

PRELIMINARY HISTORIC RESOURCES DETERMINATION

June 24, 2015

Verizon Wireless 99 East River Drive East Hartford, CT 06108 APT Project No.: CT1412760

Re: Proposed Bethel West Facility 15 Great Pasture Road Bethel, Connecticut

On behalf of Cellco Partnership doing business as Verizon Wireless, All-Points Technology Corporation, P.C. ("APT") performed an evaluation with respect to the proposed Facility's potential impacts on historic resources proximate to the referenced site.

The results of our independent review of sites listed, or eligible for listing, on the National Register of Historic Places ("NRHP") reveals that no such resources are located within one-half mile of the site. Further, no State or local historic districts or other resources are located within one-half mile of the site. The nearest NRHP site is located over 0.75 mile away and no views of the proposed Facility would be gained from this location.

As part of Verizon Wireless' due diligence for compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA"), APT submitted applicable historic documentation to the State Historic Preservation Office ("SHPO") on May 14, 2015 for this agency's review and determination. The SHPO submission was prepared by a qualified architectural historian. A copy of APT's SHPO submission is provided as an attachment to this memorandum.

To date, no formal response has been issued by the SHPO. However, based on our research, it is APT's opinion that the proposed Facility would have no effect on historic properties listed or eligible for listing on the NRHP.

Sincerely,

Michael Libertine,

Director of Siting and Permitting

Muchael Intention

Attachment

-

¹ For towers under 200 feet tall, the APE has been established at 0.5 mile. This distance represents the Area of Potential Effect ("APE") established cooperatively by the Federal Communications Commission, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation and the National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers.

Submission to State Historic Preservation Office



May 14, 2015

To: Mr. Todd Levine

State of Connecticut Department of Economic and Community Development State Historic Preservation Office One Constitution Plaza, Second Floor

Hartford, CT 06103

Re: Proposed Wireless Telecommunications Facility

Bethel West 2

15 Great Pasture Road, Danbury, Fairfield County, Connecticut 06810

APT Project #: CT1412760

EnSite #: 24591

Determination of Effects for the Proposed Installation of a 123' Tall Monopole Tower Located at 15 Great Pasture Road, Danbury, Connecticut:

In accordance with the Federal Communication Commission (FCC) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) rules and Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), the above-referenced telecommunications project, proposed by Cellco Partnership and its controlled affiliates doing business as Verizon Wireless (Verizon Wireless), is being evaluated for its potential effects to districts, sites, buildings, structures, or objects significant in American history, architecture, archeology, engineering, or culture that are listed, or potentially eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP).

In accordance with the Nationwide Agreement, please find the attached Submission Packet, FCC Form 620, which presents the details on the proposed project as well as efforts that have been taken to identify, assess, and make determinations of effect on the impacts of the proposed project on Historic Properties. As part of this Undertaking, Verizon Wireless is proposing to build a 123' Monopole Communications Tower facility at 15 Great Pasture Road in Danbury, Fairfield County, Connecticut 06810. The 123' tall Monopole Communications Tower would be built within a ± 50 ' by ± 50 ' gravel and fenced equipment compound/lease area. A proposed ± 20 ' wide access and utility easement would follow the existing paved drive off of Great Pasture Road.

File reviews of the National Register Database, Connecticut State Historic Register, and Connecticut State Historic Resource Inventory were conducted by Lucas Karmazinas, architectural historian with FuturePast Preservation, and Mr. William Keegan, Historical Geographer & GIS Specialist, with Heritage Consultants, LLC, to identify Historic Properties within the 0.5-mile Area for Potential Effect (APE) for Visual and Direct Effects. No (1) Historic Properties¹ previously listed or deemed eligible for the National Register of Historic Places were identified within the APE for Direct or Visual Effects.

¹ The Nationwide Programmatic Agreement defines a "Historic Property" as "Any prehistoric or historic district, site, building, structure, or object included in, or eligible for inclusion in, the National Register maintained by the Secretary of the Interior. This term includes artifacts, records, and remains that are related to and located within such properties. The term includes properties of traditional religious and cultural importance to an Indian tribe or NHO that meet the National Register criteria."

Based on this information, it is the opinion of the investigator that there are No Historic Properties in the APE for Direct or Visual Effects at the time of this investigation.

Sincerely,

Lucas Karmazinas

c/o All-Points Technology Corp., P.C.

FCC Form 620

FCC Wireless Telecommunications Bureau New Tower ("NT") Submission Packet

Approved by OMB 3060 – 1039 See instructions for public burden estimates

Notification Date: File Number:

General Information

1) (Select only one) (NE) NE – New L							
If this application is for an Update or Withdrawal, enter the file number of the pending application currently on file. File Number:							
Applicant Information							
3) FCC Registration Number (FRN): 00	128453	43					
4) Name: Cellco Partnership and	ts cont	rolled	affiliates doir	ng business	as Verizon Wirele	ss	
Contact Name							
5) First Name: Robin			6) MI:	7) Last Name	: Haeffner		8) Suffix:
9) Title: VZW-HQ - NEPA Regulato	ry Com	plianc	e				
Contact Information							
10) P.O. Box:	And /Or	11) St	treet Address: (Campus Ci	rcle Suite 500		
12) City: Westlake					13) State: TX	14) Zip Code	: 76272
15) Telephone Number: (501)529-53	77			16) Fax Ni	umber:	•	
17) E-mail Address: npa@verizonwi	reless.c	om					
			Consult	ant Informa	ition		
18) FCC Registration Number (FRN): 0	021738	141					
19) Name: Lucas A. Karmazinas c/	o All-P	oints T	echnology, C	orp., P. C.			
Principal Investigator							
20) First Name: Lucas			21) MI: A	22) Last Nam	e: Karmazinas		23) Suffix:
24) Title: Historic Preservation Adv	/isor						•
Principal Investigator Contact Info	rmation	ı					
25) P.O. Box:	And /Or	26) St	treet Address: 3	Saddlebroo	k Drive		
27) City: Killingworth					28) State: CT	29) Zip Code	: 06419
30) Telephone Number: (860)633-1697 31) Fax Number:							
32) E-mail Address: ncastro@allpoi	ntstech	.com					

1 of 17

Professional Qualification			
33) Does the Principal Investigator satisfy the Secretar	ry of the Interio	or's Professional Qualification Standards?	(X) <u>Y</u> es () <u>N</u> o
34) Areas of Professional Qualification:			
() Archaeologist			
(X) Architectural Historian			
(X) Historian			
() Architect			
() Other (Specify)			
Additional Staff I			
35) Are there other staff involved who meet the Profes	sional Qualific	ation Standards of the Secretary of the Interior?	(X) <u>Y</u> es () <u>N</u> o
If "YES," complete the following:			
36) First Name: William	37) MI:	38) Last Name: Keegan	39) Suffix:
40) Title: Heritage Consultants	•		•
41) Areas of Professional Qualification:			
()Archaeologist			
() Architectural Historian			
(χ) Historian			
() Architect			
() Other (Specify)			
36) First Name: David	37) MI:	38) Last Name: George	39) Suffix:
40) Title: Heritage Consultants	•		•
41) Areas of Professional Qualification:			
(X) Archaeologist			
() Architectural Historian			
()Historian			
() Architect			

) Other (Specify) _

Site Information

Tower Construction Notification System		
1) TCNS Notification Number: 125664		
Site Information		
2) Positive Train Control Filing Subject to Expedited Treatment Under Program Comm	ent: () <u>Y</u> es (X) <u>N</u> o	
3) Site Name: Bethel West 2		
4) Site Address: 15 Great Pasture Road		
5) Detailed Description of Project:		
Refer to Site Plans.		
6) City: Danbury	7) State: CT	8) Zip Code: 06810
9) County/Borough/Parish: FAIRFIELD	•	
10) Nearest Crossroads: Great Pasture Road and Wooster Road		
11) NAD 83 Latitude (DD-MM-SS.S): 41-22-58.8	(X) <u>N</u> or () <u>S</u>
12) NAD 83 Longitude (DD-MM-SS.S): 073-25-19.8	() <u>E</u> or (X) <u>W</u>
Tower Information		
13) Tower height above ground level (include top-mounted attachments such as lightni	ng rods): 37.5	() Feet (X) Meters
14) Tower Type (Select One):		
() Guyed lattice tower		
() Self-supporting lattice		
(X) Monopole		
() Other (Describe):		
Project Status		
15) Current Project Status (Select One):		
(χ)Construction has not yet commenced		
() Construction has commenced, but is not completed Con	struction commenced on:	
() Construction has been completed Cons	struction commenced on:	
Construction completed on:		

Determination of Effect

14) [Direct Effects (Select One):
(X) No Historic Properties in Area of Potential Effects (APE)
() No Effect on Historic Properties in APE
() No Adverse Effect on Historic Properties in APE
() Adverse Effect on one or more Historic Properties in APE
15) V	/isual Effects (Select One):
,	/isual Effects (Select One):) No Historic Properties in Area of Potential Effects (APE)
,	
,) No Historic Properties in Area of Potential Effects (APE)

Have Indian Tribes or Native Hawaiian Organizations significance to historic properties which may be affect effects?	(X) <u>Y</u> es () <u>N</u> o		
2a) Tribes/NHOs contacted through TCNS Notification N	umber: 12566	Number of Tribes/NHOs:7	
2b) Tribes/NHOs contacted through an alternate system:		Number of Tribes/NHOs: 0	
Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS			
3) Tribe/NHO FRN:			
4) Tribe/NHO Name: Delaware Nation			
Contact Name			
5) First Name: Nekole	8) Suffix:		
9) Title: Cultural Preservation Director			·
Dates & Response			
10) Date Contacted	11) Date R	Replied	
(X) No Reply			
() Replied/No Interest			
() Replied/Have Interest			
() Replied/Other			
Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS			
3) Tribe/NHO FRN:			
4) Tribe/NHO Name: Delaware Tribe of Indians of	Oklahoma		
Contact Name			
5) First Name: Dr. Brice	6) MI: M	7) Last Name: Obermeyer	8) Suffix:
9) Title:			•
Dates & Response			
10) Date Contacted 04/15/2015	11) Date R	Replied 04/17/2015	
()No Reply			
()Replied/No Interest			
() Replied/Have Interest			
(X) Replied/Other			

1) Have Indian Tribes or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs) been identified that may attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties which may be affected by the undertaking within the APEs for direct and visual (X) Yes (X) Yes (Y) No effects?					
2a) Tribes/NHOs contacted through TCNS Notification	Number:1256	Number of Tribes/NHOs: 7			
2b) Tribes/NHOs contacted through an alternate systen		Number of Tribes/NHOs: 0			
Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS					
3) Tribe/NHO FRN:					
4) Tribe/NHO Name: Keweenaw Bay Indian Com	munity				
Contact Name					
5) First Name: Minogheezhig	6) MI:	7) Last Name: Sandman-Shelifoe	8) Suffix:		
9) Title: THPO/NAGPRA Technician	•		•		
Dates & Response					
10) Date Contacted	11) Date F	Replied			
()No Reply					
() Replied/No Interest					
(X) Replied/Have Interest					
() Replied/Other					
Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS					
3) Tribe/NHO FRN:					
4) Tribe/NHO Name: Lac Vieux Desert Band of L	ake Superior	Chippewa Indians			
Contact Name					
5) First Name: Giiwegiizhigookway	6) MI:	7) Last Name: Martin	8) Suffix:		
9) Title: THPO and NAGPRA Representative					
Dates & Response					
10) Date Contacted	11) Date F	Replied 04/15/2015			
()No Reply					
() Replied/No Interest					
() Replied/Have Interest					
(X) Replied/Other					

