
utting or burning	who did something themselves on p	g to purposely hupurpose one or m	ore times during	thout wanting the 12 months			
		16.3	15.5	16.1	No linear change	Not available [¶]	No change
				they need			
42.0	44.8	38.8	40.1	27.5	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	Decreased
	vho have taken o	ver-the-counter d	rugs to get high (one or more			
14.4	10.9	9.8	10.0	5.8	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased
	ge of students valuating or burning y) ge of students value who report have 42.0	ge of students who did somethin atting or burning themselves on particle of students who most of the two report having felt sad, emparts and the students who have taken or some students who	2007 2009 2011 ge of students who did something to purposely huatting or burning themselves on purpose one or m 16.3 ge of students who most of the time or always get who report having felt sad, empty, hopeless, angulated 42.0 44.8 38.8 ge of students who have taken over-the-counter descriptions.	ge of students who did something to purposely hurt themselves wi atting or burning themselves on purpose one or more times during y) 16.3 15.5 ge of students who most of the time or always get the kind of help who report having felt sad, empty, hopeless, angry, or anxious) 42.0 44.8 38.8 40.1 ge of students who have taken over-the-counter drugs to get high (2007 2009 2011 2013 2015 ge of students who did something to purposely hurt themselves without wanting atting or burning themselves on purpose one or more times during the 12 months y) 16.3 15.5 16.1 ge of students who most of the time or always get the kind of help they need who report having felt sad, empty, hopeless, angry, or anxious) 42.0 44.8 38.8 40.1 27.5 ge of students who have taken over-the-counter drugs to get high (one or more	2007 2009 2011 2013 2015 ge of students who did something to purposely hurt themselves without wanting atting or burning themselves on purpose one or more times during the 12 months y) 16.3 15.5 16.1 No linear change ge of students who most of the time or always get the kind of help they need who report having felt sad, empty, hopeless, angry, or anxious) 42.0 44.8 38.8 40.1 27.5 Decreased, 2005-2015 ge of students who have taken over-the-counter drugs to get high (one or more	2007 2009 2011 2013 2015 ge of students who did something to purposely hurt themselves without wanting atting or burning themselves on purpose one or more times during the 12 months (y) 16.3 15.5 16.1 No linear change Not available (support having felt sad, empty, hopeless, angry, or anxious) 42.0 44.8 38.8 40.1 27.5 Decreased, 2005-2015 No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015 ge of students who have taken over-the-counter drugs to get high (one or more

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. Not enough years of data to calculate.

nite* e-Added	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN99: Percer more times)	ntage of students v	vho have been pr	egnant or gotten	someone pregnar	nt (one or			
			2.8	2.1	1.6	No linear change	Not available [¶]	No change
	entage of students e 7 days before th		one meal with the	ir family (on thre	ee or more			
	69.7	71.8	71.4	71.6	76.1	Increased, 2007-2015	Not available	Increased
sports team eit have memory	entage of students ther during a game problems, double ne or more times, the survey)	e or during practi or blurry vision,	ce (which caused headaches or "pr	them to get "kno essure" in the hea	ocked out", ad, or nausea	No linear change	Not available	No change
				10.2	17.1	140 inicai change	ivot available	140 change
QN108: Perce	entage of students sease (STD)	who have been t	old by a doctor o	nurse that they l	had a sexually			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. Not enough years of data to calculate.

hite* te-Added								
	Нег	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
activities (such	ntage of students as school clubs; or other supervis	sports; communi	ty center groups;	music, art, or day	nce lessons;			
61.7	64.5	71.5	67.5	69.9	71.7	Increased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	ntage of students p and support who		ongly agree that t	heir family loves	them and			
	87.7	87.8	87.9	88.3	87.9	No linear change	Not available [¶]	No change
	ntage of students on a school day)	who usually spen	nd four or more h	ours after school	without an			
29.9	26.9	18.9	23.2	20.0	21.3	Decreased, 2005-2015	Decreased, 2005-2009 No change, 2009-2015	No change
QN118: Perce	ntage of students y can talk to if the	who reported the	ere is at least one	teacher or other a	adult in their			
				67.3	69.8	No linear change	Not available	No change
	ntage of students		g special education	on services (as pa	art of an			
	F	•		9.0	9.4	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. Not enough years of data to calculate.

