

**From:** Meg Glass [mailto:glass50@hotmail.com]

**Sent:** Thursday, October 05, 2017 1:30 PM

**To:** Dwight Ueda; CSC-DL Siting Council

**Cc:** kathleen.shanley@eversource.com; Raymond.gagnon@eversource.com; jeffery.cochran@eversource.com; mdubuque@carmodylaw.com; afitzgerald@carmodylaw.com; Bidra, Lauren; Rosenthal, Joseph A; pstacy@optonline.net; carissa.depetris@gmail.com; SeeEdwards@aol.com; rg@granoffarchitects.com; bellanonnagreenwich@gmail.com; communityrealty@msn.com; ptesei@greenwichct.org; dball@cohenandwolf.com; ddoabin@cohenandwolf.com; Mail@morningsidecircle.org; Morgan Ceci

**Subject:** Re: Docket #461A Field Pt Estate Townhouse Comments

For the record, I am, as I have always been, 100% against this proposal. This has so many drawbacks and negatives that compounded threaten our lives and property in Greenwich, for no real need. Eversource has a multitude of options that are more practical, cost-conscious, environmentally supportive, and community caring. We recognize that Eversource is a corporation that has no chief concern for any community's ultimate welfare but rather its primary ability to earn profit. CT has had so many issues with state governance and vigilance in the past 6 years. CT added a public eyesore and threat to safety in the middle of its gateway city seems as if it is a commentary from an Updike novel. As most my fellow intervenors have expressed, this has not positives for this town. There are reasonable alternatives at a lesser cost nearby for Eversource to exploit. Why, please tell me why, CT is even allowing this continue? We have lots of work to do to repair the impression the rest of the country has of CT, and making the entrance to New England an eyesore as you enter it, does nothing to repair the devastation that has happened with consequences of recent government actions in the state. Please do not add the cherry to the top of this disaster sundae and give this proposal anymore consideration.

There is NO proven need to the increase as the loss of population and business in this state is exponentially outpacing any other state. Our rates have increased outstandingly not because of higher demand, but to cover the lost revenue of those leaving and the capital losses never properly accrued for over the years.

Creating a 400 million + project that will be obsolete once it is done, it imprudent and offensive to all taxpayers.

Please consider the people of this state first, as so few measures in this state's government seem to have thought to do before action.

Respectfully,  
Meg Glass

*Meg Glass  
9 Bolling Place  
Greenwich, CT 06830  
(203) 869-9753 H  
(203) 219-6990 O*