Have Indian Tribes or Native Hawaiian Organizations significance to historic properties which may be affected?			(X) <u>Y</u> es() <u>N</u> o
2a) Tribes/NHOs contacted through TCNS Notification N	Number: 1256	Number of Tribes/NHOs: 7	
2b) Tribes/NHOs contacted through an alternate system		Number of Tribes/NHOs: 0	
Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS			
3) Tribe/NHO FRN:			
4) Tribe/NHO Name: Mashantucket Pequot Tribe	•		
Contact Name			
5) First Name: Marissa	6) MI:	7) Last Name: Turnbull	8) Suffix:
9) Title: THPO			
Dates & Response			
10) Date Contacted	11) Date F	Replied 04/22/2015	
()No Reply			
() Replied/No Interest			
() Replied/Have Interest			
(X) Replied/Other			
Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS			
3) Tribe/NHO FRN:			
4) Tribe/NHO Name: Mohegan Indian Tribe			
Contact Name			
5) First Name: Elaine	6) MI:	7) Last Name: Thomas	8) Suffix:
9) Title: Deputy THPO			•
Dates & Response			
10) Date Contacted	11) Date F	Replied 04/30/2015	
() No Reply			
() Replied/No Interest			
() Replied/Have Interest			
(X) Replied/Other			

Have Indian Tribes or Native Hawaiian Organizations (significance to historic properties which may be affected effects?				()	X) <u>Y</u> es () <u>N</u> o
2a) Tribes/NHOs contacted through TCNS Notification N	umber: 1256 6	64	Number of Tribes/NHOs:7			
2b) Tribes/NHOs contacted through an alternate system:			Number of Tribes/NHOs: 0			
Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS						
3) Tribe/NHO FRN:						
4) Tribe/NHO Name: Narragansett Indian Tribe						
Contact Name						
5) First Name: Sequahna	6) MI:	7) Last Name: I	Mars		8) Suffix:	
9) Title: Program Manager-Cell Tower Division						
Dates & Response						
10) Date Contacted	11) Date R	Replied				
(X) No Reply						
() Replied/No Interest						
() Replied/Have Interest						
() Replied/Other						

Other Tribes/NHOs Contacted

Tribe/NHO Information								
1) FCC Registration Number (FRN):								
2) Name:								
Contact Name								
3) First Name:	4) MI:	5) La	ast Name:	:		6) Suffix:		
7) Title:								
Contact Information								
8) P.O. Box:	And /Or	9) Str	reet Address:					
10) City:						11) State:	12) Zip Code):
13) Telephone Number:				14	4) Fax Nu	ımber:		
15) E-mail Address:								
16) Preferred means of communication:								
() E-mail								
() Letter								
() Both								
Dates & Response								
17) Date Contacted			18) Date R	₹eplied	i			
()No Reply								
() Replied/No Interest								
() Replied/Have Interest								
() Replied/Other								

Historic Properties

Properties	Identified

Properties Identified					
1) Have any historic properties been identified within the APEs for direct and visual effect.		() <u>Y</u> es (X	() <u>N</u> o	
Has the identification process located archaeological materials that would be directly cultural or religious significance to Tribes/NHOs?	of	() <u>Y</u> es (X	() <u>N</u> o	
3) Are there more than 10 historic properties within the APEs for direct and visual effect If "Yes", you are required to attach a Cultural Resources Report in lieu of adding the	t? Historic Property below.		() <u>Y</u> es (X	() <u>N</u> o
Historic Property					
4) Property Name:					
5) SHPO Site Number:					
Property Address					
6) Street Address:					
7) City: 8) State: 9) Zip Co					
10) County/Borough/Parish:					
Status & Eligibility					
11) Is this property listed on the National Register?					
Source:			() <u>Y</u> es () <u>N</u> o
12) Is this property eligible for listing on the National Register?					
Source:			() <u>Y</u> es () <u>N</u> o
			<u> </u>		
13) Is this property a National Historic Landmark?			() <u>Y</u> es () <u>N</u> o
14) Direct Effects (Select One):					
No Effect on this Historic Property in APE					
() No Adverse Effect on this Historic Property in APE					
() Adverse Effect on this Historic Property in APE					
15) Visual Effects (Select One):					
() No Effect on this Historic Property in APE					
() No Adverse Effect on this Historic Property in APE					
() Adverse Effect on this Historic Property in APE					

Local Government Agency							
1) FCC Registration Number (FRN):	1) FCC Registration Number (FRN):						
2) Name: Mayor Mark D. Boughton	, City of Da	nbury					
Contact Name							
3) First Name: Mark 4) MI: D 5) Last Name: Boughton 6) Suffix:							
7) Title: Mayor		'				•	
Contact Information							
8) P.O. Box:	And 9) 8	Street Address: 1	55 Deer Hill	Avenue			
10) City: Danbury				11) State: CT	12) Zip Code:	06810	
13) Telephone Number: (203)797-451	1		14) Fax N	umber:	•		
15) E-mail Address: m.boughton@da	anbury-ct.g	ov	_				
16) Preferred means of communication:							
() E-mail							
(X) Letter							
() Both							
Dates & Response							
17) Date Contacted 04/17/2015		18) Date F	Replied				
(X) No Reply							
() Replied/No Interest							
() Replied/Have Interest							
() Replied/Other							
Additional Information							
Additional Information 19) Information on local government's role or interest (optional):							
gerenment		(-р					

Local Government Agency								
1) FCC Registration Number (FRN):								
2) Name: Mr. Dennis I. Elpern, Director Planning and Zoning Department								
Contact Name								
3) First Name: Dennis								
7) Title: Planning and Zoning Department								
Contact Information								
8) P.O. Box:	And 9)	Street Address: 1	55 Deer Hill	Avenue				
10) City: Danbury				11) State: CT	12) Zip Code:	06810		
13) Telephone Number: (203)797-452	5		14) Fax N	umber:	•			
15) E-mail Address: d.elpern@danbu	ıry-ct.org		•					
16) Preferred means of communication:								
() E-mail								
(X) Letter								
() Both								
Dates & Response								
17) Date Contacted 04/17/2015		18) Date R	eplied					
(X) No Reply								
() Replied/No Interest								
() Replied/Have Interest								
() Replied/Other								
Additional Information								
Additional Information 10) Information on local government's role or interest (entional):								
19) Information on local government's role or interest (optional):								

Local Government Agency									
1) FCC Registration Number (FRN):									
2) Name: Dr. Laurie Weinstein, CL Zoning	G/Chai	rperso	n Commissio	on on Histori	c Preservation c/o l	Dennis Elpern,	Planning &		
Contact Name									
3) First Name: Laurie) First Name: Laurie 4) MI: 5) Last Name: Weinstein 6) Suffix:								
7) Title: Dr.									
Contact Information									
8) P.O. Box:	And /Or	9) Stre	eet Address: 1	55 Deer Hill	Avenue				
10) City: Danbury					11) State: CT	12) Zip Code:	06810		
13) Telephone Number: (203)837-845	3			14) Fax N	umber:	_			
15) E-mail Address: weinsteinl@wcs	u.edu			•					
16) Preferred means of communication:									
()E-mail									
(X)Letter									
() Both									
Dates & Response									
17) Date Contacted 04/17/2015			18) Date R	eplied					
(χ)No Reply									
() Replied/No Interest									
() Replied/Have Interest									
() Replied/Other									
Additional Information									
19) Information on local government's role or interest (optional):									
İ									

Local Government Agency								
1) FCC Registration Number (FRN):								
2) Name: Mr. Joseph M. Cavo, President City of Danbury Town Council								
Contact Name	Contact Name							
3) First Name: Joseph								
7) Title: Town Council								
Contact Information								
8) P.O. Box:	And /Or	9) Str	eet Address: 1	55 Deer Hill	Avenue			
10) City: Danbury					11) State: CT	12) Zip Code:	06810	
13) Telephone Number: (203)797-8083	3			14) Fax N	umber:			
15) E-mail Address: j.cavo@danbury	-ct.gov	,						
16) Preferred means of communication:								
() E-mail								
(X) Letter								
() Both								
Dates & Response								
17) Date Contacted 04/17/2015			18) Date R	eplied				
(X) No Reply								
() Replied/No Interest								
() Replied/Have Interest								
() Replied/Other								
Additional Information								
19) Information on local government's role or interest (optional):								

Other Consulting Parties

Other Consulting Parties Contacted]	Other Con	Suiting Part	lies			
1) Has any other agency been contacted	and inv	ited to become a consu	ulting party?			(X) <u>Y</u> es() <u>N</u> o
Consulting Party							
2) FCC Registration Number (FRN):							
3) Name: Ms. Brigid Guertin, Execu	utive D	irector Danbury Mu	useum & Hist	orical Society			
Contact Name							
4) First Name: Brigid	5) MI:	6) Last Name	7) Suffix:				
8) Title: Executive Director		•				•	
Contact Information							
9) P.O. Box:	And /Or	10) Street Address: A	43 Main Stree	et			
11) City: Danbury	11) City: Danbury 12) State: CT 13) Zip Code					Code: 06810	
14) Telephone Number: (203)743-520 0	umber:	•					
16) E-mail Address: bguertin@danbu	ırymus	seum.org					
17) Preferred means of communication:							
()E-mail							
(X) Letter							
() Both							
Dates & Response							
18) Date Contacted 04/17/2015		19) Date F	Replied				
(X) No Reply							
() Replied/No Interest							
() Replied/Have Interest							
() Replied/Other							
Additional Information							
20) Information on other consulting partie	es' role (or interest (optional):					
, same same g partie		(3/2000)					

Designation of SHPO/THPO

1) Designate the Lead State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) or Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (THPO) based on the location of the tower.

SHPO/THPO	, ,	, ,					
Name:							
You may also designate up to three additional SHPOs. He National Historic Preservation Agency and any state			ther coun	tries, enter the name of			
SHPO/THPO Name:							
SHPO/THPO Name:							
SHPO/THPO Name:							
	Cert	tification					
I certify that all representations on this FCC Form 620 Submission Packet and the accompanying attachments are true, correct, and complete.							
Party Authorized to Sign							
First Name:	MI:	Last Name:					
Signature:	•		Date:				
FAILURE TO SIGN THIS APPLICATION MAY RESULT IN DISMISSAL OF THE APPLICATION AND FORFEITURE OF ANY FEES PAID.							
WILLFUL FALSE STATEMENTS MADE ON THIS FORM OR ANY ATTACHMENTS ARE PUNISHABLE BY FINE AND/OR IMPRISONMENT (U.S. Code, Title 18, Section 1001) AND/OR REVOCATION OF ANY STATION LICENSE OR CONSTRUCTION PERMIT (U.S. Code, Title 47, Section 312(a)(1)), AND/OR FORFEITURE (U.S. Code, Title 47, Section 503).							

NEW TOWER SUBMISSION PACKET – FCC FORM 620

Attachment 1 - Consultant Information

Provide a current copy of the résumé or curriculum vitae for the Principal Investigator and any researcher or other person who contributed to, reviewed, or provided significant input into the research, analysis, writing or conclusions presented in this filing.