-Added	Hea	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN120: Perce rogram (such ollege)	entage of students as a vocational tr	who probably or raining program,	definitely will comilitary service, o	omplete a post hig community colleg	gh school ge, or 4-year			
	81.9	82.1	80.2	80.6	79.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

Black* njury and Viole		alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN9: Percenta		ho rarely or neve	r wore a seat belt	(when riding in	a car driven			
18.0	16.5	13.5	10.5	10.8	12.6	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
		who rode with a control during the 30 da			hol (in a car or			
27.1	19.4	21.6	24.5	22.8	17.5	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
		who carried a wea		roperty (such as a	gun, knife, or			
11.3	5.6	4.2	9.2	5.1	10.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
		who did not go to at least 1 day dur						
10.6	5.1	6.2	3.8	5.6	10.7	No linear change	Decreased, 2005-2011 Increased, 2011-2015	Increased

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN17: Percent (such as a gun,	tage of students v knife, or club on	who were threaten e or more times o	ned or injured wit luring the 12 mor	h a weapon on so ths before the su	chool property rvey)			
12.5	8.3	7.7	5.7	8.3	9.9	No linear change	Decreased, 2005-2011 No change, 2011-2015	No change
		who were in a phy	vsical fight (one o	or more times du	ring the 12			
QN18: Percent months before 35.5		who were in a phy	vsical fight (one of 27.0	or more times dur	ing the 12 25.2	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
months before 35.5	the survey) 38.3 tage of students v		27.0	24.5	25.2	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. Not enough years of data to calculate.

lack* ajury and Viole								
	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN25: Percen e-mail, chat roo survey)	tage of students voms, instant mess	who were electronaging, websites,	nically bullied (in or texting during	cluding being bu the 12 months be	llied through efore the			
•			8.8	10.8	10.7	No linear change	Not available [¶]	No change
	tage of students v							
30.5	25.1	28.7	21.2	23.1	27.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN27: Percen months before	tage of students v	vho seriously cor	nsidered attemptin	ng suicide (during	g the 12			
16.4	14.3	13.3	16.1	11.4	10.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN29: Percen before the surv	tage of students v	vho attempted su	icide (one or mor	e times during th	e 12 months			
11.5	10.3	9.0	6.2	8.2	7.4	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

ack* obacco Use	He	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN33: Percer days before the		who currently sm	oked cigarettes (c	on at least 1 day o	during the 30			
11.2	9.4	9.6	10.6	7.8	7.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	ercentage of stud e 30 days before		y frequently smo	ked cigarettes (or	n 20 or more			
3.8	1.9	1.6	5.6	1.5	0.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentage of st days before the st		ntly smoked cigar	rettes daily (on al	ll 30 days			
2.8	1.6	1.2	3.9	0.8	0.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

lack* lcohol and Oth	er Drug Use							
	He	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	nges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN42: Percen than a few sips		who drank alcoho	ol before age 13 y	ears (for the first	time other			
27.2	20.6	25.5	16.7	20.3	11.5	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
		who currently dra efore the survey)		ast one drink of a	lcohol on at			
31.9	26.3	38.1	28.1	27.9	21.1	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
		who drank five or y during the 30 da			within a			
18.2	7.7	13.7	12.3	12.2	6.9	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN47: Percen	tage of students v	who ever used ma	arijuana (one or n	nore times during	their life)			
44.8	32.6	36.9	38.7	43.2	36.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN48: Percen	tage of students v	who tried marijua	na before age 13	years (for the fir	st time)			
15.2	8.3	7.5	6.9	10.1	8.0	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN49: Percent days before the	tage of students ve survey)	vho currently use	d marijuana (one	or more times du	uring the 30			
23.6	15.7	20.5	20.3	25.4	19.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	tage of students vase, one or more t			f cocaine, such a	s powder,			
				f cocaine, such a	s powder,	No linear change	Decreased, 2005-2009 No change, 2009-2015	No change
7.0 QN51: Percent	ase, one or more	imes during their 1.7 who ever used inh	3.9 alants (sniffed gl	3.1 ue, breathed the	4.9 contents of	No linear change		No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

ack* cohol and Other Drug Use Health Risk Behavior and Percentages						Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	tage of students v			(also called "spe	ed," "crystal,"			
7.2	4.5	2.5	3.9	3.0	2.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN54: Percen	tage of students v	vho ever used ecs	stasy (also called	"MDMA," one o	r more times			
6.8	5.1	3.4	1.9	4.6	3.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	tage of students v			(used a needle to	inject any			
				1.0	3.0	No linear change	Not available¶	No change
	tage of students v			n illegal drug on	school			
31.5	29.6	22.5	24.5	19.9	26.2	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

Connecticut High School Survey 10-year Trend Analysis Report

	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	iges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
N60: Percentag	ge of students v	who ever had sex	ual intercourse					
60.9	51.4	57.9	55.1	42.6	32.6	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
N61: Percentag	ge of students v	who had sexual ir	ntercourse before	age 13 years (for	the first time)			
12.4	11.8	13.7	11.3	6.6	4.2	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	No change
N62: Percentagneir life)	ge of students v	who had sexual ir	ntercourse with fo	our or more perso	ns (during			
26.2	23.4	21.7	20.9	21.6	8.9	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased
		who were current onths before the s	ly sexually active survey)	e (sexual intercou	rse with at			
•	37.6	39.3	42.3	32.2	18.0	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available¶	Decreased

