



Transcript of the Hearing of

Date: March 17, 2015

Volume: 3

Case: SITING COUNCIL - DOCKET NO. 454

Printed On: April 2, 2015

UNITED REPORTERS, INC.

Phone: 866-534-3383

Fax: 877-534-3383

Email: info@unitedreporters.com

Internet: www.unitedreporters.com

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Docket No. 454

Tower Holdings, LLC, Application for a
Certificate of Environmental Compatibility
and Public Need for the Construction,
Maintenance, and Operation of a
Telecommunications Facility Located at
Farmington Tax Assessor Parcel ID Maps 25 and
26, Lots 3A and 3B, 199 Brickyard Road,
Farmington, Connecticut

Continued Public Hearing held at the
Connecticut Siting Council, Ten Franklin
Square, New Britain, Connecticut, Tuesday,
March 17, 2015, at 1 p.m.

H e l d B e f o r e :

ROBERT STEIN, Chairman
SENATOR JAMES J. MURPHY, JR.,
Vice Chairman

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 173</p> <p>1 Appearances: 2 Council Members: 3 PHILIP T. ASHTON 4 DR. MICHAEL W. KLEMENS 5 DR. BARBARA C. BELL 6 DAVID LYNCH 7 8 Council Staff: 9 MELANIE BACHMAN, ESQ., 10 Executive Director and 11 Staff Attorney 12 13 ROBERT MERCIER 14 Siting Analyst 15 16 For Tower Holdings, LLC: 17 UPDIKE, KELLY & SPELLACY, P.C. 18 265 Church Street 19 New Haven, Connecticut 06510 20 BY: JESSE A. LANGER, ESQ. 21 22 23 24 25</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 175</p> <p>1 THE CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon, 2 ladies and gentlemen. I'd like to call to 3 order this meeting of the Connecticut Siting 4 Council today, Tuesday, March 17, 2015, at 5 approximately 1:00 p.m. My name is Robin 6 Stein. I'm chairman of the Siting Council. 7 This hearing is a continuation 8 of the hearing that was held on February 3, 9 2015, at the Farmington Town Hall, Council 10 Chambers, in Farmington, Connecticut. It is 11 held pursuant to the provisions of Title 16 12 of the Connecticut General Statutes and of 13 the Uniform Administrative Procedure Act upon 14 an application from Tower Holdings, LLC, for 15 a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility 16 and Public Need for the construction, 17 maintenance, and operation of a 18 telecommunications facility located at 199 19 Brickyard Road in Farmington, Connecticut. 20 This application was received by the Council 21 on November 7, 2014, and deemed complete by 22 the Council on December 11, 2014. 23 A verbatim transcript will be 24 made of this hearing and deposited with the 25 Town Clerk's Office in the Farmington Town</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 174</p> <p>1 Appearances: (Cont'd.) 2 For New Cingular Wireless, PCS, LLC: 3 CUDDY & FEDER, LLP 4 445 Hamilton Avenue, 14th Floor 5 White Plains, New York 10601 6 BY: LUCIA CHIOCCIO, ESQ. 7 8 For the Town of Farmington: 9 HALLORAN & SAGE, LLP 10 One Goodwin Square 11 225 Asylum Street 12 Hartford, Connecticut 06106 13 BY: DUNCAN F. FORSYTH, ESQ. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 176</p> <p>1 Hall for the convenience of the public. 2 We will proceed in accordance 3 with the prepared agenda, copies of which are 4 located on the table there. 5 The Applicant filed an 6 objection to the Town of Farmington's 7 prehearing submission on March 10, 2015. And 8 I'll ask our executive director, Attorney 9 Bachman, to comment. 10 MS. BACHMAN: Thank you, 11 Mr. Chairman. 12 In addition to the Applicant's 13 objection, the Town of Farmington did submit 14 a response to that objection last night. The 15 Applicant alleges that the information 16 submitted by the Town of Farmington is 17 largely irrelevant and somewhat duplicative 18 as the regulations are already in the record. 19 Staff recommends that the Council deny the 20 objection and let the information in on 21 behalf of the Town of Farmington for what 22 it's worth. 23 MR. ASHTON: So moved. 24 DR. KLEMENS: Second. 25 THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion</p>