Current curriculum vitae or résumés are included within this attachment for the Principal Investigator and any researcher or other person who contributed to, reviewed, or provided significant input into the research, analysis, writing or conclusions presented in this filing.

Project Number: CT1412760

Project Location: 15 Great Pasture Road, Danbury, CT 06810

Lucas A. Karmazinas, M.A.

940 West Boulevard Hartford, CT 06105 (860) 428-7982 Lucas.Karmazinas@gmail.com

Objective

To apply an education and job experience in the fields of architectural history, historic preservation, cultural resource management, and urban planning demanding scholarship, creativity, and advocacy at a professional level.

Education

Master of Arts, Public History and Historic Preservation. 2009. Central Connecticut State University, New Britain, CT Bachelor of Arts, Liberal Arts and Sciences. 2003. University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT

Professional Experience

FuturePast Preservation, Hartford, CT. Established 2009.

Lucas Karmazinas: Principal, Architectural Historian, Preservation Planner. 2009-Present.

Mr. Karmazinas provides clients with consultant services related to historic preservation, architectural history, cultural resource management, historical research, and urban planning. Specialties include preparation of National Register of Historic Places nominations, State of Connecticut Register of Historic Places nominations, Local Historic District nominations, Historic Resource Inventory (HRI) surveys, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance evaluations, Section 106 surveys, and State and Federal rehabilitation tax credit applications. Functions as a liaison between the owners of historic properties (both public and private) and Federal, State, and local entities – including non-profits and advocacy groups – involved in the processes of preservation, rehabilitation, and redevelopment. Conducts preliminary historical research, architectural analysis, and photodocumentation of resources and landscapes necessary to identify those possessing historical or cultural significance. Responsible for the employment and oversight of contract historians and consultants, as well as all budgetary and business planning needs.

Project Experience

National and State of Connecticut Register of Historic Places Nominations, Local Historic District Nominations.

A Federal and State-certified Architectural Historian responsible for the nomination of over 600 historic resources to the National and/or State of Connecticut Register of Historic Places, with another 470+ resources currently pending review and approval. Prepared requisite applications for the inclusion of individual structures or historic districts on historic registers. Evaluated historic and cultural resources for potential listing on historic registers. Conducted historical research, architectural analysis, and photo-documentation of historic and cultural resources. Oversaw public informational meetings regarding nomination processes and their implications.

Sample National Register of Historic Places Nominations:

- "George W. Crawford Manor," 94 Park Street, New Haven, CT, 2013-Present.
- "Parkville Industrial Historic District," Hartford, CT, 2013-Present.
- "Sisson-South Whitney Historic District," Hartford, CT, 2011-2013.
- "Kensington Grammar/Jean E. Hooker High School," 462 Alling Street, Berlin, CT, 2011-2012.

Sample State of Connecticut Register of Historic Places Nominations:

- "Hartford Rubber Works Company Factory," 45-55 Bartholomew Avenue, Hartford, CT, 2014.
- "Hotel America," 5 Constitution Plaza, Hartford, CT, 2011.
- "New Haven Screw Company Factory," 191 Foster Street, New Haven, CT, 2011.
- "Yale Armory," 40 Central Avenue, New Haven, CT, 2009.

Sample Local Historic District Nominations:

"Guilford Town Center Historic District Boundary Increase," Guilford, CT, 2012.

Historic Resource Inventories and Historical Surveys.

Conducted all aspects of historical research, architectural analysis, and writing involved in completing Historic Resource Inventories, a comprehensive survey document used by the State of Connecticut to identify and record historic resources. Carried out architectural surveys, historical research, and photo documentation of historically significant architecture related to the history and development of Connecticut cities and towns. Researched and documented the architectural character and developmental history of over 970 historic resources in the State of Connecticut. Coordinated with the State Historic Preservation office and local entities, including municipalities, historical societies, and preservation advocacy groups. Oversaw public informational meetings regarding the survey process and its implications. Participated in fieldwork and data input involved in preparing and compiling a database of 20,000 buildings in Hartford, CT.

Sample Historic Resource Inventories:

- "Historic Resources Inventory Survey of Historic Architecture, Phase IIIa & b, South Windsor, CT," 2014.
- "Historic Resources Inventory Survey of Historic Architecture, Meriden, CT," 2013-2014.
- "Historic Resources Inventory Survey of Historic Architecture, Clinton, CT," 2012-2013.
- "Historic Resources Inventory Survey of Historic Architecture, Deep River, CT," 2011.

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance evaluations.

Conducted architectural analysis, historical evaluation, and form preparation involved in completing Federal Communications Commission Forms 620/621, the applications used by the FCC to identify and record historic resources impacted by telecommunication projects involving new tower construction and collocations in compliance with National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) rules and Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA).

Sample National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance evaluations:

 Completed dozens of FCC Wireless Telecommunications Bureau New Tower ("NT") Submissions Packets (FCC Form 620) and FCC Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Collocation ("CO") Submissions Packets (FCC Form 621) throughout Connecticut, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, and Vermont, 2014-Present.

Sample Section 106 Historical Surveys:

 "Cultural Resources Reconnaissance Survey for Landscape Improvements to the Coltsville Industrial District," Hartford, CT, 2012.

Federal and State Historic Preservation Tax Credit Applications.

Responsible for the preparation of Federal and State of Connecticut tax credit applications and oversight of historic rehabilitation projects. Conducted historical research, architectural analysis, and photo-documentation necessary to complete rehabilitation tax credit applications. Served as a liaison between the owners of historic properties and the Federal, State, and local entities involved in the tax credit application and rehabilitation process. Consulted with architects, contractors, developers, and property owners to successfully create rehabilitation plans compliant with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Historic Preservation.

Sample Federal and State Historic Preservation Tax Credit Applications:

- "Federal and State of Connecticut Historic Preservation Tax Credit Applications, Summit Park," 887-891 and 897-901 Park Street; 439-441 and 443-445 Summit Street; and 445-449 and 459-461 Zion Street, Hartford, CT, 2012-Present.
- "Federal and State of Connecticut Historic Preservation Tax Credit Applications, Lovell School," 45 Nash Street, New Haven, CT, 2011-2012.

Professional Affiliations, Community Work, Awards and Honors

- 2012-Present Co-Chair, West End Civic Association, Architectural History and Resources Committee.
- 2012-Present Board Member, Parkville Neighborhood Revitalization Zone.
- 2010-Present Member, National Trust for Historic Preservation.
- 2009-2011 Volunteer, New Haven Preservation Trust, Historic Resources Inventory survey of Modernist architecture in New Haven, Connecticut.
- 2008 Recipient, "Graduate Studies Academic Award for MA Program in Public History," given by the School
 of Graduate Studies, Central Connecticut State University.



DAVID R. GEORGE, M.A, R.P.A.

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR

EDUCATION

Bachelor of Science in Business Management, Ithaca College, Ithaca, New York, 1990.

Master of Arts in Anthropology, University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut, 1992.

Introduction to Federal Projects and Historic Preservation Law, Section 106 Compliance, 1999.

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Environmental Report Preparation Seminar, 2003

ACADEMIC AWARDS AND FELLOWSHIPS

Phi Kappa Phi, 1995.

University of Connecticut Anthropology Department Research Assistantship, 1994.

University of Connecticut Anthropology Department Teaching Assistantship, 1991-1994.

University of Connecticut Anthropology Department Pre-Doctoral Fellowship, 1992.

University of Connecticut Anthropology Department Lectureship, 1991.

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Principal Investigator, Heritage Consultants, LLC, February 2004-Present.

Vice President-Archeological Services, Goodwin & Associates, Inc., December 2002-March 2004.

Assistant Vice President, R. Christopher Goodwin & Associates, Inc., May 2001-December 2002.

Senior Project Manager, R. Christopher Goodwin & Associates, Inc., May 2001-November 2001.

Project Manager, R. Christopher Goodwin & Associates, Inc., September 1998-May 2001.

Laboratory Supervisor/Crew Chief, Archaeological and Historical Consultants, Inc., 1996-1998.

Instructor, Department of Anthropology, University of Connecticut, Storrs, 1995-1996.

Field Director/Project Manager, Public Archaeology Survey Team, Inc., 1990-1996.

Field Technician, Office of the Connecticut State Archaeologist, 1990-1996.

Teaching Assistant, Department of Anthropology, University of Connecticut, 1991, 1994.

Field Instructor, Department of Anthropology Fieldschool, University of Connecticut, 1992-1994.

PROFESSIONAL MEMBERSHIPS

Society for American Archeology Society for Historical Archaeology Eastern States Archaeological Federation Register of Professional Archeologists

SPECIAL SKILLS

Existing Conditions/Disturbance Investigations SHPO/Native American Consultation Geographic Information Systems Applications Faunal, Botanical, and Lithic Analyses

PROJECT EXPERIENCE

With 24 years of experience, I have completed hundreds of cultural resources investigations, including many within the Town of Waterford.



WILLIAM F. KEEGAN, B.A. HISTORICAL GEOGRAPHER & GIS SPECIALIST

EDUCATION

Bachelor of Arts in Anthropology and Geography, University of Connecticut, Storrs, 1996

Master of Arts Candidate in Geography, University of Connecticut, Storrs (all but thesis)

Certificate in Geographic Information Systems, University of Connecticut, Storrs (application pending)

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Partner, Heritage Consultants, LLC, February 2004 - Present

Partner, Keegans Associates, LLC, April 1997 - April 2004

Teaching Assistant, Department of Geography, University of Connecticut, Storrs, 2000-2001

PROFESSIONAL MEMBERSHIPS

Archeological Society of Connecticut

Northeast Arc Users Group

Council for Northeastern Historic Archaeology

SPECIAL SKILLS

Geographic Information Systems

Cartography

Archival, Cartographic, and Historical Research

PROJECT EXPERIENCE

I have completed hundreds of cultural resources investigations across Connecticut during my 17 years of cultural resrouces management experience, many of which were in the Town of Waterford.

NEW TOWER SUBMISSION PACKET – FCC FORM 620

Attachment 2 – Site Information - Photographs

You are required to provide photographs and maps as part of this filing. Additional site information can be provided in an optional attachment.

Photograph Requirements:

Except in cases where no Historic Properties were identified within the Areas of Potential Effects, submit photographs as described below. Photographs should be in color, marked so as to identify the project, keyed to the relevant map or text, and dated; the focal length of the lens and the height of the camera should be noted. The source of any photograph included but not taken by the Applicant or its consultant (including copies of historic images) should be identified on the photograph.

- 1. Photographs taken from the tower site should show views from the proposed location in all directions. The direction (e.g., north, south, etc.) should be indicated on each photograph, and, as a group, the photographs should present a complete (360 degree) view of the area around the communications tower or non-tower structure.
- 2. Photographs of all listed and eligible properties within the Areas of Potential Effects.
- 3. If any listed or eligible properties are visible from the proposed tower site, photographs looking at the site from each historic property. The approximate distance in feet (meters) between the site and the historic property should be included. If any listed or eligible properties are within the APE, photos looking at each historic property should be included.

Include aerial photos of the APE for visual effects, if available. There are a variety of publicly available websites that provide aerial photographs.

Please see the attached Photographs 1-10, which were taken by a representative of All-Points Technology Corporation on April 25, 2015, unless otherwise noted. A photograph location map is included within this attachment (Figure 1).