14.7

No linear change

Not available

QN85: Percentage of students who were ever tested for HIV (not including tests done when donating blood)

23.8

14.8

26.4

20.5

No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

ack* eight Manageı	ment and Dietar	y Behaviors						
	He	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	centage of studen index, based on s							
16.5	11.5	16.6	19.9	15.5	22.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentage of stud and age-specific 1				mass index,			
15.3	20.7	12.4	24.0	18.1	12.5	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN69: Percen	tage of students v	who described the	emselves as slight	tly or very overw	eight			
24.3	24.7	21.9	36.6	28.6	23.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN70: Percen	tage of students v	who were trying t	o lose weight					
41.0	34.2	43.8	54.8	46.3	47.0	Increased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	atage of students vays before the sur		fruit juice (100%	fruit juices one	or more times			
18.5	19.2	14.5	16.9	17.7	24.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

	Не	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	iges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN72: Percenthe survey)	tage of students	who did not eat fi	ruit (one or more	times during the	7 days before			
23.3	18.8	20.1	19.0	21.2	17.7	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QNFR0: Perce days before the		s who did not eat	fruit or drink 100	0% fruit juices (d	uring the 7			
7.5	5.2	4.3	4.9	7.4	8.7	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	entage of student e 7 days before th	s who ate fruit or ne survey)	drank 100% fruit	t juices one or mo	ore times per			
62.2	59.2	63.5	57.1	62.0	51.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	entage of student e 7 days before th	s who ate fruit or ne survey)	drank 100% fruit	t juices two or mo	ore times per			
43.7	35.4	37.0	34.0	38.1	28.3	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	entage of student e 7 days before the	s who ate fruit or	drank 100% fruit	t juices three or n	nore times per			
	,							

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN73: Percei	ntage of students v	who did not eat sa	alad (during the 7	days before the	survey)			
51.0	47.3	48.7	50.0	54.4	55.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN74: Percei	ntage of students v	who did not eat p	otatoes (during th	e 7 days before t	he survey)			
50.4	48.0	48.4	39.2	39.8	43.3	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN75: Percei	ntage of students v	who did not eat ca	arrots (during the	7 days before the	e survey)			
65.8	63.5	65.8	65.8	59.2	61.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
03.8					1 6 4			
	ntage of students v	who did not eat o	ther vegetables (d	luring the 7 days	before the			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Black* Weight Manager	nent and Dietar	y Behaviors						
	He	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	ried potatoes, or p	nts who did not expotato chips], carr						
15.1	12.5	12.8	8.5	11.8	15.1	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
potatoes [exclu		nts who ate veget s, fried potatoes, ovey)						
46.6	55.0	46.8	50.0	53.0	45.9	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
potatoes [exclu		nts who ate veget s, fried potatoes, ovey)						
24.3	27.0	19.7	19.7	24.2	19.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
potatoes [exclu		nts who ate veget s, fried potatoes, ovey)						
13.5	15.9	11.8	9.5	15.9	10.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	nges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	ntage of students v				oop (not			
Č	1 17	,		23.9	26.4	No linear change	Not available¶	No change
	Percentage of stud (not including die							
						No linear change	Not available	No change
imes per day		t soda or diet pop	o, during the 7 da	ys before the surv 18.1 lass of soda or po	13.4 p two or more	No linear change	Not available	No change
mes per day	(not including die	t soda or diet pop	o, during the 7 da	ys before the surv 18.1 lass of soda or po	13.4 p two or more	No linear change No linear change	Not available Not available	No change
ONSODA2: It imes per day ONSODA3: I	(not including die	ents who drank a t soda or diet pop	can, bottle, or glo, during the 7 da	18.1 lass of soda or po ys before the surv 13.1 lass of soda or po	p two or more yey) 9.4 p three or			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

	He	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
-			-					
N79: Percer	tage of students v	who did not eat b	reakfast (during t	he 7 days before t	the survey)			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

lack* hysical Activity	7							
	Нег	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
more days (doi	itage of students ving any kind of phome of the time do	nysical activity th	at increased their	heart rate and m	lay on 5 or ade them			
			34.1	36.4	36.8	No linear change	Not available [¶]	No change
activity on at le	Percentage of stue east 1 day (doing eathe hard some of	any kind of phys	ical activity that i	ncreased their he	s of physical art rate and			
			18.7	25.6	18.1	No linear change	Not available	No change
all 7 days (doin	Percentage of stung any kind of phome of the time (c	ysical activity tha	it increased their	heart rate and ma	es per day on de them			
			16.7	22.2	24.3	No linear change	Not available	No change
QN81: Percen school day)	tage of students v	who watched tele	vision 3 or more l	nours per day (on	an average			
59.1	56.8	54.1	46.0	38.9	33.5	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

ck* ysical Activity	He	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	ages		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN82: Percenta	ge of students	who played video	or computer gan	nes or used a com	nputer 3 or			
more nours per c	33.1	31.2	35.4	43.0	38.9	No linear change	Not available¶	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

black* Other	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges	Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN88: Percen	tage of students v	who had 8 or mor	e hours of sleep (on an average sc	hool night)			
	18.3	20.8	18.8	21.0	16.0	No linear change	Not available¶	No change
QN89: Percen before the surv		who made mostly	A's or B's in sch	ool (during the 12	2 months			
48.9	52.8	62.2	64.5	53.1	62.0	Increased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