(Pages 173 to 176)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 177</p> <p>1 and a second. 2 Any discussion? I'm not sure 3 that my postman doesn't have to go to a 4 chiropractor after that, but in any case, all 5 those in favor signify by saying aye. 6 VOICES: Aye. 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Opposed? 8 Abstention? 9 Motion carries. 10 The Council has also added two 11 items to its Administrative Notice List, 12 which are listed Roman numeral I, D, Items 26 13 and 27. These relate to the Siting Council's 14 Docket Number 421 and Petition Number 561. 15 Does any party or intervenor 16 object to these new administrative notice 17 items? 18 MR. LANGER: No objection from 19 the Applicant. 20 MR. FORSYTH: No objection 21 from the Town. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Hearing 23 and seeing none, these items are added to the 24 list. 25 We will begin with the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 179</p> <p>1 Department of Public Health. Forgive my 2 brevity there. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. 4 MR. LANGER: I also have 5 copies obviously, for the parties of record. 6 And so with that, I'll proceed according to 7 Council's wishes in that regard. 8 THE CHAIRMAN: If you wouldn't 9 mind distributing the copies, please? 10 MR. LANGER: I'd be happy to. 11 (Pause.) 12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Langer, 13 continue with the verification process, 14 please. 15 MR. LANGER: Very good. 16 CHUCK REGULBUTO, 17 STEPHEN SAVINO, JR., 18 ERNEST R. JONES, 19 MICHAEL LIBERTINE, 20 ROBERT C. BURNS, 21 having been previously duly sworn, were 22 examined and testified further on their 23 oaths as follows: 24 MR. LANGER: I ask Mr. Savino 25 and Mr. Regulbuto to answer the following</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 178</p> <p>1 appearance of the Applicant, Tower Holdings, 2 LLC, to verify the new exhibits marked as 3 Roman numeral II, Item B, 10 to 11 on the 4 hearing program. 5 Attorney Langer, will you 6 begin by verifying the new exhibits you have 7 filed on this matter and by the appropriate 8 sworn witnesses? 9 MR. LANGER: I'd be happy to, 10 Mr. Chairman. I would also request that we 11 add, on behalf of the Applicant, an 12 additional exhibit, which I have brought with 13 me here today, and it's with respect to the 14 DPH issue. And we just recently received a 15 response from the DPH. And with the 16 Council's permission, I'll introduce an 17 e-mail from the representative of the DPH to 18 Mr. Libertine regarding an issue of whether 19 or not the property subject to this 20 application falls within an aquifer 21 protection area. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. And I 23 assume the DPH refers to the Department of 24 Public Health? 25 MR. LANGER: Yes. I'm sorry,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 180</p> <p>1 questions: I'm referring to Exhibit II-B-10, 2 which is the Applicant's responses to the 3 Town of Farmington's Interrogatories, Set II 4 and III, dated March 10, 2015, and I'd like 5 to verify that exhibit. 6 Did you prepare or supervise 7 in the preparation of this exhibit, 8 Mr. Savino? 9 THE WITNESS (Savino): Yes. 10 MR. LANGER: And 11 Mr. Regulbuto, same question? 12 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): Yes, 13 I did. 14 MR. LANGER: And do you have 15 any additions, clarifications or 16 modifications to this exhibit, Mr. Savino? 17 THE WITNESS (Savino): No. 18 MR. LANGER: Mr. Regulbuto? 19 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): No. 20 MR. LANGER: Is the exhibit a 21 true and accurate -- is it true and accurate 22 to the best of your knowledge, Mr. Savino? 23 THE WITNESS (Savino): Yes, it 24 is. 25 MR. LANGER: Mr. Regulbuto?</p>

(Pages 177 to 180)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 181</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): Yes, 2 it is. 3 MR. LANGER: And do you adopt 4 the information contained in this exhibit as 5 your testimony here today, Mr. Savino? 6 THE WITNESS (Savino): Yes, I 7 do. 8 MR. LANGER: Mr. Regulbuto? 9 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): Yes, 10 I do. 11 MR. LANGER: Okay. And now 12 I'm going to turn to Exhibit II-B-11, which 13 is the Applicant's supplemental submission, 14 dated March 10, 2015, and I'm going to be 15 directing these questions to Mr. Savino, Mr. 16 Regulbuto and Mr. Burns. 17 Did you prepare or supervise 18 in the preparation of the exhibit? 19 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): Yes. 20 THE WITNESS (Savino): Yes. 21 THE WITNESS (Burns): Yes. 22 MR. LANGER: Do you have any 23 additions, clarifications or modifications to 24 this exhibit? 25 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): No.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 183</p> <p>1 Mr. Libertine, dated March 12, 2015. And 2 I'll direct the following questions to 3 Mr. Libertine: Did you prepare or supervise 4 in the preparation of this exhibit? 5 THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes. 6 MR. LANGER: Do you have any 7 additions, clarifications or modifications to 8 make to this exhibit? 9 THE WITNESS (Libertine): Not 10 really. I'll just clarify. This is actually 11 an e-mail chain. I got in contact with a 12 representative late last week and had a 13 series of discussions both on the phone 14 and then this kind of memorializes the 15 outcome of that discussion. 16 MR. LANGER: Thank you. And 17 is this exhibit true and accurate to the best 18 of your knowledge? 19 THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes. 20 MR. LANGER: And do you adopt 21 the information contained in this exhibit as 22 part of your testimony here today? 23 THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes, 24 I do. 25 MR. LANGER: Those are all the</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 182</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS (Savino): No. 2 THE WITNESS (Burns): No. 3 MR. LANGER: Is this exhibit 4 true and accurate to the best of your 5 knowledge? 6 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): Yes, 7 it is. 8 THE WITNESS (Savino): Yes, it 9 is. 10 THE WITNESS (Burns): Yes, it 11 is. 12 MR. LANGER: And do you adopt 13 the information contained in this exhibit as 14 your testimony here today? 15 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): Yes, 16 I do. 17 THE WITNESS (Savino): Yes, I 18 do. 19 THE WITNESS (Burns): Yes. 20 MR. LANGER: And then with the 21 Council's permission, I'll refer to the 22 e-mail that was just passed amongst the 23 parties and the Council as Exhibit II-B-12, 24 which is an e-mail from a representative of 25 the Department of Public Health to</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 184</p> <p>1 exhibits that we have, Mr. Chairman, and we 2 make the witness panel subject to 3 cross-examination by the parties and 4 intervenors. 5 THE CHAIRMAN: First, does any 6 party or intervenor object to the admission 7 of these new exhibits? 8 MR. FORSYTH: Mr. Chairman, 9 the Town objects to the introduction of the 10 e-mail chain with regard to Marcus 11 Communications for the following reasons: 12 Number one, the testimony of Marcus 13 Communications is not under oath; secondly, 14 there is no one from Marcus Communications, 15 to my knowledge, who's here at present and 16 capable of undergoing testimony or 17 cross-examination with regard to the claims 18 set forth therein; therefore, the Town 19 objects to the submission of any 20 communications with Marcus Communications. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Langer, do 22 you want to respond? 23 MR. LANGER: Certainly. First 24 of all, we didn't receive any advanced 25 indication regarding the Town's position on</p>