Project Number: CT1412760

Project Location: 15 Great Pasture Road, Danbury, CT 06810




Figure 1. Aerial view of the location of the proposed telecommunications tower in Danbury, Connecticut depicting the location and direction of each the following photographs.



Photo 1. Overview photo from the proposed tower location facing southeast.



Photo 2. Overview photo from the proposed tower location facing southwest.



Photo 3. Overview photo from the proposed tower location facing northwest.



Photo 4. Overview photo from the proposed tower location facing northeast.



Photo 5. Overview photo of the proposed tower location facing west.



Photo 6. Overview photo of the proposed tower location facing east.



Photo 7. Overview photo of the proposed tower location facing south.



Photo 8. Overview photo of the area to the north of the proposed tower location facing north.



Photo 9. Overview photo of the proposed access road facing east.



Photo 10. Overview photo of the proposed access road facing west.

NEW TOWER SUBMISSION PACKET – FCC FORM 620

Attachment 3 - Site Information - Map Requirements

Include one or more 7.5-minute quad USGS topographical maps that:

- a. Identify the Areas of Potential Effects for both Direct and Visual Effects. If a map is copied from the original, include a key with name of quad and date.
- b. Show the location of the proposed tower site and any new access roads or other easements including excavations.
- c. Show the locations of each property listed.
- d. Include keys for any symbols, colors, or other identifiers.
- e. Submit color maps whenever possible.

Please see the attached figures, which were prepared by Mr. William Keegan, Historical Geographer & GIS Specialist, with Heritage Consultants, LLC, for All-Points Technology Corporation, unless otherwise noted.

The following maps are attached to this report:

Figure 1 – Topographic Map.

Figure 2 - Historic Map, 1856.

Figure 3 - Historic Map, 1869.

Figure 4 - Historic Aerial Image, 1934.

Figure 5 - Historic Aerial Image, 1965.

Figure 6 - Aerial Image, 1990.

Figure 7 – Aerial Image, 2005.

Figure 8 - Aerial Image, 2014.

Figure 9 - Archaeological Sites Map.

Figure 10 - National Register of Historic Places Resources Map.

Project Number: CT1412760

Project Location: 15 Great Pasture Road, Danbury, CT 06810



Excerpt from recent USGS topographic quadrangle map depicting the proposed tower location in Danbury, Connecticut.




Figure 2. Excerpt from a 1856 historic map depicting the proposed tower location in Danbury, Connecticut.




Figure 3. Excerpt from an 1869 historic map depicting the proposed tower location in Danbury, Connecticut.



Figure 4. Excerpt from a 1934 aerial image depicting the proposed tower location in Danbury, Connecticut.



Figure 5. Excerpt from a 1965 aerial image depicting the proposed tower location in Danbury, Connecticut.



Figure 6.



Figure 7. Excerpt from a 2005 aerial image depicting the proposed tower location in Danbury, Connecticut.




Figure 8. Excerpt from a 2014 aerial image depicting the proposed tower location in Danbury, Connecticut.




Figure 9. Digital map depicting the locations of previously recorded archaeological sites in the vicinity of the proposed tower location in Danbury, Connecticut.




Figure 10. Digital map depicting the locations of previously National Register of Historic Places properties in the vicinity of the proposed tower location in Danbury, Connecticut.

Attachment 4 – Site Information – Additional Site Information

Describe any additional structures, access roads, utility lines, fences, easements, or other construction planned for the site in conjunction with the proposed tower and related facilities. Use this attachment to provide additional details needed to provide a full and accurate description of any structural alterations, additions, or other construction activities that will take place to complete the tower.

Additional Site Information and Recommendations:

The Subject Property, located at 15 Great Pasture Road in Danbury is situated on the west side of Great Pasture Road east of Sympaug Brook. The surrounding area to the north and east along Great Pasture Road and Wooster Street is a industrial park with large-scale modern commercial and industrial buildings.

The proposed tower location is situated in a portion of Danbury that was very sparsely developed as of the mid to late nineteenth century. Areas of significant development were located to the south, east, and northwest of the proposed project parcel at that time. The proposed tower location was situated on what was an outlying piece of land during the late nineteenth century; this parcel likely was used for agriculture. This interpretation is confirmed by an aerial image dating from 1934, which shows the area surrounding the proposed project parcel as an open landscape dominated by agricultural fields. Figure 5, which is an aerial image taken in 1965, documented a significant change in the landscape in the immediate vicinity of the proposed tower location. This included the construction of the nearby large commercial building that still exists on the subject parcel. An aerial image captured in 1990, shows essentially the same pattern, but with some changes. By that time, it is clear that the portion of the parcel containing the proposed tower was altered, likely by significant fill deposits and continued construction in the area. The subsequent 2004 and 2014 aerial images show the area surrounding the proposed tower location in its essentially modern state. This portion of Danbury contains several commercial buildings and large areas of previous disturbances.

Verizon Wireless is proposing to build a 123' Monopole Communications Tower facility at 15 Great Pasture Road in Danbury, Fairfield County, Connecticut. The 123' tall Monopole Communications Tower would be built within a ± 50 ' by ± 50 ' gravel and fenced equipment compound/lease area. A proposed ± 20 ' wide access and utility easement would follow the existing paved drive off of Great Pasture Road.

Site Plans provided by Verizon Wireless are included in this attachment.

Project Number: CT1412760

Cellco Partnership



WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY BETHEL W2

15 GREAT PASTURE ROAD DANBURY, CT 06810

1. Hand MODIFIEST ON E RORGO DI HOMO DIALI 2. Your BUT IN AND JOE ROMA EST 3. Toke the 1st LET onto COMMESTICUT RAVO 4. TURN LET to many ords — PAR 5. Mary and METT WAS TO SHELLTR ROCK FD 7. DAD SHELTER HOME SON 5. TURN DESCRIPTION SHELTER HOME SON 6. TURN DESCRIPTION SHELTER HOME AND 10. TURN TO SHELTER HOME AND THE HOME AND THE HOME TO SHELTER HOME AND THE HOME AND THE HOME TO SHELTER HOME AND THE HOME SITE DIRECTIONS NO. ğ

GENERAL NOTES


PROPOSED ANTENNA LOCATIONS AND HEIGHTS PROVIDED BY CELLCO PARTNERSHIP

SITE INFORMATION

- THE SCOPE OF WORK SHALL INCLUDE:

 1. THE CONSTRUCTION OF A 50'x50" FENCED WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS COMPOUND
- A TOTAL OF UP TO TWELVE (12) DIRECTIONAL PANEL ANTENNAS ARE PROPOSED TO BE MOUNTE AT A CENTERLINE ELEVATION OF $120^{\circ}-0^{\circ}\pm$ AGL ON A $120^{\circ}-0^{\circ}\pm$ PROPOSED STEEL MONOPOLE TOWER.
- FINAL DESIGN FOR TOWER AND ANTENNA MOUNTS SHALL BE INCLUDED IN THE DAM PLANS.


- THERE WILL NOT BE ANY LIGHTING UNLESS REQUIRED BY THE FCC OR THE FAX.
 THERE WILL NOT BE ANY SIGNS OR ADVENTISHIG ON THE ANTENNAS OR EQUIPMENT.
 - THE PROPOSED WITELESS FACILITY INSTALLATION WILL BE DESIGNED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE 2003 INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE AS MODIFIED BY THE 2009 CONNECTICUT SUPPLEMENT.




PHOJECT SUMMARY	
SITE NAME:	BETHEL W2
SITE ADDRESS:	15 GREAT PASTURE ROAD DANBURY, CT 06810
PROPERTY OWNER:	EPPOLITI NDUSTRIAL REALTY INC. 37 DANBURY ROAD \$203 RIDGEFIELD, CT 08677
LESSEE/TEWWIT:	CELLOO PARTNERSHIP d.b.a. VERZON WHELESS pp ENT RAKEN DRINE EAST HARTFORD, CT 08108
VERIZON SITE ACQUISITION CONTACT:	ALEKSEY TYURIN CELLCO PARTNERSHIP (880) 803-8213
LEGAL/REGULATORY COUNSEL:	KENNETH C. BALDWIN, ESQ. ROBINSON & COLE (860) 257-8345
TOWER COORDINATES:	LATTUDE: 41"-22"-58.813" LONGTUDE: 73"-25"-19.811" PROPOSED GROUND ELEWINON: 387.1"± AMS.L.
	SITE COORDINATES AND GROUND ELENTICM MO REPERSIGED FROM FAX—IA SURVEY CERTIFICATION AS REPEARED BY MATRICES COUCH AND ASSOCIATES LLC, DATED FEBRUARY 17, 2015.

왊	SHEET INDEX	
N S	DESCRIPTION	NO.
ĭ	TITLE SHEET	N
7	SITE LOCATION FLAN	2
C-2	COMPOUND PLAN, ELEVATION AND ANTENNA MOUNTING CONFIGURATION	N

Г	9		5 8 E	Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless	C=NIT=V		PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER SEAL					
п	8	╡	NO BOY	Colico I al trior or p C/D/a Tel 1201 THE CICOS								
П	₹ . I		§ 12	WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	Centered on Solutions*			<u> </u>	-	-	-	
P	1	ᇤ	7 8 R	DET 151 140	(203) 458-0380	Celico Pertnership						
-1	å <u> </u>	SHEET	02/11/15 AS HOTED 14216.000	BETHEL W2	(203) 488-8967 Fax 63-2 North Branford Road Branford, CT 06405	d.b.a. verizon wireless						
- L								-	04/02/15	HWR	DMD	REMISED CSC TEC
ľ				15 GREAT PASTURE ROAD DANBURY, CT 06810				1	02/23/15		DMD	CSC TECH REPORT
-1							I	0	02/12/15	KAW	DMD	CSC TECH REPOR
		$\perp \! \! \perp \! \! \! \perp \! \! \! \! \! \perp \! \! \! \! \! \!$		www.CenfeltEng.com			REV.	DATE	DRAWN BY	CHK'D BY	DESCRIPTION	





Attachment 5 – Determination of Effect Attachments

You are required to provide two attachments regarding the Determination of Effect: Areas of Potential Effect and Mitigation of Effect (if applicable).

Areas of Potential Effect Guidelines:

Direct Effects

a. Describe the APE for direct effects and explain how this APE was determined.

The APE for Direct Effects is limited to the area of potential ground disturbance and any property, or any portion thereof, that will be physically altered or destroyed by the construction of the proposed telecommunications facility.

A field survey completed by a representative of Heritage Consultants, LLC on April 25, 2015 that the APE for Direct Effects is confined to the proposed tower location and access road. A pedestrian survey of the proposed tower location and the associated access road by representatives of Heritage Consultants, LLC was completed on April 25, 2015. Given the previously disturbed nature of the area, it is the professional opinion of Heritage Consultants, LLC that there are no Historic Properties in the Area of Potential Effect for Direct Effects.

Please see the attached Preliminary Archaeological Assessment from Heritage Consultants dated April 29, 2015.

Visual Effects

b. Describe the APE for visual effects and explain how this APE was determined.

The APE for Visual Effects is the geographic area in which the Undertaking has the potential to introduce visual elements that diminish or alter the setting, including the landscape, where the setting is a character-defining feature of a Historic Property that makes it eligible for listing on the National Register. The Nationwide Programmatic Agreement governing new tower construction indicates that, unless otherwise established through consultation with the SHPO/THPO, the presumed APE for visual effects relative to the construction of new facilities is a) 0.5-mile radius for towers 200 feet or less in overall height, b) 0.75-mile radius for towers greater than 200 but no more than 400 feet in overall height; or, c) 1.5-mile radius for towers greater than 400 feet in overall height.