Connecticut High School Survey 10-year Trend Analysis Report

	He	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
N90: Percei	ntage of students v	who described the	eir health as excel	lent or very good	l			
62.2	57.9	62.8	61.6	61.4	60.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	ntage of students v ize, or physical ap					No linear change	Not available¶	No change
	ntage of students vght they were gay							

20.3

Increased, 2011-2015

Not available

9.6

12.7

before the survey)

No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. Not enough years of data to calculate.

ack* te-Added	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
QN97: Percer times during the	ntage of students v	vho have taken o	ver-the-counter d	rugs to get high (one or more			
10.0	5.0	5.1	7.2	10.2	4.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	ntage of students v such as marijuana					No linear abance	Not available¶	
• ·				14.0	13.0	No linear change	Not available	No change
QN99: Percer	ntage of students v	vho have been pr	regnant or gotten			No linear change	Not available	No change
QN99: Percer	ntage of students v	vho have been pr	regnant or gotten 6.9			No linear change	Not available	No change
QN99: Percer more times) QN101: Perce	ntage of students ventage of students e 7 days before th	who ate at least	6.9	someone pregnan	t (one or			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. Not enough years of data to calculate.

ck* -Added Health Risk Behavior and Percentages						Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change†	Change from
								2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
sports team ei have memory	entage of students ther during a game problems, double ne or more times, the survey)	e or during practi or blurry vision,	ce (which caused headaches or "pro	cked out", id, or nausea				
	•			20.2	25.2	No linear change	Not available¶	No change
QN108: Percetransmitted dis	entage of students sease (STD)	who have been to	old by a doctor or	nurse that they h	nad a sexually	No linear change	Not available	No change
		0.7	11.1	0.2	4.0	No illiear change	Not available	No change
activities (such drama; church	entage of students h as school clubs; c; or other supervise	sports; communi	ty center groups;	music, art, or dar	nce lessons;			
activities (such drama; church	h as school clubs;	sports; communi	ty center groups;	music, art, or dar	nce lessons;	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
activities (such drama; church survey) 56.8 QN112: Perce	h as school clubs; ;; or other supervis	sports; communised activities, on 62.8 who agree or stre	ty center groups; at least one day d	music, art, or dar uring the 7 days 52.7	here lessons; before the 60.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. Not enough years of data to calculate.

ack* te-Added	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	entage of students on a school day)	who usually spen	nd four or more h	ours after school	without an			
31.4	27.0	26.3	25.6	25.9	24.7	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	entage of students y can talk to if the			teacher or other a	adult in their 66.5	Increased, 2013-2015	Not available [¶]	Increased
	entage of students cation plan or IEI		ng special educati	on services (as pa	art of an			
	-			13.6	10.2	No linear change	Not available	No change
	entage of students as a vocational tr							
64.4	71.4	74.3	76.5	68.6	70.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. Not enough years of data to calculate.

lack* ite-Added	He	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
lottery tickets,	entage of students betting money or months before the	n team sports, or						
	23.1	23.8	25.4	14.5	20.5	No linear change	Not available¶	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. Not enough years of data to calculate.

Connecticut High School Survey 10-year Trend Analysis Report

	Неа	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
N9: Percenta by someone els	age of students w	ho rarely or neve	r wore a seat belt	(when riding in	a car driven			
15.1	11.5	13.6	13.8	12.8	11.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	ntage of students v				ioi (iii a cai oi			
	one or more times 32.0				23.8	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
32.9 N11: Percen	32.0 ntage of students verthe survey, amor	during the 30 da 28.9 who drove when o	ys before the surv 28.4 drinking alcohol	vey) 24.8 (one or more time	23.8 es during the	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

17.8

Decreased, 2013-2015

Not available

28.1

Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. §Not enough years of data to calculate.