(Pages 181 to 184)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 185</p> <p>1 this. I would certainly make clear that we, 2 the Applicant, filed an objection as to the 3 submission by the Town with plenty of time 4 for the Town to respond, number one. Number 5 two, Mr. Regulbuto is here to respond, to the 6 extent that he can, concerning the submission 7 made by -- relating to Marcus Communications. 8 And then on top of that, I would submit that 9 the information is certainly germane to the 10 proceedings, and the Council can apply 11 whatever weight it deems necessary even 12 without the availability of Mr. Marcus or a 13 representative of Marcus Communications. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. I'm 15 going to overrule the objection, and we're 16 going to accept that exhibit for what it's 17 worth. And with that, the exhibits are 18 admitted. 19 (Applicant Exhibits II-B-10 20 through II-B-12: Received in evidence - 21 described in index.) 22 THE CHAIRPERSON: We'll now 23 continue the cross-examination by the Town of 24 Farmington. 25 Oh, Dr. Klemens?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 187</p> <p>1 outriggers as to raise steel." Do you see 2 that? 3 THE WITNESS (Savino): Yes. 4 MR. FORSYTH: What kind of 5 steel are you talking about? 6 THE WITNESS (Savino): Tower 7 sections, antenna mounts, any appurtenances 8 that would be used in our industry to affix 9 any type of antenna to a structure. 10 MR. FORSYTH: Okay. Such as 11 that 20-foot section that you testified about 12 last time? 13 THE WITNESS (Savino): Yes, a 14 20-foot section of tower. They come in 15 various sizes. They could be 10 feet. 16 MR. FORSYTH: And last time 17 you talked about raising antenna sections and 18 other items into the air on the crane 19 function of this structure. Will any of 20 those structures be raised above 180 feet? 21 THE WITNESS (Savino): No. 22 No, they would not. We don't have to do 23 that. 24 MR. FORSYTH: So your 25 testimony is that it would go no higher than</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 186</p> <p>1 DR. KLEMENS: Mr. Chairman, 2 for the record, I was not at the seven 3 o'clock public hearing session on February 4 3rd, but I have read the transcript of that. 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank 6 you. 7 We'll now proceed with 8 continued cross-examination. 9 MR. FORSYTH: Thank you, Mr. 10 Chairman. 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION 12 MR. FORSYTH: Mr. Savino, do 13 you have a copy of the transcript from the 14 first public hearing? 15 THE WITNESS (Savino): I 16 don't. 17 MR. FORSYTH: Maybe your 18 counsel has one. 19 MR. LANGER: I do. 20 MR. FORSYTH: Mr. Savino, on 21 page 17 of the transcript when you were 22 testifying, when you were discussing the 23 types of training, you said, quote, First and 24 foremost, we'd like to -- what we'd like to 25 accomplish is the use of gin poles and</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 188</p> <p>1 the top of the proposed lattice tower? 2 THE WITNESS (Savino): That's 3 correct. 4 MR. FORSYTH: And as part of 5 this training process, will any of these 6 items be temporarily affixed to the lattice 7 tower? 8 THE WITNESS (Savino): 9 Temporary in a sense that they're only going 10 to be there for a short period of time, yes, 11 but they will be affixed. 12 MR. FORSYTH: Okay. Can you 13 define "short period of time"? 14 THE WITNESS (Savino): Yes. 15 As far as the gin pole training is concerned, 16 you know, in my views I'm targeting four 17 different weeks throughout the summer months, 18 May, June, July and August, where we have 19 good weather to work with, low winds, nice 20 temperatures, because we're going to be 21 training new people. In the course of one 22 working week, Monday through Friday, is a 23 time that we'd be doing this. 24 MR. FORSYTH: Okay. 25 THE WITNESS (Savino): Not</p>

(Pages 185 to 188)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 189</p> <p>1 continuously. We'd be working daytime hours. 2 MR. FORSYTH: What I was 3 really getting at is if you affix an item, 4 whether it's an antenna section or -- 5 THE WITNESS (Savino): Tower 6 section. 7 MR. FORSYTH: -- tower 8 sections, if you affix it to the tower, how 9 long will it be affixed? Will it be a matter 10 of hours? Will it be a few days? How long? 11 THE WITNESS (Savino): At tops 12 probably two days. 13 MR. FORSYTH: Now, AT&T has 14 requested to go at 140 feet. Will you need 15 to work around the AT&T antennas? 16 THE WITNESS (Savino): Yes, we 17 will. 18 MR. FORSYTH: Are they in any 19 way an impediment? 20 THE WITNESS (Savino): No, no. 21 This is something we do every day. 22 MR. FORSYTH: So how do you 23 work around them? 24 THE WITNESS (Savino): Well, 25 strategically. There's a fashion to work</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 191</p> <p>1 true that AT&T is really ancillary to the 2 training function of the tower? 3 THE WITNESS (Savino): Yes. 4 MR. FORSYTH: Thank you. 5 Can you take a look at page 73 6 of the transcript -- actually page 74. And 7 right at the top of page 74, as part of your 8 testimony, you said, "In my eyes, my purpose 9 was to have the ability to rig this structure 10 and either put gin poles, outriggers on 11 whatever," and then you go on to talk about 12 real-world experiences. 13 THE WITNESS (Savino): Uh-huh. 14 MR. FORSYTH: What did you 15 mean by "whatever"? 16 THE WITNESS (Savino): 17 Antennas, antenna mounts, outriggers, 18 anything necessary to secure the various 19 types of antennas in the industry. 20 MR. FORSYTH: I see. What's 21 an outrigger? 22 THE WITNESS (Savino): An 23 outrigger is an arm that just comes out to 24 stabilize an antenna. 25 MR. FORSYTH: It's different</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 190</p> <p>1 around them, staying out of the aperture of 2 the RF of the antenna, yes. 3 MR. FORSYTH: And basically 4 AT&T is ancillary to the training function of 5 this tower? 6 THE WITNESS (Savino): They 7 don't interfere with us, no. 8 MR. FORSYTH: Mr. Chairman, I 9 would appreciate if counsel wouldn't try to 10 coach his witness while I'm asking questions. 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Maybe he knows 12 -- I'm sorry. If that's an objection, I'm 13 going to overrule it. 14 MR. LANGER: For the record, I 15 didn't say anything or make any facial 16 expressions. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: We want the 18 best answer. And if the counsel can help 19 translating what "ancillary" means, I have no 20 problem with that. 21 MR. FORSYTH: So, is AT&T -- 22 I'm sorry. I didn't hear the answer. 23 THE WITNESS (Savino): Can you 24 repeat the question again, please? 25 MR. FORSYTH: Sure. Is it not</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 192</p> <p>1 than a gin pole? 2 THE WITNESS (Savino): Oh, 3 yes, by all means. 4 MR. FORSYTH: So, it's another 5 appendage, for lack of a better term? 6 THE WITNESS (Savino): It is. 7 And they are securely mounted and positioned 8 on a structure to stay there, and their 9 purpose is to just stabilize an antenna. A 10 gin pole is a temporary fixture. 11 MR. FORSYTH: Okay. So these 12 outriggers are permanent appendages? 13 THE WITNESS (Savino): In the 14 real world, where needed, not in a training 15 situation. 16 MR. FORSYTH: I see. So, with 17 regard to a training situation, it's 18 something that you would remove? 19 THE WITNESS (Savino): Yes, 20 indeed. 21 MR. FORSYTH: I see. And the 22 outrigger has nothing to do with AT&T's 23 ability to provide cellular service? 24 THE WITNESS (Savino): None 25 whatsoever.</p>