The aforementioned field survey completed on April 25, 2015 confirmed that the .5-mile APE for Visual Effects for this project is appropriate. No adjustments are recommended to the APE as defined under the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement, and .5-mile radius was considered acceptable for establishing visual impacts of the planned undertaking based on overall structure height of 123 feet.

Given this information, it is the professional opinion of the investigator that there are no Historic Properties in the Area of Potential Effects for Visual Effects.

Project Number: CT1412760

¹ The Nationwide Programmatic Agreement defines a "Historic Property" as "Any prehistoric or historic district, site, building, structure, or object included in, or eligible for inclusion in, the National Register maintained by the Secretary of the Interior. This term includes artifacts, records, and remains that are related to and located within such properties. The term includes properties of traditional religious and cultural importance to an Indian tribe or NHO that meet the National Register criteria."

Mitigation of Effect Guidelines:

In the case where an Adverse Visual Effect or Adverse Direct Effect has been determined you must provide the following:

1. Copies of any correspondence and summaries of any oral communications with the SHPO/THPO and any consulting parties.

As of the date of this report, there has been no correspondence with the SHPO/THPO. Describe any alternatives that have been considered that might avoid, minimize, or mitigate any adverse effects. Explain the Applicant's conclusion regarding the feasibility of each alternative.

No Historic Properties previously listed or deemed eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places are located within the APEs for Direct or Visual effects; therefore, alternatives that might avoid, minimize, or mitigate any adverse effects need not be considered. As such, as of the date of this report, there has been no correspondence with the SHPO/THPO regarding mitigation of effect.

Project Number: CT1412760

Attachment 6 – Historic Properties Attachment

You are required to provide two attachments regarding the Determination of Effect: Areas of Potential Effect and Mitigation of Effect (if applicable).

File reviews of the National Register Database, Connecticut State Historic Register, and Connecticut State Historic Resource Inventory were conducted by Lucas Karmazinas, architectural historian with FuturePast Preservation, and Mr. William Keegan, Historical Geographer & GIS Specialist, with Heritage Consultants, LLC, to identify Historic Properties within the APEs for Visual and Direct Effects. Mr. Karmazinas also completed an evaluation of NRHP eligibility, according to the NRHP criteria of eligibility (36 C.F.R. Part 63), for any additional properties identified within the APE for direct or visual effects that may not have been identified during a review of the aforementioned files. The results of these reviews are discussed below, as necessary.

Historic Properties Identified within the APE for Direct Effects:

There are no Historic Properties located within the APE for Direct Effects.

Historic Properties Identified within the APE for Visual Effects:

No Historic Properties previously listed or deemed eligible for the National Register of Historic Places were identified within the APE for Visual Effects.

As of the date of this report, All-Points Technology has not received comments from Indian Tribes, NHOs, local governments, or members of the public that identify Historic Properties in the APE for visual effects that are not listed in the above list of Historic Properties.

No properties included in the APEs were considered no longer eligible for inclusion in the National Register by the Applicant.

Project Number: CT1412760

Attachment 7 – Tribal and NHO Involvement

At an early stage in the planning process, the Nationwide Agreement requires the Applicant to gather information from appropriate Indian Tribes or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs) to assist in the identification of Historic Properties of religious and cultural significance to them. Describe measures taken to identify Indian tribes and NHOs that may attach religious and cultural significance to Historic Properties that may be affected by the tower construction within the Areas of Potential Effects (APE) for direct and visual effects. If such Indian tribes or NHOs were identified, list them and provide a summary of contacts by either the FCC, the Applicant, or the Applicant's representative. Provide copies of relevant documents, including correspondence. If no such Indian tribes or NHOs were identified, please explain.

All-Points Technology Corporation, P.C. completed the Tower Construction Notification System (TCNS) on April 14, 2015. The attached FCC Notification email lists the Tribes identified through the TCNS process. Follow up correspondence, when necessary, will be completed via the methods listed on the attached email considered acceptable to each Tribe.

Project Number: CT1412760

Nicole Castro

From: towernotifyinfo@fcc.gov

Sent: Friday, April 17, 2015 3:02 AM

To: Nicole Castro

Cc: Jonathan.Jonas@fcc.gov; diane.dupert@fcc.gov

Subject: NOTICE OF ORGANIZATION(S) WHICH WERE SENT PROPOSED TOWER

CONSTRUCTION NOTIFICATION INFORMATION - Email ID #4175525

Dear Sir or Madam:

Thank you for using the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) Tower Construction Notification System (TCNS). The purpose of this electronic mail message is to inform you that the following authorized persons were sent the information you provided through TCNS, which relates to your proposed antenna structure. The information was forwarded by the FCC to authorized TCNS users by electronic mail and/or regular mail (letter).

Persons who have received the information that you provided include leaders or their designees of federally-recognized American Indian Tribes, including Alaska Native Villages (collectively "Tribal Nations"), Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs), and State Historic Preservation Officers (SHPOs). For your convenience in identifying the referenced Tribal Nations and NHOs and in making further contacts, the City and State of the Seat of Government for each Tribal Nation and NHO, as well as the designated contact person, is included in the listing below. We note that Tribal Nations may have Section 106 cultural interests in ancestral homelands or other locations that are far removed from their current Seat of Government. Pursuant to the Commission's rules as set forth in the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement for Review of Effects on Historic Properties for Certain Undertakings Approved by the Federal Communications Commission (NPA), all Tribal Nations and NHOs listed below must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to respond to this notification, consistent with the procedures set forth below, unless the proposed construction falls within an exclusion designated by the Tribal Nation or NHO. (NPA, Section IV.F.4).

The information you provided was forwarded to the following Tribal Nations and NHOs. If a Tribal Nation or NHO does not respond within a reasonable time, you should make a reasonable effort at follow-up contact, unless the Tribal Nation or NHO has agreed to different procedures (NPA, Section IV.F.5). In the event a Tribal Nation or NHO does not respond to a follow-up inquiry, or if a substantive or procedural disagreement arises between you and a Tribal Nation or NHO, you must seek guidance from the Commission (NPA, Section IV.G). These procedures are further set forth in the FCC's Declaratory Ruling released on October 6, 2005 (FCC 05-176).

1. Cultural Preservation Director Nekole Alligood - Delaware Nation - Anadarko, OK - regular mail Details: The Delaware Nation located in Anadarko, Oklahoma charges a \$500 administrative fee for the review of ALL projects.

(Change Effective 5/21/2013).

Send fee payable to the Delaware Nation in the form of a check or money order.

All projects for review by the Delaware Nation must pay the \$500 fee.

Please note that the Delaware Nation and the Delaware Tribe of Indians ARE NOT the same enitity.

Send all correspondence for the Delaware Nation to The Delaware Nation

ATTN: Cultural Preservation Department

31064 State Hwy 281

Anadarko, OK 73005.

2. THPO Marissa Turnbull - Mashantucket Pequot Tribe - Mashantucket, CT - electronic mail Details: The Mashantucket Pequot Tribal Nation will charge a \$500.00 research fee for all proposed Cell Tower projects and, as of Monday May 26, 2014 will also charge a \$500.00 research fee for all Positive Train Control (PTC) projects.

Please make your check payable to the "Mashantucket Pequot Tribal Nation," and mail to:

Mashantucket Pequot Tribal Nation Natural Resources Protection & Regulatory Affairs 550 Trolley Line Blvd. P.O. Box 3202 Mashantucket, CT 06338-3202

For every proposed cell tower project, and for every Positive Train Control (PTC) project, the Mashantucket Pequot Tribal Nation requires a site location map, information regarding project ground disturbance, site plans and a detailed description of the proposed site and project & a copy of any archaeology surveys completed - If the proposed project is to be located on an already existing building, we would like to be informed of that as well.

After we have received the research fee, we will commence our research & review of the proposed cell tower project, and / or the Positive Train Control (PTC) project & make every effort to respond to you within thirty days.

Marissa Turnbull, THPO
Mashantucket Pequot Tribal Nation
mturnbull@mptn-nsn.gov
860-396-7570

3. Deputy THPO Elaine Thomas - Mohegan Indian Tribe - Uncasville, CT - electronic mail and regular mail Details: The Mohegan Indian Tribe of Connecticut has an interest in all Cell Tower Projects and Positive Train Control Projects that are within the State of Connecticut.

Beginning May 26, 2014 The Mohegan Indian Tribe of Connecticut will charge a \$500.00 research fee per all proposed Cell Tower Projects and Positive Train Control Projects that are within the State of Connecticut. After we have received the research fee, we will commence our research of the proposed Project. The Mohegan Tribe is interested in all notifications of proposed Cell Tower Projects and Positive Train Control Projects that are within the State of Connecticut and will respond to all notifications.

Please make checks payable to The Mohegan THPO, and include, 4990-0300, AA code 52, onall checks along with the TCNS#. Please send checks to: The Mohegan THPO c/o James Quinn, 13 Crow Hill Road, Uncasville, CT 06382.

4. Program Manager-Cell Tower Division Sequahna Mars - Narragansett Indian Tribe - Wyoming, RI - electronic mail and regular mail

Details: NITHPO respectfully requests that additional contacts following initial TCNS notification be made via e-mail to Sequahna Mars, at sequahna@yahoo.com.

NITHPO respectfully requests a site map and photographs for all projects that involve ground disturbance.

Please note that NITHPO's current review fees are as follows:

For projects in which there is to be no ground disturbance the review fee is \$500.

For ALL projects which include ground disturbance, the review fee is \$1000.

5. THPO/NAGPRA Technician Minogheezhig Sandman-Shelifoe - Keweenaw Bay Indian Community - Baraga, MI - electronic mail

Details: The KBIC THPO reviews all projects within historic homelands for the presence of cultural resources with significance to the Anishinaabe. Your request will go through a preliminary review by our THPO/NAGPRA Technician, the review consists of relevant studies submitted by the applicant regarding cultural resources documentation, in house literature search, database search and GIS search for further information. If any cultural resources are identified during this process, the file will be turned over to the Tribal Historic Preservation Officer in order to make a determination of effects.

Information required in order to complete this process are as follows:

Project Name

Project Location

Physical Address

Latitude and Longitude

State, County, Township, Range, Section quarters Brief Project Description Existing studies for archaeological sites, and cultural resources.

As of June 11, 2014 the KBIC THPO will be charging a fee of \$500.00 per review/collocation unless the review covers more than one section of land in which case the fee is \$500.00 per section. Fees in this process cover the research and other activities required to provide you with a timely response so your project can stay on track. Please submit payment of \$500.00 for each project application submitted, checks should be made payable to KBIC THPO, 16429 Beartown Road, Baraga, Michigan 49908. Any questions can be directed to: Minogheezhig Sandman -Shelifoe via email thpo@kbic-nsn.gov, minogheezhig@kbic-nsn.gov or by phone: 906-353-6623 ext. 4278. (Please note that Juliet Goyen is no longer a contact within the KBIC-THPO office)

If the applicant/tower builder receives no response from the Keweenaw Bay Indian Community within 30 days after notification through TCNS, the Keweenaw Bay Indian Community has no interest in participating in pre-construction review for the proposed site. The Applicant/tower builder, howeve

r, must immediately notify the Keweenaw Bay Indian Community in the event archaeological properties or human
remains are discovered during construction, consistent with Section IX of the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement and
applicable law.