Hispanic/Latino Injury and Viole		ılth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ges	Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	age of students w		apon on school pr he survey)	roperty (such as a	gun, knife, or			
8.0	9.3	5.6	8.5	6.1	7.5	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
			school because t					
10.0	10.4	8.3	8.0	11.6	10.5	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
			ned or injured wit during the 12 mor					
8.6	12.2	6.8	10.6	10.1	9.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN18: Percent months before t		who were in a phy	ysical fight (one o	or more times dur	ring the 12			
36.7	38.9	31.1	32.3	27.3	24.7	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. † Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Hispanic/Latino Injury and Violo								
	He	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ges	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN21: Percer (when they did	ntage of students v	who were ever ph	ysically forced to	have sexual inte	ercourse			
	12.1	12.8	11.8	13.0	9.6	No linear change	Not available§	No change
	or weapon on pu dated or went out					No linear change	Not available	No change
during the 12 to have sexual	ntage of students when the students when the students who dated	e survey, includin they did not war	g kissing, touchirn to by someone	ng, or being physi they were dating	ically forced or going out			
survey)				14.6	8.4	Decreased, 2013-2015	Not available	No change
QN24: Percer before the sur	ntage of students vey)	who were bullied	on school proper	ty (during the 12	months			
			22.3	22.4	20.2	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

spanic/Latino jury and Viole								
	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 †		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN25: Percen e-mail, chat ro survey)	tage of students v	who were electronaging, websites,	nically bullied (in or texting during	cluding being bu the 12 months be	llied through efore the			
			17.2	16.4	15.1	No linear change	Not available§	No change
	tage of students v							
32.2	31.5	33.3	33.5	40.8	36.0	Increased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN27: Percen	tage of students v	who seriously cor	nsidered attemption	ng suicide (during	g the 12			
16.3	17.0	16.0	17.1	17.7	17.1	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN29: Percen	tage of students v	vho attempted su	icide (one or mor	e times during th	e 12 months			
		12.0	11.0	14.3	11.5	No linear change	No quadratic change	

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Connecticut High School Survey 10-year Trend Analysis Report

Hispanic/Latino Tobacco Use Linear Change* **Health Risk Behavior and Percentages Quadratic Change*** Change from 2013-2015 [†] 2005 2007 2009 2011 2013 2015 QN33: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) 15.0 22.7 15.5 16.5 12.1 10.6 Decreased, 2005-2015 No quadratic change No change QNFRCIG: Percentage of students who currently frequently smoked cigarettes (on 20 or more days during the 30 days before the survey)

1.2

1.0

Decreased, 2005-2015

Decreased, 2005-2015

No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015

No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015 Decreased

Decreased

3.8

2.4

10.4

8.8

during the 30 days before the survey)

5.8

4.8

QNDAYCIG: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes daily (on all 30 days

4.7

3.6

3.9

3.4

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Hispanic/Latino Alcohol and Oth					Linear Change*			
	Не	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ages	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN42: Percenthan a few sips		who drank alcoho	ol before age 13 y	ears (for the first	time other			
29.3	26.0	22.2	20.7	18.7	15.6	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
		who currently dra efore the survey)		ast one drink of a	lcohol on at			
38.8	42.7	42.5	40.7	30.6	31.8	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
		who drank five or y during the 30 da			within a			
19.6	23.8	23.4	21.1	15.5	13.5	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN46: Percento them (amon	tage of students	who usually obtai urrently drank alc	ned the alcohol the	hey drank by son	neone giving it			
			37.9	34.0	27.9	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
QN47: Percen	tage of students	who ever used ma	arijuana (one or n	nore times during	their life)			· · ·
41.0	37.0	36.6	40.1	43.9	39.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

ispanic/Latino lcohol and Oth						Linear Change*		
	Hea	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN48: Percen	tage of students v	who tried marijua	na before age 13	years (for the fir	st time)			
11.4	12.8	7.6	8.4	8.7	8.0	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN49: Percen days before the	tage of students ve survey)	who currently use	ed marijuana (one	or more times d	uring the 30			
19.1	20.7	19.8	23.2	27.3	21.7	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	atage of students vase, one or more			of cocaine, such a	s powder,			
4.2	7.6	4.3	7.1	5.7	6.5	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	atage of students v							
7.8	11.3	9.3	10.5	11.2	7.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. † Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Hispanic/Latino Alcohol and Oth	er Drug Use							
	Не	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	nges	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	tage of students more times durin	who ever used he	roin (also called	"smack," "junk,"	or "China			
3.5	4.4	3.7	4.6	4.9	4.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
		who ever used me imes during their		(also called "spe	ed," "crystal,"			
4.9	6.4	2.6	3.7	5.6	5.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN54: Percen during their life		who ever used ec	stasy (also called	"MDMA," one o	r more times			
5.6	9.8	5.5	9.2	6.2	6.5	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
		who ever injected or more times du		(used a needle to	inject any			
				3.6	2.9	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
		who were offered before the survey		n illegal drug on	school			
29.3	31.0	29.5	33.2	31.3	31.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