(Pages 189 to 192)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 193</p> <p>1 MR. FORSYTH: I believe you 2 testified the last time of a willingness to 3 provide training to various municipalities in 4 the area. Did you ever contact anybody in 5 the Town of Farmington with regard to that 6 proposal? 7 THE WITNESS (Savino): I spoke 8 to a couple of firemen about my intentions. 9 MR. FORSYTH: Firemen? 10 THE WITNESS (Savino): Yes. 11 MR. FORSYTH: Do they have the 12 authority to -- 13 THE WITNESS (Savino): No, but 14 I spoke to them about it. 15 MR. FORSYTH: So the fact of 16 the matter is -- 17 THE WITNESS (Savino): And 18 likewise in Simsbury. 19 MR. LANGER: Just let him 20 finish his response. 21 MR. FORSYTH: I thought he 22 was. There was a pregnant pause, and I went 23 ahead. 24 And so with regard to the Town 25 of Farmington, you never contacted either the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 195</p> <p>1 town manager? 2 THE WITNESS (Savino): I 3 didn't. I spoke to a firemen out there, but 4 I will -- it's premature now. Without a 5 structure, I can't go reaching out. 6 MR. FORSYTH: And I think you 7 testified that there's really no other 8 training structure like this in the entire 9 country other than the one in the Midwest 10 that's 120 feet? 11 THE WITNESS (Savino): That, I 12 don't know. 13 MR. LANGER: Mr. Jones, maybe 14 you could address that. 15 THE WITNESS (Jones): It's a 16 fairly new requirement. Towers out there 17 that I'm familiar with throughout the country 18 are there to broadcast some kind of signal. 19 There are plenty of towers that could be used 20 for training, but they're not designated for 21 that, nor are there programs that are yet 22 being used. Now, I'm talking about for gin 23 pole training, not for climber training or 24 other types of training, but training for the 25 use of a gin pole lifting device.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 194</p> <p>1 town manager, the police chief, or the 2 director of emergency communications? 3 THE WITNESS (Savino): No, I 4 didn't. 5 MR. FORSYTH: And would that 6 likewise hold true in the Town of Canton that 7 you never contacted either the chief 8 executive officer or -- 9 THE WITNESS (Savino): I 10 didn't. 11 MR. FORSYTH: -- chief 12 executive officer or the chief administrative 13 officer? 14 THE WITNESS (Savino): Right. 15 MR. FORSYTH: In Simsbury the 16 same thing? 17 THE WITNESS (Savino): I spoke 18 with the fire chief, Kevin Kowalski. 19 MR. FORSYTH: How about with 20 either the town manager or the town 21 administrator? 22 THE WITNESS (Savino): I 23 didn't. 24 MR. FORSYTH: In Avon did you 25 speak either to the first selectman or to the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 196</p> <p>1 MR. FORSYTH: Okay. So if I 2 understood that correctly, there's no tower 3 like that in the country as we sit here 4 today? 5 THE WITNESS (Jones): There 6 are plenty of towers that could be used for 7 that. 8 MR. FORSYTH: I want to 9 distinguish between being used and with that 10 as the purpose. 11 THE WITNESS (Jones): I'm 12 unaware, other than the one that Electronics 13 Research, Incorporated, has in Indiana. Now, 14 I have been told that a few of the owners of 15 towers, some of those companies, maybe like 16 the Crown Castle or something like that, they 17 have a few structures that they could use 18 and/or possibly do use, for their training, 19 but I don't know anyone that's done the gin 20 pole work on yet. I think it's a fairly new 21 requirement in our industry. 22 MR. FORSYTH: Got it. Thank 23 you. 24 And Mr. Savino, any training 25 that would be offered to individuals beyond</p>