6. THPO and NAGPRA Representative Giiwegiizhigookway Martin - Lac Vieux Desert Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians - Watersmeet, MI - electronic mail Details: Effective May 7, 2014:

To enable us to participate fully, the Ketegitigaaning Ojibwe Nations fee for such services is \$300. The fee must be submitted so that the research can be done. At that time we will review and make our determinations with the appropriate information that we have on file with our Tribe pertaining to this area.

All Collocation Projects will be handled in the same manner as new projects UNLESS the Ketegitigaaning Ojibwe Nation commented on the original project.

Please forward the following information: a short summary of the proposed ground disturbing activity, Legal Description of the Area of Potential Effects, Topo maps identifying the proposed area, and copies of any studies that have already been conducted regarding cultural resources and archaeology in their full format, including reports on archaeological and cultural sites identified.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at 906-358-0137.

Miigwetch,

giiwegiizhigookway Martin, THPO

Fee can be sent along with the requested information to:
Make Check Payable to:
Ketegitigaaning Ojibwe Nation THPO
P.O. 249
Watersmoot Michigan 40060

Watersmeet, Michigan 49969 Office: 906-358-0137

Fax: 906-358-4850Email: gmartin@lvdtribal.com

7. Dr. Brice M Obermeyer - Delaware Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma - Emporia, KS - electronic mail

Details: In order to receive a formal response for all projects associated with the construction of towers and/or equipment associated with the Positive Train Control (PTC) Wayside Infrastructure, please provide a consultation fee of \$500. For all other projects the consultation fee is \$250.

For all review requests, the fee should be included with the mailed notification packet. Notifications should include a cover letter describing the project and a topographic map depicting the project's location.

Please send all notifications and checks for projects located in the states of Vermont, Connecticut, Massachusetts, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland and Virginia to the following address:

Delaware Tribe Historic Preservation Representatives Department of Anthropology Gladfelter Hall, Room 207 Temple University
1115 W. Polett Walk
Philadelphia, PA 19122

For projects located in the states of Ohio, Indiana, Missouri, Kansas and Oklahoma, please send all notifications and checks to the following address:

Brice Obermeyer
Delaware Tribe Historic Preservation Office Rm 212 Roosevelt Hall
1 Kellogg Drive
Emporia State University
Emporia, KS 66801

The Delaware Tribe is not interested in receiving notifications for projects that do not include ground disturbance.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Dr. Brice Obermeyer
Delaware Tribe Historic Preservation Office
1 Kellogg Drive, Roosevelt Hall - Room 212 Emporia, Kansas 66801
620-341-6699
bobermeyer@delawaretribe.org

The information you provided was also forwarded to the following SHPOs in the State in which you propose to construct and neighboring States. The information was provided to these SHPOs as a courtesy for their information and planning. You need make no effort at this time to follow up with any SHPO that does not respond to this notification. Prior to construction, you must provide the SHPO of the State in which you propose to construct (or the Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, if the project will be located on certain Tribal lands), with a Submission Packet pursuant to Section VII.A of the NPA.

8. SHPO Cara Metz - Massachusetts Historical Commission - Boston, MA - electronic mail

9. SHPO Frederick C Williamson - Rhode Island Historic Preservation & Heritage Comm - Providence, RI - regular mail

10. Deputy SHPO Edward F Sanderson - Rhode Island Historic Preservation & Heritage Comm - Providence, RI - electronic mail

11. SHPO Karen J Senich - Connecticut Commission on Culture and Tourism - Hartford, CT - electronic mail

"Exclusions" above set forth language provided by the Tribal Nation or SHPO. These exclusions may indicate types of PTC wayside pole notifications that the Tribal Nation or SHPO does not wish to review. TCNS automatically forwards all notifications to all Tribal Nations and SHPOs that have an expressed interest in the geographic area of a proposal. However, if a proposal falls within a designated exclusion, you need not expect any response and need not pursue any additional process with that Tribal Nation or SHPO. Exclusions may also set forth policies or procedures of a particular Tribal Nation or SHPO (for example, types of information that a Tribal Nation routinely requests, or a policy that no response within 30 days indicates no interest in participating in pre-construction review).

Please be advised that the FCC cannot guarantee that the contact(s) listed above opened and reviewed an electronic or regular mail notification. The following information relating to the proposed tower was forwarded to the person(s) listed above:

Notification Received: 04/14/2015

Notification ID: 125664

Tower Owner Individual or Entity Name: Cellco Partnership and its controlled affiliates doing business as Verizon

Wireless (Verizon Wireless)

Consultant Name: Nicole Castro Mrs

Street Address: All-Points Technology Corp., P.C.

3 Saddlebrook Drive

City: Killingworth State: CONNECTICUT Zip Code: 06419 Phone: 860-663-1697

Email: ncastro@allpointstech.com

Structure Type: MTOWER - Monopole Latitude: 41 deg 22 min 58.8 sec N Longitude: 73 deg 25 min 19.8 sec W Location Description: 15 Great Pasture Road

City: Danbury

State: CONNECTICUT County: FAIRFIELD

Detailed Description of Project: Refer to Site Plans.

Ground Elevation: 118.3 meters

Support Structure: 36.6 meters above ground level

Overall Structure: 37.5 meters above ground level

Overall Height AMSL: 155.8 meters above mean sea level

If you have any questions or comments regarding this notice, please contact the FCC using the electronic mail form located on the FCC's website at:

http://wireless.fcc.gov/outreach/notification/contact-fcc.html.

You may also call the FCC Support Center at (877) 480-3201 (TTY 717-338-2824). Hours are from 8 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. Eastern Time, Monday through Friday (except Federal holidays). To provide quality service and ensure security, all telephone calls are recorded.

Thank you, Federal Communications Commission

Attachment 8 - Local Government, Other Consulting Parties, and Public Notice

1. If any local government been contacted and invited to become a consulting party pursuant to Section V.A. of the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement, list the local government agencies contacted. Provide a summary of contacts and copies of any relevant documents (e.g., correspondence or notices).

All-Points Technology Corporation, P.C. contacted relevant local government agencies on April 17, 2015. The respective correspondence is attached.

2. If a local government agency will be contacted but has not been to date, explain why and when such contact will take place.

N/A.

3. List additional consulting parties that were invited to participate by the Applicant, or independently requested to participate. Provide any relevant correspondence or other documents.

Danbury Museum & Historical Society

4. You are required to provide a Public Notice Attachment.

Attached, please find a copy of the legal notice regarding the proposed telecommunications installation that was posted in the Hartford Courant newspaper on April 20, 2015. As of the date of this submission packet, no comments regarding this notice have been received by All-Points Technology Corporation, P.C. Should a response be received, copies will be forwarded to all consulting parties as an addendum to this submission packet.

Project Number: CT1412760



To: Mayor Mark D. Boughton

City of Danbury 155 Deer Hill Avenue Danbury, CT 06810 (203)797-4511

m.boughton@danbury-ct.gov

Re: Proposed Monopole Communications Tower Facility
Bethel West 2
15 Great Pasture Road

Danbury, Fairfield County, CT 06810

To comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) of 1966, as amended, Cellco Partnership and its controlled affiliates doing business as Verizon Wireless (Verizon Wireless), has retained All-Points Technology Corporation, P.C. (APT) to evaluate proposed wireless telecommunications facilities for any adverse effect it may have on historic properties. As part of this evaluation, and in conformance with the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement (NPA) for review of effects on historic properties for proposed undertakings, APT is submitting notification of the above referenced facility to the City of Danbury, Offices of the Mayor, Town Council, CLG, and the Office of Planning and Development.

Verizon Wireless is proposing to build a 123' tall Monopole Communications Tower facility located at 15 Great Pasture Road, Danbury, Fairfield, County, CT 06810. The 123' tall Monopole Communications Tower would be built within a ± 50 ' by ± 50 ' gravel and fenced equipment compound/lease area. A proposed ± 20 ' wide access and utility easement would follow the existing paved drive off of Great Pasture Road.

The purpose of this letter is to notify you that public notice of this proposed facility will be published in the Hartford Courant newspaper on April 20, 2015 and to invite comments regarding any potential effects that the proposed facility may have upon historic properties from relevant individuals or groups that you may be aware of.



To: Mr. Dennis I. Elpern, Director Planning and Zoning Department 155 Deer Hill Avenue Danbury, CT 06810 (203)797-4525 d.elpern@danbury-ct.org

Re: Proposed Monopole Communications Tower Facility
Bethel West 2
15 Great Pasture Road
Danbury, Fairfield County, CT 06810

To comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) of 1966, as amended, Cellco Partnership and its controlled affiliates doing business as Verizon Wireless (Verizon Wireless), has retained All-Points Technology Corporation, P.C. (APT) to evaluate proposed wireless telecommunications facilities for any adverse effect it may have on historic properties. As part of this evaluation, and in conformance with the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement (NPA) for review of effects on historic properties for proposed undertakings, APT is submitting notification of the above referenced facility to the City of Danbury, Offices of the Mayor, Town Council, CLG, and the Office of Planning and Development.

Verizon Wireless is proposing to build a 123' tall Monopole Communications Tower facility located at 15 Great Pasture Road, Danbury, Fairfield, County, CT 06810. The 123' tall Monopole Communications Tower would be built within a ± 50 ' by ± 50 ' gravel and fenced equipment compound/lease area. A proposed ± 20 ' wide access and utility easement would follow the existing paved drive off of Great Pasture Road.

The purpose of this letter is to notify you that public notice of this proposed facility will be published in the Hartford Courant newspaper on April 20, 2015 and to invite comments regarding any potential effects that the proposed facility may have upon historic properties from relevant individuals or groups that you may be aware of.



To: Dr. Laurie Weinstein, CLG/Chairperson Commission on Historic Preservation c/o Dennis Elpern, Planning & Zoning 155 Deer Hill Avenue Danbury, CT 06810 (203)837-8453 weinsteinl@wcsu.edu

Re: Proposed Monopole Communications Tower Facility
Bethel West 2
15 Great Pasture Road
Danbury, Fairfield County, CT 06810

To comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) of 1966, as amended, Cellco Partnership and its controlled affiliates doing business as Verizon Wireless (Verizon Wireless), has retained All-Points Technology Corporation, P.C. (APT) to evaluate proposed wireless telecommunications facilities for any adverse effect it may have on historic properties. As part of this evaluation, and in conformance with the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement (NPA) for review of effects on historic properties for proposed undertakings, APT is submitting notification of the above referenced facility to the City of Danbury, Offices of the Mayor, Town Council, CLG, and the Office of Planning and Development.

Verizon Wireless is proposing to build a 123' tall Monopole Communications Tower facility located at 15 Great Pasture Road, Danbury, Fairfield, County, CT 06810. The 123' tall Monopole Communications Tower would be built within a ± 50 ' by ± 50 ' gravel and fenced equipment compound/lease area. A proposed ± 20 ' wide access and utility easement would follow the existing paved drive off of Great Pasture Road.

The purpose of this letter is to notify you that public notice of this proposed facility will be published in the Hartford Courant newspaper on April 20, 2015 and to invite comments regarding any potential effects that the proposed facility may have upon historic properties from relevant individuals or groups that you may be aware of.