ispanic/Latino exual Behavior								
	He	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN60: Percen	tage of students	who ever had sex	ual intercourse					
55.5	58.2	50.0	51.8	47.4	39.6	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN61: Percen	tage of students	who had sexual in	ntercourse before	age 13 years (for	the first time)			
10.1	14.1	8.5	8.6	8.2	4.4	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased
QN62: Percentheir life)	itage of students	who had sexual in	ntercourse with fo	our or more perso	ns (during			
18.5	18.8	14.9	15.7	13.6	6.8	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased
QN63: Percen	tage of students von during the 3 mo	who were current	ly sexually active	(sexual intercou	rse with at			
reast one perso	41.2	36.6	33.7	34.0	26.9	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available [§]	No change
QN64: Percen	tage of students vits who were curr	who drank alcoho	ol or used drugs b	efore last sexual	intercourse			
(among station	22.5	17.8	18.9	19.1	18.1	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

2009 ents who used a condo	2011	2013	2015	Linear Change*		
,	om (during last se	xual intercourse	among			
50.6	54.1	51.1	59.1	No linear change	Not available§	No change
ents who were ever te	sted for HIV (not	including tests de	one when			
19.4	19.0	19.9	15.9	No linear change	Not available	No change
			course to			
16.4	18.5	22.1	15.4	No linear change	Not available	No change
2	ents who were ever terms who used birth constudents who were cure 16.4	ents who were ever tested for HIV (not 19.4 19.0 ents who used birth control pills (before students who were currently sexually a 16.4 18.5 of students who used an IUD (e.g., Mire on) (before last sexual intercourse to p	ents who were ever tested for HIV (not including tests de 19.4 19.0 19.9 ents who used birth control pills (before last sexual interstudents who were currently sexually active) 16.4 18.5 22.1 eff students who used an IUD (e.g., Mirena or ParaGard) on) (before last sexual intercourse to prevent pregnancy	ents who were ever tested for HIV (not including tests done when 19.4 19.0 19.9 15.9 ents who used birth control pills (before last sexual intercourse to students who were currently sexually active) 16.4 18.5 22.1 15.4 of students who used an IUD (e.g., Mirena or ParaGard) or implant on) (before last sexual intercourse to prevent pregnancy among	ents who were ever tested for HIV (not including tests done when 19.4 19.0 19.9 15.9 No linear change ents who used birth control pills (before last sexual intercourse to students who were currently sexually active) 16.4 18.5 22.1 15.4 No linear change of students who used an IUD (e.g., Mirena or ParaGard) or implant on) (before last sexual intercourse to prevent pregnancy among	ents who were ever tested for HIV (not including tests done when 19.4 19.0 19.9 15.9 No linear change Not available ents who used birth control pills (before last sexual intercourse to students who were currently sexually active) 16.4 18.5 22.1 15.4 No linear change Not available of students who used an IUD (e.g., Mirena or ParaGard) or implant on) (before last sexual intercourse to prevent pregnancy among

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Hispanic/Latino Sexual Behaviors								
	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
OrthoEvra), or	Percentage of stubirth control ringently sexually act	g (e.g., NuvaRing						
				6.7	3.2	No linear change	Not available§	No change
patch, or birth	Percentage of stucentrol ring (before the ently sexually act	ore last sexual int						
				29.4	20.6	No linear change	Not available	No change
	Percentage of st recourse among st				nancy (during			
	11.4	20.0	15.0	15.2	19.6	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Iispanic/Latino Veight Manage	ment and Dietar	y Behaviors						
	Не	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	nges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
QNOWT: Per for body mass chart	centage of studer index, based on s	nts who were over sex- and age-spec	rweight (>= 85th ific reference dat	percentile but <9 a from the 2000 (5th percentile CDC growth			
18.2	19.4	16.1	16.0	16.1	16.1	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
		lents who were ol reference data fro			mass index,			
17.7	17.4	16.8	15.2	18.9	15.7	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN69: Percer	ntage of students	who described the	emselves as sligh	tly or very overw	eight			
32.4	36.0	31.0	30.5	38.3	33.7	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN70: Percen	ntage of students	who were trying t	to lose weight					
53.5	50.1	48.2	49.3	53.0	52.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	ntage of students ays before the sur	who did not drink	fruit juice (100%	6 fruit juices one	or more times			
16.0	20.5	21.4	17.4	21.0	23.1	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. † Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