(Pages 193 to 196)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 197</p> <p>1 your employ would certainly make this a 2 commercial venture; would it not? 3 THE WITNESS (Savino): No. 4 MR. FORSYTH: It wouldn't? 5 THE WITNESS (Savino): For the 6 various towns' first responders? 7 MR. FORSYTH: No, no, no, no. 8 THE WITNESS (Savino): Excuse 9 me. I didn't understand. 10 MR. FORSYTH: I apologize. To 11 other -- I'll leave aside the municipal 12 folks. If you offered these services or the 13 opportunity to train on this tower to other 14 commercial entities, that would make your 15 enterprise a commercial one, would it not? 16 THE WITNESS (Savino): I'm not 17 certain about it. Well, I mean -- 18 MR. FORSYTH: Would you, if 19 another company wanted to train its -- have 20 your folks train their folks, would you 21 charge them for it? 22 THE WITNESS (Savino): Yes, we 23 would. Yes, we would have to charge for it, 24 yes. 25 MR. FORSYTH: Okay. So that</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 199</p> <p>1 MR. FORSYTH: And that's 2 exactly what happened in Missouri, did it 3 not? 4 THE WITNESS (Jones): Yes. 5 MR. FORSYTH: Mr. Savino, have 6 you considered the impact of such events and 7 what they would have on the -- impact they 8 would have on the residents of the area? 9 MR. LANGER: Sir, what do you 10 mean by "such events"? 11 MR. FORSYTH: Such as a tower 12 collapse. 13 MR. LANGER: A tower collapse. 14 Okay. 15 THE WITNESS (Savino): I think 16 about this every day. I have 36 employees 17 that are climbing on a daily basis, Monday 18 through Friday, and sometimes weekends. It's 19 one of my main goals is to train in a 20 controlled environment. 21 MR. FORSYTH: And you have no 22 reservations at all about placing this 23 adjacent to a large residential area? 24 THE WITNESS (Savino): On my 25 property?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 198</p> <p>1 would make you a commercial enterprise, would 2 it not? 3 THE WITNESS (Savino): Yes. 4 MR. FORSYTH: Mr. Jones, in 5 reading your report, I understood that other 6 tower owners by and large are reluctant to 7 allow training to take place on their towers; 8 is that correct? 9 THE WITNESS (Jones): Yes, 10 that's what I've been told. 11 MR. FORSYTH: And in your 12 report you indicated that recently there had 13 been four deaths from gin pole use? 14 THE WITNESS (Jones): Yes. 15 MR. FORSYTH: And likewise, 16 there were three deaths that occurred in a 17 tower collapse which included one rescue 18 worker? 19 THE WITNESS (Jones): Yes. 20 MR. FORSYTH: And would it be 21 fair to say that if there was improper 22 rigging during a training exercise, that 23 could result in detrimental loading to the 24 tower? 25 THE WITNESS (Jones): Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 200</p> <p>1 MR. FORSYTH: Yes, correct, 2 adjacent to a large residential area. 3 THE WITNESS (Savino): I 4 have -- no, no. I'm very comfortable doing 5 it because everything is going to be done 6 perfectly. 7 MR. FORSYTH: Okay. And to 8 date, whether it be during the informals 9 which took place at the Farmington Town Hall 10 or the last session, did you hear any 11 resident of the area testify that this 12 proposal is actually a good thing? 13 THE WITNESS (Savino): Not at 14 the meeting. 15 MR. FORSYTH: Not at any of 16 the meetings? 17 THE WITNESS (Savino): No, not 18 at any of those meetings, no. 19 MR. FORSYTH: And have you 20 considered the impact that this structure 21 would have on those residents? 22 THE WITNESS (Savino): By all 23 means. 24 MR. FORSYTH: Okay. But they 25 haven't come out in any way, shape or form to</p>

(Pages 197 to 200)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 201</p> <p>1 support this, have they? 2 THE WITNESS (Savino): Not the 3 10 or 12 people that were at the meeting, no, 4 they haven't. 5 MR. FORSYTH: Mr. Libertine, 6 the photographs that were taken and 7 presented, those are all at ground level on 8 the street, are they not? 9 THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes, 10 they are. 11 MR. FORSYTH: None were taken 12 in the backyards, were they? 13 THE WITNESS (Libertine): No, 14 sir. 15 MR. FORSYTH: None were taken 16 from inside homes? 17 THE WITNESS (Libertine): No, 18 sir. 19 MR. FORSYTH: None of those 20 were taken from second floor vantage points, 21 were they? 22 THE WITNESS (Libertine): No, 23 sir. 24 MR. FORSYTH: So they're 25 really not representative of the conditions</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 203</p> <p>1 have public access to. 2 MR. FORSYTH: Understood. 3 THE WITNESS (Libertine): And 4 granted, what you're saying holds some truth 5 that we can't really speculate what somebody 6 may see from the hallway or a bathroom or a 7 bedroom window on a first or second story 8 from their home. 9 MR. FORSYTH: Got it. Thank 10 you. 11 Mr. Savino, if the Siting 12 Council only were to approve a monopole for 13 199 Brickyard Road, would you build that on 14 your property? 15 THE WITNESS (Savino): No. 16 MR. FORSYTH: So, is it fair 17 to say that your primary concern is your 18 commercial well-being and not the public need 19 for cellular service? 20 THE WITNESS (Savino): It's 21 not my commercial well-being; it's the 22 well-being of all of my employees and future 23 employees. 24 MR. FORSYTH: Then why didn't 25 you go to the planning and zoning commission</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 202</p> <p>1 that residents would face in viewing this 2 tower, are they? 3 THE WITNESS (Libertine): I 4 don't agree with that premise. That's 5 private property. We don't have access to 6 that. What we try to do is provide 7 representative views from the areas we can 8 get to in those neighborhoods, so I do 9 believe they're representative of what 10 neighbors may see from locations within the 11 neighborhood. 12 MR. FORSYTH: From standing 13 out on the street? 14 THE WITNESS (Libertine): Or 15 in their yards. 16 MR. FORSYTH: Okay. But you 17 don't have any photographs from their yards? 18 THE WITNESS (Libertine): No, 19 I don't. I can extrapolate from that -- 20 MR. FORSYTH: So, unless and 21 until you can actually take photographs from 22 backyards, you're speculating? 23 THE WITNESS (Libertine): I'm 24 not sure I'm speculating. I think we make it 25 very clear that we're representing areas we</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 204</p> <p>1 with your training tower? 2 THE WITNESS (Savino): I don't 3 understand. 4 MR. FORSYTH: Why didn't you 5 file an application with the Farmington Town 6 Planning and Zoning Commission with regard to 7 your training tower? 8 THE WITNESS (Savino): We 9 couldn't at that point. We had AT&T that was 10 looking to be on the property, and that's not 11 the channel and the route that we have to go. 12 We had to go to the Connecticut Siting 13 Council at that point in time. 14 MR. FORSYTH: I see. Thank 15 you. 16 I have no other questions, 17 Mr. Chairman. 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 19 Does New Cingular Wireless 20 have any cross-examination? 21 MS. CHIOCCIO: No questions 22 for the Applicant. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: So we'll first 24 start with Council staff, any additional 25 questions?</p>