To: Mr. Joseph M. Cavo, President City of Danbury Town Council 155 Deer Hill Avenue Danbury, CT 06810 (203)797-8083 i.cavo@danbury-ct.gov

Re: Proposed Monopole Communications Tower Facility
Bethel West 2
15 Great Pasture Road
Danbury, Fairfield County, CT 06810

To comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) of 1966, as amended, Cellco Partnership and its controlled affiliates doing business as Verizon Wireless (Verizon Wireless), has retained All-Points Technology Corporation, P.C. (APT) to evaluate proposed wireless telecommunications facilities for any adverse effect it may have on historic properties. As part of this evaluation, and in conformance with the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement (NPA) for review of effects on historic properties for proposed undertakings, APT is submitting notification of the above referenced facility to the City of Danbury, Offices of the Mayor, Town Council, CLG, and the Office of Planning and Development.

Verizon Wireless is proposing to build a 123' tall Monopole Communications Tower facility located at 15 Great Pasture Road, Danbury, Fairfield, County, CT 06810. The 123' tall Monopole Communications Tower would be built within a ± 50 ' by ± 50 ' gravel and fenced equipment compound/lease area. A proposed ± 20 ' wide access and utility easement would follow the existing paved drive off of Great Pasture Road.

The purpose of this letter is to notify you that public notice of this proposed facility will be published in the Hartford Courant newspaper on April 20, 2015 and to invite comments regarding any potential effects that the proposed facility may have upon historic properties from relevant individuals or groups that you may be aware of.



To: Ms. Brigid Guertin, Executive Director Danbury Museum & Historical Society 43 Main Street
Danbury, CT 06810-8011
(203)743-5200
bguertin@danburymuseum.org

Re: Proposed Monopole Communications Tower Facility
Bethel West 2
15 Great Pasture Road
Danbury, Fairfield County, CT 06810

To comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) of 1966, as amended, Cellco Partnership and its controlled affiliates doing business as Verizon Wireless (Verizon Wireless), has retained All-Points Technology Corporation, P.C. (APT) to evaluate proposed wireless telecommunications facilities for any adverse effect it may have on historic properties. As part of this evaluation, and in conformance with the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement (NPA) for review of effects on historic properties for proposed undertakings, APT is submitting notification of the above referenced facility to the City of Danbury, Offices of the Mayor, Town Council, CLG, and the Office of Planning and Development.

Verizon Wireless is proposing to build a 123' tall Monopole Communications Tower facility located at 15 Great Pasture Road, Danbury, Fairfield, County, CT 06810. The 123' tall Monopole Communications Tower would be built within a ± 50 ' by ± 50 ' gravel and fenced equipment compound/lease area. A proposed ± 20 ' wide access and utility easement would follow the existing paved drive off of Great Pasture Road.

The purpose of this letter is to notify you that public notice of this proposed facility will be published in the Hartford Courant newspaper on April 20, 2015 and to invite comments regarding any potential effects that the proposed facility may have upon historic properties from relevant individuals or groups that you may be aware of.

nsertion Number Ad Number

date Courant on the This E-Sheet confirms that the ad appeared Date: **Publication**

create derivative works, or in any way exploit ğ may Your and page indicated. Type: Color

Description:

Take Road Trip To Reconnect With Son

ASK AMY

DEAR AMY: My 19-year-old son has grown very distant.

grown very distant.

I got divorced when he was 14.

Afterward our relationship was the best
Loudd manage, considering a parenting
schedule of every other Sunday. Prior to
the divorce we were very close and
spent a lot of time to gether (including
my coaching of his maltiple sports
activities).



content displayed or contained on the e-tearsheet

or repurpose any

CTNOW/B005/2

Section/Page/Zone:

Client Name:

Advertiser:

activities).

I attended all important events and spent as much time as pent as much time as spent as much time as possible with him. It was not as close a relationship as I would have liked, but it was functional.

Since his high sehool graduation night two may come miscion from him. All texts, phone calls and emails go unanswered.

Although I still have a functional relationship with my older son (we share dimers and talk about his life, school and his plans after college) the only information about my youngest comes through his mother (and yes, she has addressed the issue with him. unsuccessfully). He is now a five-hour drive away at college.

drive away at college.

I have been consistent in my
messages, asking to get together and
telling him I'm here for him and always
will be.

will be.

My greatest fear now is that we will grow further apart and I will miss out on his life experiences.

What else can I do?

— HEARTEROKEN DAD

THEAPT BROKEN DAY

DEAR HEART BROKEN: Young men your son's are are somewhat notorious for communicating badly with their parents, but I agree that this is extreme. You two seem to have a lot of rebuilding to do.

I suggest you communicate with your ex and see if you can arrange for you and your older son to pick up your youngers on from college at the end of the semester. Packing the car and taking a road trip together would be an opportunity for you to share an opportunity for you to share an experience and recomment. Don't push too hard, but don't give up on him.

DEAR AMY: Two brothers (in their late 60s) went on a hanting trip with friends. One of the brothers; "Steve," is my flance of 10 years (there are insurance reasons for not murrying). Prior to the trip Steve assured me there would be no strip clabivistis.

I found out much later (by accident from someone else) that there was a visit to a strip chalb and that my flance—and because it was not in the US, you get more than a "dance," if you know what I mean.

mean a 'tiance,' if you know what I mean more than angry and dispusted by dis behavior. This has had as leg impact on trust and sec for me. I also look at Randy with dispust and feel that his wife of 50 years should know about this.

I am sure it would affect family functions if I tool her. Maybe via more of my business but I feel (woman to-woman) that it IS my business, and if it were me I would want to know. She could be infected by an STD.

Your opinion?

Your opinion?

-FURIOUS

DEAR FURIOUS: My opinion is that you should stick to your own knitting. You seem to gloss over your gay "Steve's" betrayal of your trust and focus on his brother, who presumably didn't promise that he wouldn't visit a strip club. DEAR FURIOUS: My opinion is that you should stick to your own knitting.

He and his wife may have a different He and his wife may have a different understanding than you andyour fiance have. Because you don't know for sure what took place (as it is, youlearned of this second-hand), you should focus on the impact of this on your own household.

DEAR AMY: I agree with you that
"Wondering," the 42-year-old woman
saffering from "ennui," is probobly
having a "midlife criss," similar to my
own at about the same age.
I did now things that worst dfor me
I did now things that worst dfor me
became active in the probobly in the complete of the complet

DEAR NO: These transitional periods affect both women and men. I like your solutions.

► "Ask Amy" is written by Amy Dickinson. You can send questions to askamy@tribune.com or by mail to: Ask Amy, Chicago Tribune, TT500, 435 N. Michigan Ave., Chicago, IL 606T

Today's Birthdays

Actor George Takei is 78. Actor Ryan O'Neal is 74. Actress Jessica Lange is 66. Actor Crispin Glover is 51. Actor Andy Serkis is 51. Actress Carmen Electra is 43. Actor Joey Lawrence is 39. Model Miranda Kerr is 32.

HOROSCOPE

By JERALDINE SAUNDERS

ARIES (March 21-April 19): Forge ARIES (WARTH E-PAPH 19): 10gs forward, full steamahead, A positive take-charge attitude is contagious, so take advantage of every opportunity to include in your passions. Since you believe everything will work out for the best, it will.

TAURUS (April 20-May 20): Strike while the iron is hot and enthusiasm is bubbling up like a geyser. Career success might require nine-tenths perspiration and one-tenth inspiration, but that won't deter you. The more you give, the more you'll get.

GEMINI (May 21-June 20): Travel, eemin (may 2-june 20); I ravel, even if it's only a short trip to the other side of town, will broaden your outlook or provide some kind of educative experience. Those you meet are in an upbeat mood and might spend more to get the very best.

CANCER (June 21-July 22): Accentuate the positive. If you can't say something nice about someone, say nothing at all. Allow yourself to get caught up in someone else's enthusiasms. Let the other person's passion propel you forward into constructive steps.

LEO (July 23-Aug. 22): Dig down deep to get to the truth. As the ancient quotation says, "the truth will set you free." Be magnanimous when faced with unpleasant facts. Embrading the spirit of forgiveness will solve most troublesome situations.

VIRGO (Aug. 23-Sept. 22): Give in to VIRGO (Aug. 23-5ept. 22): Give in to trends and don't fight progress. You may feel that you're only one cog in a giant wheel, but without your cooperation nothing will go forward. Be a team player; contribute your fair share on many levels.

LIBRA (Sept. 23-Oct. 22): Your heart is big enough for two. Be one of the beautiful people by pampering yourself, as well as someone else, with an extravagant treat. Be poised to graciously accept an apology or a generous gesture from your significant other.

SCORPIO (Oct. 23-Nov. 21): Those in close proximity might hide their feelings early in the day. Find something physical to do to break down barriers. Support and encourage your partner, even if you have to hang onto your hat to keep up with a frantic pace.

SAGITTARIUS (Nov. 22-Dec. 21): Put money to work on your behalf, it's good to remember that it takes money to make money. Listen to your friends and follow intuitions where matters of chance are concerned and you won't go wrong.

CAPRICORN (Dec. 22-Jan, 19): Your get up and go is moving along at full speed. Take the lead to expbre unclaired waters and roam far from the beaten path. You're shrewder than usual about finances. Hard work now can pay off big in the future.

AQUARIUS (Jan. 20-Feb.18): Work like a dog to earn a pat on the head. No rest for the weary is in sight for another day or so, but a fun-filled break from routine could appear. Be on the lookout for unexpected acts of generosity from a loved one.

PISCES (Feb. 19-March 20): Cooperation could be your middle name. At the workplace, you may put the needs of others before your own and make minor sacrifices so everything runs smoothly. Tenacity and true blueness are the keys to success.

IF APRIL 20 IS YOUR BIRTHDAY: You may be challenged during the upcoming 6-5 weeks to achieve your ambitions while still remaining free to circulate among friends. Pay attention to the rules in both June and September, remembering that you aren't above the law. In July, you may be inspired by a breathtaking vacation or new romance to reach for your dreams. In late July and early August, your business sense is at a low point, so steer clear of new debts or investments. IF APRIL 20 IS YOUR BIRTHDAY:

Medical Problem Doesn't Excuse Poor Table Manners



JUDITH MARTIN Miss Manners

Dear Miss Manners: My

Dear Miss Manners: My 19 year-old stepdaughter has been invited to a formal dimer dance and asked me to teach her ediquette, so I planned and dimer using all my det. His agood opportunity to give some pointers that the children weren't clear on. However, a situation came up with her sister that I didn't know the mastwer to. She has some physical limitations using one arm, so she has gotten into the habit of using her fingers to tear her meat since cutting is difficult for her.

since cutting is difficult for her.

I felt sure that using her fingers wasn't appropriate but didn't know what other options she might try. What do you suggest?

Gentle reader: Many people now believe that any medical problem, physical or psychological, is a valid excuse for ignor-ing the customs and expec-tations of society. Miss Manners is not among them.

Manners is not among them.

However sympathetic society may be, it recoils from such obvious violations of established convention as eating mean.

This leaves by young lady with the choice of broadcasting her difficulty at every shared meal, in the hope that this will draw enough sympathy to counter an adverse reaction (although she can hardly notify every possible that he does not care if others assume that she is merely grossly unmannered.