	Не	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	iges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN72: Percer the survey)	ntage of students	who did not eat f	ruit (one or more	times during the	7 days before			
18.1	18.2	15.2	16.2	17.1	14.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QNFR0: Perc	entage of student e survey)	s who did not eat	fruit or drink 100	% fruit juices (d	uring the 7			
5.0	8.7	7.7	4.6	6.0	7.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QNFR1: Perc day (during the	entage of student e 7 days before th	s who ate fruit or ne survey)	drank 100% fruit	juices one or mo	ore times per			
58.8	58.9	63.2	64.6	60.3	58.0	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	entage of student e 7 days before th		drank 100% fruit	juices two or mo	ore times per			
34.1	35.0	32.8	34.9	29.3	29.6	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	6 . 1	s who ate fruit or	drank 100% fruit	inices three or n	nore times per			
QNFR3: Perc	entage of student e 7 days before th	s who are fruit of se survey)	draink 10070 fran	garees arree or in	F			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

spanic/Latino eight Manage	ment and Dietar		ior and Percenta	ages		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN73: Percei	ntage of students v	who did not eat s	alad (during the 7	days before the	survey)			
40.7	43.9	43.7	41.2	43.8	40.9	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN74: Percer	ntage of students v	who did not eat p	otatoes (during th	ne 7 days before t	he survey)			
40.0	39.7	41.3	37.2	37.1	36.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN75: Percei	ntage of students v	who did not eat c	arrots (during the	7 days before the	e survey)			
	63.4	63.1	62.7	60.3	64.1	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
70.4	05.4							
	ntage of students v	who did not eat o	ther vegetables (c	luring the 7 days	before the			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

	Нег	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	ercentage of studer ried potatoes, or p vey)							
15.0	11.9	13.9	7.7	11.2	10.6	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
potatoes [excli	ercentage of studer uding French fries	s, fried potatoes,						
44.9	ays before the surv 46.6	48.1	52.0	54.4	55.0	Increased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
44.9 QNVEG2: Pe potatoes [exclu	•	48.1 ants who ate veget s, fried potatoes, of	ables two or mor	e times per day (§	green salad,	Increased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
44.9 QNVEG2: Pe potatoes [exclusive]	46.6 ercentage of studer uding French fries	48.1 ants who ate veget s, fried potatoes, of	ables two or mor	e times per day (§	green salad,	Increased, 2005-2015 No linear change	No quadratic change No quadratic change	No change Increased
QNVEG2: Pe potatoes [excluduring the 7 da 20.6 QNVEG3: Pe potatoes [excluduring the 7 da 20.6]	46.6 ercentage of studer uding French fries ays before the sur-	48.1 ats who ate vegets, fried potatoes, evey) 19.6 ats who ate vegets, fried potatoes, or fried potatoes	ables two or mor or potato chips], of 22.9	e times per day (gearrots, or other v	green salad, regetables, 24.6 (green salad,			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Hispanic/Latino Weight Manager	nent and Dietar	y Behaviors						
	Не	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	nges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
		who did not drink during the 7 days			oop (not			
				24.0	29.8	No linear change	Not available§	Increased
		dents who drank a et soda or diet pop						
				16.3	13.4	No linear change	Not available	No change
		lents who drank a et soda or diet pop						
				13.6	9.5	No linear change	Not available	No change
		dents who drank a						
				8.1	4.9	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

2009	2011			_		Change from 2013-2015 †
	2011	2013	2015			
lents who did not eat	breakfast (during t	the 7 days before	the survey)			
		14.2	18.4	No linear change	Not available§	No change
			14.2	dents who did not eat breakfast (during the 7 days before the survey) 14.2 18.4 e of students who ate breakfast on all 7 days (during the 7 days before	14.2 18.4 No linear change	14.2 18.4 No linear change Not available [§]

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Hispanic/Latino Physical Activity								
	Нег	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
more days (doi	tage of students v ng any kind of ph ome of the time du	nysical activity th	at increased their	heart rate and m				
			38.9	34.0	35.3	No linear change	Not available§	No change
activity on at le	Percentage of stue east 1 day (doing athe hard some of	any kind of phys	ical activity that i	increased their he				
			14.3	19.3	17.2	No linear change	Not available	No change
all 7 days (doir	Percentage of stung any kind of phyome of the time (d	ysical activity tha	at increased their	heart rate and ma				
			19.0	18.2	19.3	No linear change	Not available	No change
QN81: Percen school day)	tage of students v	who watched tele	vision 3 or more	hours per day (or	an average			
42.6	39.5	39.2	32.4	31.2	23.4	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

				Linear Change*		Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2009	2011	2013	2015			
	ts who played video	ts who played video or computer gan	ts who played video or computer games or used a com	2009 2011 2013 2015 as who played video or computer games or used a computer 3 or exhing that was not school work on an average school day)	ts who played video or computer games or used a computer 3 or	ts who played video or computer games or used a computer 3 or

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Connecticut High School Survey 10-year Trend Analysis Report

Hispanic/Latino Other	He	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	ages		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN88: Percent	age of students v	who had 8 or mo	re hours of sleep	(on an average sc	hool night)			
	24.6	23.1	22.1	23.3	23.7	No linear change	Not available§	No change

63.0

Increased, 2005-2015

No quadratic change

No change

QN89: Percentage of students who made mostly A's or B's in school (during the 12 months before the survey)

53.6

56.1

55.2

53.8

46.7

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. §Not enough years of data to calculate.