(Pages 201 to 204)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 205</p> <p>1 MR. MERCIER: Yes. I have 2 just a couple of questions on the Marcus 3 Communication information that was submitted. 4 In the supplemental 5 submission, that's Exhibit 11, there were 6 three plots submitted. One says 100-foot 7 tower with DMR coverage; one says 180-foot 8 tower DMR coverage; one says current HDN 9 coverage. Can you define what the DMR 10 coverage is, if you know that? 11 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): That 12 I don't know. 13 MR. MERCIER: Is that similar 14 with the other acronym HDN? 15 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): 16 Correct. 17 MR. MERCIER: Do you know why 18 Marcus submitted a plot at 100 feet of 19 proposed coverage from the site rather than 20 say 150 or some other height? 21 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): To 22 be perfectly honest with you, I do not know 23 why they submitted 180 and 100. I didn't ask 24 them for that. I asked them what their 25 coverage was.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 207</p> <p>1 need to do, but I can make it work. 2 SENATOR MURPHY: So, you could 3 make your training work at 140 feet? 4 THE WITNESS (Savino): If 5 we build it strategically. 6 SENATOR MURPHY: Tell me 7 why -- what's the magic of being 40 feet 8 higher? 9 THE WITNESS (Savino): To use 10 a gin pole needs to be on a straighter 11 section of tower. A self-supporting 12 structure, it's very difficult to run a gin 13 pole up. So, that being said, the way the 14 tower is initially designed, we were only 15 going to have approximately 20 feet, at best, 16 of height that was straight. So, for us to 17 get our gin pole in there and work with it, 18 we needed additional height above it. And 19 one suggestion that I had is to, number one, 20 build a tower that it could be expandable in 21 the event that in the future down the road 22 other carriers come along, or anybody, and 23 they need additional height, we would then 24 have it so it's a one-stop. You don't need 25 to proliferate the entire town with</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 206</p> <p>1 MR. MERCIER: Okay. Thank 2 you. No other questions. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: Do we have 4 questions, any more additional questions from 5 the Council? 6 Vice Chairman Senator Murphy. 7 SENATOR MURPHY: In following 8 up to the question about whether or not it 9 would be constructed if it was just approved 10 at the height that the intervenor was looking 11 for, your answer is no that you will not 12 build this tower just for the purposes of 13 allowing AT&T and others to go on there; is 14 that correct? 15 THE WITNESS (Savino): Not a 16 monopole. We couldn't train on a monopole. 17 SENATOR MURPHY: Okay. That's 18 what I'm getting at. So your answer is no, 19 you wouldn't build a monopole, but if we were 20 to approve 140 feet for a lattice tower, you 21 would build that? 22 THE WITNESS (Savino): 23 Absolutely. It's not ideal, but I would 24 because I need something to train on, and the 25 140 would be okay, not perfect for what we</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 208</p> <p>1 structures, so multiple antenna systems can 2 go there at various heights up to 180 feet. 3 We then, if we only build to 140, we could 4 assemble on the ground, rig the structure 5 with a gin pole, send a section up, set it, 6 jump the gin pole again, go through the 7 typical sequence of building a tower with a 8 gin pole, and then taking it down. So we 9 could use it for great training. 10 SENATOR MURPHY: This is at 11 140 feet? 12 THE WITNESS (Savino): At 140 13 feet. So just have the extension temporary, 14 and it's on the ground. 15 SENATOR MURPHY: How high? 16 Does it extend higher than 140 feet for your 17 training, is that what you're telling me? 18 THE WITNESS (Savino): For 19 training it would go up to a maximum 180 20 feet. 21 SENATOR MURPHY: For training 22 purposes? 23 THE WITNESS (Savino): For 24 training purposes, yes. 25 SENATOR MURPHY: But you would</p>

(Pages 205 to 208)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 209</p> <p>1 need approval for 180 feet from us to do 2 that? 3 THE WITNESS (Savino): Yes. 4 SENATOR MURPHY: But you don't 5 feel you could be successful at just 140 6 feet, but you would try it anyhow if it were 7 approved? 8 THE WITNESS (Savino): 9 Absolutely. This is very important for us to 10 be able to train on our own property. 11 SENATOR MURPHY: Turning to 12 the proposed tenants that are going to go on 13 there, each one of the responses to the 14 interrogatories they contacted you, you did 15 not contact them; is that my understanding of 16 it? 17 THE WITNESS (Savino): Yes. 18 SENATOR MURPHY: I think you 19 answered the questions in the 20 interrogatories. 21 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): Yes. 22 SENATOR MURPHY: Because I 23 remember from reading. 24 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): To 25 be clear, they contacted Mr. Savino, not</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 211</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): Mr. 2 Dunning, the abutter, said he would like to 3 get as high as he possibly can because he 4 can't even get -- with his current system he 5 can't even get in contact with his trucks on 6 his own property. So, he services a wide 7 area, so he wants it as high as he can get. 8 SENATOR MURPHY: And is height 9 the important thing in that type of coverage? 10 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): Yes, 11 for him height is important for him. 12 SENATOR MURPHY: And did he 13 give you any backup to that except his 14 comment that he needed to go as high as he 15 could? 16 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): He 17 spoke with -- I believe it was Motorola. His 18 company is Motorola. He spoke with whoever 19 he gets his equipment from. He spoke with 20 their engineers, so there's no plots. 21 SENATOR MURPHY: There's no 22 plots? 23 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): No, 24 there's none. 25 SENATOR MURPHY: So really you</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 210</p> <p>1 myself. 2 SENATOR MURPHY: Oh, okay. 3 But in each case they contacted you, you did 4 not initiate the contact? 5 THE WITNESS (Savino): No. 6 SENATOR MURPHY: But you had 7 most of the conversations with them, Mr. 8 Regulbuto? 9 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): Mr. 10 Savino had most of the conversations with 11 BMW, Mr. Marcus. I had some with Mr. Marcus 12 as well. 13 SENATOR MURPHY: All right. 14 You were asked these questions, and you 15 answered as to who -- 16 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): Yes. 17 SENATOR MURPHY: The heights 18 that are listed in the proposed application 19 of 160 and 170 -- we'll get to the radio 20 station later -- how were those heights 21 arrived at? 22 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): For 23 which potential tenants? 24 SENATOR MURPHY: Take which 25 one you want first.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 212</p> <p>1 don't know whether he'd be as effective at 2 130 feet that he's currently at? 3 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): With 4 Mr. Dunning, he'd use anything he can get is 5 exactly what he said. 6 SENATOR MURPHY: So, if it 7 were approved for 140 feet, he would be 8 willing to go on this pole then, wouldn't he? 9 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): Yes, 10 yes, Mr. Dunning would. 11 SENATOR MURPHY: Okay. And 12 your other -- 13 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): 14 Mr. Marcus would have to evaluate it again, 15 as they can do their plots, as noticed, and 16 he'd have to evaluate that. Right now for 17 Mr. Marcus -- 18 SENATOR MURPHY: Did he 19 indicate to you that the 100-foot plots that 20 he gave to you were not satisfactory? 21 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): He 22 said they weren't -- his need is a capacity 23 need, not a coverage need, so he already has 24 coverage there. 25 SENATOR MURPHY: So 100 feet</p>