Miss Manners would think it preferable to avoid eating food she cannot manage when dining out socially, or, at restaurants, requesting that her meat be cut in the kitchen.

Dear Miss Manners: A Dear Miss Manners: A man I connected with online invited me to meet him at a restaurant bar. I ordered a glass of wine. As the conversation ensued, his comments were racist, anti-Semité, sexist, materialistic, and finally insulting to me and my values.

ues. I stood up and said I was leaving, He said that I should pay for my wine. I said no, because he invited me. I left him with the bill. What do you think?

Gentle reader: That sticking him with the bill would not be nearly as satisfactory as flinging down money on the table, with the clear intention that you consider the price of ridding yourself of him to be worth it. But then, Miss Manners considers the high-handed insult to have more dignity than the lowly one.

Dear Miss Manners: We've been invited to a wedding where the bride wants it "off the grid." She is planning on having 120 guests and has asked that no one bring a camera or take photos with their cellphones. I'd like to hear your comments.

Gentle reader: One is, "Well, good for her." An-other is that Miss Manners considers it a shame to have to instruct one's guests that a wedding ceremony is a solemn rite to which they should be paying quiet attention, and that a wedding reception is a celebration at which they

should be socializing.

looking for an appropriate way to uninvite someone to a corporate event. The guest list has been revised, and I need to uninvite five

Gentle reader: If this were a social function, Miss Manners would have to rell you that there is no politic way to reside the contrast, revising the list of attendees to a work meeting carries no such ban. It seems clear that the event in question follows the unfortunate practice of blurring the boundary between professional and private life. You only hope is to reassert the distinction.

st to reaser the distinc-tion.

This will be easier to do if the uninvitees are em-ployees who were "working" the event, since — after you explain and apol-ogize—you may be able to suggest that their work-load is being reduced.

If, however, you have spent the past few months telling everyone that this event is fun and not work — or if these are outside guests—you would do better to locate five more chairs.

Dear Miss Manners: I work with my mother, who happens to be my "boss." In what way would it be best to address her while in the workplace?

Gentle reader: Addres

her as you would an em-ployer who did not rear you, which among other things, Miss Manners points out, means omitting those quotation marks.

To send a question to the Miss Manners team of Judith Martin, Nicholsta Morth, go to miss manners com or write them of o'Driversal Uclick, 1130 Walnut St., Kansas City, MO 64106.

PUBLIC NOTICES

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING CONCEINMENT THE PROPOSED ACQUISITION OF CONTROL OF PUBLIC REINBURANCE COMPANY by CATALINA HOLDINGS (BERNIDA) LTD. (3rd ALEA HOLDINGS U.S. COMPANY

Agenome. The policy bearing is being level on the operation of geneting approach previated the operation of geneting approach previated the operation of genetic absolute part of the application that of genetic applications of the operation and when hostings of \$2.00 many (released assert) and \$2.00 man, or \$

Connection, and 1s annihilate for policitisms because the imperious broad policy interests boars. At the policy because the policy of the Connection of

N. Beth COOK, s.rq.

The Insurance Export-travel does not dissifici-nate on the basis of disability in admission on, axosas to, or operation of its programs, services cractivities, in accordance with Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1500. Indirectation expering portionly both to invited to make their needs known to Patril Tiberio at (800) 297-39022.

Dated at Hartford, Connecticut, this 9th tri day of April, 2015. Katharine L. Wode Insurance Commissio

Wednesday in LIVING

Connecticut

FUSION NOTICE: Celloo Farthership and to controlled affisiates doing business as Vedoro Wiseless (Vedoro Wiseless) is proposing to build a 123-foot tall Monopole Communications Sheet Recitify at 15 central flashum Road, Danbury, Retfield County, CT Vedoro.

Positio comments regarding potential effect on historie projektius may be subrathe within 30 days from the date of this public tion by AlFrents Technology Son, 10 doi:10. 3 Sedderook Dive. Killingworth. 07 doi:10. 30 of 10.00 for Notice cashes, 1000 66. 1007 etc. 21. (1001 66. 1000 67. (1000 66. Plance respond within 30 days if you with historieses.) STATE OF CONNECTICUT

DOCKET NO. HEDGW136040748-5
: SUPERIOR COURT
HEDG BARK VIA. NOTIONAL ASSOCIATION.
AS TRUSTES FOR THE HOLDERS OF THE
SUPERIOR DETRICT OF
HARTFORD
V.

The Pegond Acquisition will be effected paraset to the terms of the Stare Purchased Agreement (The Agreement') be and Agreement (The Agreement') and and Will recomply the Agreement's and Agreement (The Agreement's Chief Interna-tional Chief International Chief International Chief Agreement (The Agreement Chief International Chief Agreement (The Agreement Chief International Chief with the Connectional Insulance Departments), 153 Maintel Theory 17th Book Assets (Connection) and is available for public inspection chief galact Produces Novan.

If substituted in the control of the case on the wheele of coordings, it is a consideration of the similarities of this section for the similarities of this section for the similarities of this section for the similarities of the similarities of the section for the similarities of the section for the similarities of the s

A TRUE COPY ATTEST: /S/ JOHN T FIGULLO CONNECTICUT STATE MARSHAL

TAKE THIS JOB AND LOVE IT.
START BUILDING careerbuilder

A Fluids: Hearing on the Proposed Sludget for the Town of Scrobn Sur Rosal flest Regular on 1760 PM. at the Bother sign School Germanium boated at 72 Brandy Street. Bothon. Connecticut. Copies of the Proposed Sludget will be west before proposed surget will be west before proposed surget will be sent to see for position important beginning April 22, 2035 at the Town Clienk's office at Bothon Town Stati Surge portnat business Mostre.

Indian Notice to this and fast Operation. Goodwill of Western and Northern Connecticut is applying for a capital gate address the control of the Recent State of the

A public hearing will be held if exquested by interested parties.

Any comments should then be sent to Goodwill of Western and Northern Connecticut with a copy to the Capitol Region Council of Governments.

INVITATION TO DID

NYTHINDN TO BID

The Congregational Guests of Palleville.

BOC with marker sealed bids, in frigidate,
BOC with marker sealed bids, in frigidate,
BOC with marker sealed bids, in frigidate,
CHIEGORY of PARINCELE, UCC. 120 week
and the provided on a fund point
Bod shall be provided on a fund point
BOD when this is three Plannings, CT and
Which Time they will be opened policy and
most allowd. Bod a received a first fluid time will not
first becomed.

and to accepted. All bibliors will be acquired to provide systematical excision for them so has add an applicability excision for them so have been add an order to their subcommitteness of success shally completed work of a similar channeter. All take foreign must framish advenous and evidence of appropriate insurance one-mige. Each prime confraench so that has been added to the per configuration of the per configuration of the per configuration of the configuration

Presentation Office.

The documents may be viewed on-less at the pain room at Responsible (New Year) production and on a first feet from the pain room at Responsible (New Year) production or after feet from the pain room at the



INTEGRATED HISTORIC PRESERVATION PLANNING

April 29, 2015

Ms. Nicole Castro All-Points Technology Corporation 3 Saddlebrook Drive Killingworth, CT 06419

RE: Preliminary Archeological Assessment of a Proposed Telecommunications Tower Located at 15 Great Pasture Road in Danbury, Connecticut

Ms. Castro:

Heritage Consultants, LLC, is pleased to have this opportunity to provide All-Points Technology Corporation with the following preliminary archeological assessment of a proposed telecommunications tower located at 15 Great Pasture Road in Danbury, Connecticut (Figure 1). The current project entailed completion of an existing conditions cultural resources summary based on the examination of GIS data obtained from the Connecticut State Historic Preservation Office, as well as historical data, aerial photographs, and topographic quadrangles maintained by Heritage Consultants, LLC. This investigation did not consider the effects of the proposed construction upon built resources, and it is based upon project location information provided to Heritage Consultants, LLC by All-Points Technology Corporation. The objectives of this study were to gather and present data regarding previously identified cultural resources situated within 0.8 km (0.5 mi) of the proposed tower location and to investigate the proposed project parcel in terms of its natural and historical characteristics so that the need for completing additional cultural resources investigations could be evaluated.

Figures 2 and 3 show that the proposed tower location is situated in a portion of Danbury that was little settled as of the mid to late nineteenth century. Areas of significant development were located to the south, east, and northwest of the proposed project parcel at that time. The proposed tower location was likely situated on what was an outlying piece of land during the late nineteenth century; this parcel likely was used for agriculture. This interpretation is confirmed by Figure 4, an aerial image dating from 1934, which shows the area surrounding the proposed project parcel as an open landscape dominated by agricultural fields. Figure 5, which is an aerial image taken in 1965, documented a significant change in the landscape in the immediate vicinity of the proposed tower location. This included the construction of the nearby large commercial building that still exists on the subject parcel. Figure 6, an aerial image captured in 1990, shows essentially the same pattern, but with some changes. By that time, it is clear that the portion of the parcel containing the proposed tower was altered, likely by significant fill deposits and continued construction in the area. The subsequent 2004 and 2014 aerial images, as seen in Figures 7 and 8, show the area surrounding the proposed tower location in its essentially modern state. This portion of Danbury contains several commercial buildings and large areas of previous disturbances.

Nicole Castro April 29, 2015 Page 2

In addition, a review of previously recorded cultural resources on file with the Connecticut State Historic Preservation Office revealed that while no National Register of Historic Places within 0.8 km (0.5 mi) of the proposed tower location, a single archaeological site (Site 9-10) and a portion of the "Revolutionary Battlefields" are situated within the project region (Figures 9 and 10). Site 9-10 was recorded by Archeological and Historical Services, Inc., in August of 2012. The site was classified as a Middle Archaic period occupation that produced a total of 94 lithic artifacts, a single piece of calcined bone, and 3 Quahog shell fragments. The lithic artifacts included typical waste debris, as well as projectile points and flake tools. The site was assessed as eligible for listing to the National Register of Historic Places under Criterion D. According to the submitted site form, Site 9-10 was scheduled for Phase III Data Recovery in 2013; however, Archeological and Historical Services, Inc., never submitted an updated site form to the State of Connecticut so it is unclear whether or not the planned Data Recovery excavations were completed. Finally, the proposed tower is located in the general vicinity of an area that has been designated by the Connecticut State Historic Preservation Office as Revolutionary War Battlefields. The exact extent of this area is only roughly known, as it was based on documentary evidence and not archaeological data. Despite the presence of the cultural resources within 0.8 km (0.5 mi), construction of the proposed tower will have no direct impact on them.

Finally, a pedestrian survey of the proposed tower location and the associated access road by representatives of Heritage Consultants, LLC was completed on April 25, 2015. Visual inspection of the proposed tower location and associated access road revealed that both areas have been substantially impacted by ground disturbing activities, including mechanical manipulation of the soils and construction of the adjacent commercial building and paved parking area. Thus, this area no longer retains any archaeological potential. Given the previously disturbed nature of the area, it is the professional opinion of Heritage Consultants, LLC that no additional archaeological research is recommended prior to construction of the proposed tower and its associated facilities.

If you have any questions regarding this Technical Memorandum, or if we may be of additional assistance with this or any other projects you may have, please do not hesitate to call us at 860-667-3001 or email me dgeorge@heritage-consultants.com. We are at your service.

Sincerely,

David R. George, M.A., R.P.A

Deul R. Hurge