Connecticut High School Survey 10-year Trend Analysis Report

	He	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	nges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
NOO: Percer	ntage of students y	who described the	eir health as exce	llent or very good	1			
ZINO. I GICGI	itage of students	who described the						
60.0	55.7	57.7	58.4	52.0	55.9	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
60.0 QN91: Percer	C	57.7 who talked on a c	58.4	lriving (on at leas	55.9	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

27.0

13.1

No linear change

No linear change

Not available

Not available

No change

No change

QN93: Percentage of students who have been the victim of teasing or name calling because of

QN94: Percentage of students who have been the victim of teasing or name calling because someone thought they were gay, lesbian, or bisexual (during the 12 months before the survey)

29.6

15.2

29.6

16.1

their weight, size, or physical appearance (during the 12 months before the survey)

Hispanic/Latino

 $^{^*}Based$ on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

[†]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Hea	lth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 †
2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
itting or burning							
		20.5	23.6	24.1	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
				they need			
33.5	43.0	35.9	32.4	21.5	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	Decreased
ge of students w	ho have taken o	ver-the-counter d	rugs to get high (one or more			
1110)							
j	ge of students watting or burning y) ge of students watting or burning y) ge of students watting and students watting or burning y)	ge of students who did something atting or burning themselves on pay) ge of students who most of the ti who report having felt sad, empt 33.5 43.0	2007 2009 2011 ge of students who did something to purposely hunting or burning themselves on purpose one or m 20.5 ge of students who most of the time or always get who report having felt sad, empty, hopeless, angres 33.5 43.0 35.9	ge of students who did something to purposely hurt themselves with atting or burning themselves on purpose one or more times during the students who most of the time or always get the kind of help who report having felt sad, empty, hopeless, angry, or anxious) 33.5 43.0 35.9 32.4	2007 2009 2011 2013 2015 ge of students who did something to purposely hurt themselves without wanting atting or burning themselves on purpose one or more times during the 12 months y) 20.5 23.6 24.1 ge of students who most of the time or always get the kind of help they need who report having felt sad, empty, hopeless, angry, or anxious)	2007 2009 2011 2013 2015 ge of students who did something to purposely hurt themselves without wanting atting or burning themselves on purpose one or more times during the 12 months 20.5 23.6 24.1 No linear change ge of students who most of the time or always get the kind of help they need who report having felt sad, empty, hopeless, angry, or anxious) 33.5 43.0 35.9 32.4 21.5 Decreased, 2005-2015	ge of students who did something to purposely hurt themselves without wanting atting or burning themselves on purpose one or more times during the 12 months y) 20.5 23.6 24.1 No linear change Not available§ ge of students who most of the time or always get the kind of help they need who report having felt sad, empty, hopeless, angry, or anxious) 33.5 43.0 35.9 32.4 21.5 Decreased, 2005-2015 No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Connecticut High School Survey 10-year Trend Analysis Report

	He	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 †		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
	ntage of students v	who have been pr	egnant or gotten	someone pregnar	nt (one or			
nore times)			7.6	6.8	5.2	No linear change	Not available§	No change

or vomiting one or more times, among students who played on a sports team during the 12 months before the survey)

20.5 18.6 No linear change Not available

QN108: Percentage of students who have been told by a doctor or nurse that they had a sexually transmitted disease (STD)

QN106: Percentage of students who suffered a blow or jolt to their head while playing with a sports team either during a game or during practice (which caused them to get "knocked out", have memory problems, double or blurry vision, headaches or "pressure" in the head, or nausea

4.0 5.7 8.8 5.9 No linear change Not available No change

Hispanic/Latino

No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

[†]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Not enough years of data to calculate.

Connecticut High School Survey 10-year Trend Analysis Report

	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	nges	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
ctivities (such	entage of students n as school clubs; ; or other supervis	sports; communi	ty center groups;	music, art, or da	nce lessons;			
44.5	46.5	49.9	55.2	53.0	50.9	Increased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	entage of students p and support wh		ongly agree that	their family loves	them and			
ives them hel	7.0	78.6	81.9	86.0	82.5	Increased, 2007-2015	Not available§	No change
ives them hel	76.8	70.0						
N116: Perce	entage of students on a school day)		nd four or more h	nours after school	without an			

58.4

11.2

56.6

10.5

No linear change

No linear change

Not available

Not available

QN119: Percentage of students who are receiving special education services (as part of an individual education plan or IEP) $\,$

school that they can talk to if they have a problem

No change

No change

 $^{^*}Based$ on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

[†]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Not enough years of data to calculate.

-Added	He	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ges	Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 †	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
rogram (such a			definitely will comilitary service, o					
ollege)								

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.