(Pages 209 to 212)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 213</p> <p>1 for capacity is probably -- may well be good 2 for him? 3 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): He 4 said it wasn't because of the rolling hills 5 and valleys. He has to offload from Avon 6 Mountain. 7 SENATOR MURPHY: Okay. All 8 right. 9 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): WBMW 10 said they need 100 at the top of the tower or 11 they can't use it. That was his statement to 12 me. 13 SENATOR MURPHY: Okay. Let me 14 just look at my notes. 15 Okay. I think I have no other 16 questions at this time, Mr. Chairman. Thank 17 you. 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 19 Mr. Lynch? 20 MR. LYNCH: Mr. Chairman, down 21 here in the Irish corner I had the same 22 follow-up questions as Senator Murphy on the 23 lattice pole versus the monopole -- the 24 lattice tower or monopole, so I don't know if 25 that's a good thing for Senator Murphy or</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 215</p> <p>1 raise the pole, the gin pole temporarily to 2 180 feet for the purposes of training, and 3 then you would take it down when the training 4 was over. So I'm asking you what did you 5 intend to say? Did you think you would have 6 to come back to the Siting Council for a 7 further approval to lift the gin pole to 180 8 or not? 9 THE WITNESS (Savino): If we 10 got approval for 140 feet, I would not 11 purchase and design the structure to go to 12 180, number one. I wouldn't have any need 13 for it. If I have permission to build for 14 140 feet and that's our permitted height at 15 this time in place until future, someone else 16 in the carrier world comes along and says we 17 need to be there, then we wouldn't need the 18 additional footage. If we could build the 19 structure expandable and just leave it at 140 20 feet, excluding the four weeks of training 21 segment of just gin pole training, then I 22 would utilize the additional steel, assemble 23 it, raise it, set it, and then go through the 24 dismantling procedure and put it back on the 25 ground.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 214</p> <p>1 not, but no more questions. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 3 We'll now move to Dr. Bell. 4 DR. BELL: Thank you, 5 Mr. Chairman. 6 I just have one question 7 following up on Senator Murphy's question 8 just to make sure I got the answer clear. He 9 was asking you a question about whether you 10 could use 140 feet, and you said you would 11 make it work. And then you described that 12 you would assemble the gin pole structure on 13 the ground, and then you would raise it up. 14 And my question -- and you said -- I guess 15 you said you would raise it to 180 feet, but 16 then as a temporary practice exercise for a 17 week or whatever the period that you said, 18 couple of days. 19 Then Senator Murphy, I think, 20 asked if -- but you said you would have to 21 get Council approval for that. Is that 22 what's at issue? I mean, this is 23 hypothetical entirely, but if we were to 24 approve 140 feet, that would be with the 25 understanding that we knew you were going to</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 216</p> <p>1 DR. BELL: Okay. I think I 2 understand. So, when you were talking about 3 the 180 feet, that was if future carriers 4 came on and were expandable, which would need 5 Council approval? 6 THE WITNESS (Savino): Yes, 7 that's correct. 8 DR. BELL: But otherwise you 9 would only go to 140 feet with your gin pole 10 structure? 11 THE WITNESS (Savino): Right. 12 DR. BELL: Thank you. That's 13 clear now. 14 MR. LANGER: I'll try to 15 clarify on redirect for you, if that would be 16 helpful? 17 THE CHAIRMAN: That probably 18 would be because I think there are several 19 options that are being -- 20 THE WITNESS (Savino): There 21 is. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: And we 23 obviously know what, from your standpoint is 24 optimal, but I think I hear that there are at 25 least two other options being discussed, but</p>

