Connecticut GTF Planning - Advance Survey

Connecticut Governor's Task Force Advance Survey 2017

As you know, the Connecticut Governor's Task Force (GTF) Executive Committee will be meeting in early February to engage in a Strategic Planning process. In advance of that session, we are asking all Task Force members to complete this brief survey. Your responses will help to inform the agenda and guide the discussions and eventual Plan by identifying strengths, challenges and key areas of focus for the Task Force moving forward. We do ask that you include your name (Q1), but please know your individual responses will be kept strictly confidential. The only one who will see your individual responses is the Planning Session Facilitator, Cathy Crabtree. By including your name, she will be able to track who has and who has not yet completed the survey (thus we can refrain from bothering you with reminders once you have completed the survey) and it will allow her to follow up with you individually and privately in the event she has questions or needs clarification about any of your responses. Thank you so much.

of

1. Please enter your name below:
2. As you think about your experience on the CT GTF, what do you see as the greatest strength or asset the Task Force?
3. Looking ahead, what do you believe are the greatest opportunities facing the CT GTF in the next 1-3 years?
4. Looking ahead, what do you believe are the greatest challenges or barriers facing the CT GTF in the next 1-3 years?

	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	I'm No Sure
Cultural diversity on Task Force is strong						
Comments:						
Professional diversity on Task Force is strong Comments:						
Georgraphic diversity on the Task Force is strong						
The majority of members are fully engaged in work of Task Force Comments:	0			0	0	
The majority of Task Force members have a strong understanding of our intended purpose and goals Comments:		0			0	
The Task Force would benefit from recruiting new members Comments:				0		
The Task Force would benefit from replacing members who are not actively participating					0	

		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	I'm Not Sure
Comments:							

	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Somewhat Disagree	 I'm No Sur
I believe the majority of Task Force members have a clear and accurate understanding of the differences between the GTF and CCA				C
Other (please specify)				
I believe there should be a stronger, more intentional partnership between the GTF and CCA.				
Other (please specify)				
I believe that currently there is unnecessary overlap between the work of the GTF and the work of CCA.	0			
Other (please specify)				
I believe clear and appropriate boundaries currently exist between the work of GTF and CCA, thus ensuring effective investment of resources with minimal duplication and overlap.				
Other (please specify)				
I believe there is confusion among many shared stakeholders in CT about the purpose and goals of the GTF vs the purpose and goals of CCA.				
Other (please specify)				

Please rate the follow	wing statements	related to the CT	Governor's Task F	orce Committee	Structure.
	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	I'm Not Sure
The Task Force should have Standing Committees, as per urrent bylaws	0	0	0		
The Task Force should assign Ad Hoc Committees, as needed, or specific initiatives, projects throughout the ear rather than have Standing Committees					
The Task Force Committees should include non-GTF inembers with unique expertise in the specific ireas the Committee is occusing on.					
The Task Force does not leed to have Committees	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\circ	\bigcirc	\circ
ner (please specify)					
Below is a list of come currently focusing cours areas for the CT	on. Please rate			feel these should	

	High Priority	Priority but not Urgent	Low Priority	Not a Priority for CT at this time	I'm N Sure
Other (please specify)					
Developing, implementing a meaningful Strategic Plan for the Task					
Force			\bigcirc		\bigcirc
Other (please specify)					
Funding salaries/contracts of MDT Coordinators throughout the State					
Other (please specify)					
Supporting ongoing training and peer networking for MDT					
Coordinators/Facilitators					
Other (please specify)					
Supporting Statewide or Regional MDT Trainings					
Other (please specify)					
Supporting emerging and/or developing Children's Advocacy Centers	\bigcirc		\bigcirc		
Other (please specify)					
Supporting expansion and sustainability of existing Children's Advocacy	/				
Centers					
Other (please specify)					

	High Priority	Priority but not Urgent	Low Priority	Not a Priority for CT at this time	I'm No Sure
Supporting expansion and sustainability of the State Chapter for Children's Advocacy Centers					
Other (please specify)					
Funding statewide conferences/trainings for child abuse professionals from all core disciplines				0	
Other (please specify)					
Providing travel scholarships for specialized training for individual child abuse professionals				\bigcirc	
Other (please specify)					
Awarding innovative project sub-grants Other (please specify)					
Funding research projects intended to identify gaps in and/or innovative approaches to child protection across the state	,			\bigcirc	
Other (please specify)					
0. What, if any, specific activities and/or initiatives - other t	han tho	se listed in	Q9 abo	ve - would you	like to
ee the CT GTF explore or support in the years ahead?					
ee the CT GTF explore or support in the years ahead?					

Please feel free to enter any other comments, observations or suggestions below:							