(Pages 213 to 216)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 217</p> <p>1 maybe rather than at this point maybe on 2 redirect we can get clarification. 3 MR. LANGER: I'll see if we 4 can clear it up a little bit. 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. 6 DR. BELL: Thank you. That's 7 my only question, Mr. Chair. 8 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. 9 Mr. Ashton? 10 MR. ASHTON: Thank you, 11 Mr. Chair. I'm very empathetic to the need 12 for training on high structures, having come 13 from a background where that was a 14 requirement. If you had an accident with a 15 crew of yours and OSHA found out that there 16 was no suitable training, would you be at 17 risk for fines? 18 THE WITNESS (Savino): 19 Absolutely. 20 MR. ASHTON: You mentioned 21 that you wanted a straight section on which 22 to train. If this Council limited the height 23 at 140, cannot that tower be designed so that 24 you have from elevation 80 to elevation 140 a 25 straight section in which you can work?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 219</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS (Jones): Excuse 2 me -- 3 MR. ASHTON: I want Mr. Savino 4 to answer this one first. You can come in, 5 Mr. Jones, but let me hear from Mr. Savino. 6 THE WITNESS (Savino): The 7 typical monopole that we erect and work on in 8 lower New England is probably average height 9 150 feet. So at that point we're bringing in 10 crane services to put everything together, 11 and we may even have two cranes there. We'll 12 have a man basket to service our men so that 13 they can indeed work aside and on outriggers 14 or antenna frames. We cannot use a gin pole 15 to assemble a monopole. We cannot raise 16 pieces without having a crane come in. 17 MR. ASHTON: How about antenna 18 portions, the antenna components? 19 THE WITNESS (Savino): Smaller 20 components, yes. 21 MR. ASHTON: I watched a crew, 22 twice watched a crew, replace antennas on a 23 tower on a monopole at something about 130 or 24 140 feet high. 25 THE WITNESS (Savino): Right.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 218</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS (Savino): Yes, it 2 could be. 3 MR. ASHTON: Okay. So, the 4 tower design should be able to give you what 5 you need in a straight section. Fair? 6 THE WITNESS (Savino): 7 Absolutely. 8 MR. ASHTON: Okay. You 9 indicated that you won't train on a monopole, 10 which puzzles me a little bit. 11 THE WITNESS (Savino): Yes, 12 sir. 13 MR. ASHTON: Why? 14 THE WITNESS (Savino): There 15 is no way to perform it. You could never 16 have multiple people side by side on a 17 monopole. You have one climbing area, which 18 is climbing pegs, and then the circumference 19 of a monopole can get as large as 7, 8 feet 20 at the base, and then taper up to maybe 24 21 inch at the top. 22 MR. ASHTON: Insofar as we are 23 putting up a large number of monopoles in the 24 state, how in the world do you prepare your 25 people for that kind of construction?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 220</p> <p>1 MR. ASHTON: And they had no 2 crane. My question goes again, how do you 3 train people to work on a monopole? 4 THE WITNESS (Savino): Once we 5 build the monopole with a crane, we now have 6 outriggers, we now have antenna frames in 7 place, so they can climb to that point, be 8 100 percent tied off on a safety climb, and 9 then they can work on the antenna platform, 10 antenna arms, antenna frames. 11 MR. ASHTON: Okay. So are you 12 postulating that their skills would be honed 13 at a low level in terms of what they have to 14 do at a higher level, but they -- 15 THE WITNESS (Savino): Yes. 16 MR. ASHTON: -- they can climb 17 the pole and then do their shtick up above; 18 is that fair to say? 19 THE WITNESS (Savino): It is. 20 MR. ASHTON: Okay. 21 Mr. Langer, I want to pick on 22 you a little bit. I read your brief 23 regarding the legal authority of this 24 Council. 25 THE CHAIRMAN: Before you do</p>

(Pages 217 to 220)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 221</p> <p>1 that, I'd be curious if Mr. Jones has 2 anything he wants to add to what was already 3 stated by Mr. Savino in response to 4 Mr. Ashton's question. 5 MR. ASHTON: Fine. 6 THE WITNESS (Jones): As far 7 as a monopole or a tower or whatever when 8 we're just putting a block up or using a 9 crane, it is not so important that it has to 10 be a lattice tower versus a monopole, but 11 what we're talking about is gin pole 12 training. 13 MR. ASHTON: Yes. 14 THE WITNESS (Jones): And a 15 gin pole is designed to get head room above 16 the top of any tower structure typically. So 17 we need something to stand over the top of 18 the tower to lift the tower. 19 Now, gin poles were really 20 designed for tall towers. Towers over 350 21 feet, over 500 feet are all lattice towers. 22 There's no monopoles out there over 500 feet. 23 So, the gin pole itself is designed for tall 24 tower work, and it is designed to be attached 25 to lattice towers. The real problem in using</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 223</p> <p>1 played pass the basketball just to get people 2 used to being at a height, letting go, 3 carrying on their spurs to dig in, and so 4 forth. So a monopole, when you said you're 5 not going to train on a monopole, that 6 troubles me a little bit, because there's a 7 lot of training that needs to be done to have 8 a competent mechanic or electrician up at the 9 top of a monopole. That's my feeling anyway. 10 THE WITNESS (Jones): Yes. 11 MR. ASHTON: I know what 12 you're trying to do with the gin pole. I've 13 worked gin poles. I understand them. So 14 that's not an issue with me. The negative 15 though was an issue. That's why I bored in 16 on it. 17 Mr. Langer, you sent in a 18 brief that attempted to or intended to try 19 and indicate to the Council that we have 20 jurisdiction over matters that go on a cell 21 tower. Insofar as you went, I have no 22 disagreement in that we have -- and the 23 record will show it -- that we've allowed for 24 towns to dictate the height of a pole where 25 their facilities had to be at X feet above</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 222</p> <p>1 the gin pole on the big diameter pole is 2 they're not designed to be attached to it. 3 So there's also been when you use a gin pole, 4 you usually have about three people on top of 5 the tower. You have a person at the bottom 6 of the gin pole and two people at the tower 7 themselves. So they need places to climb 8 around. They don't have that necessarily 9 with the monopole. 10 So, if I was to answer your 11 question, the reason he needs a lattice tower 12 is because he wants to do gin pole training, 13 not other types of training. 14 MR. ASHTON: I understand that 15 completely. I really do. I came out of an 16 electric utility background, and we used 17 structures that go up to 150 feet. I'm well 18 acquainted with types of construction. I'm 19 also well acquainted with the fact that to 20 take a raw landlubber and train him for high 21 work requires a lot of acclimatization. For 22 example, one of the things that the line 23 schools had was a circle of poles, and the 24 linemen climbed up to -- green linemen 25 climbed up to the top of those poles and then</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 224</p> <p>1 the ground where a cell carrier could be X 2 minus 50 feet, something like that. I think 3 Cheshire is one of them recently that we did. 4 But you did not address, as I 5 recall, how the commercial companies could 6 force the height of a tower above what the 7 cell carriers did. And I'm counting on the 8 Dunning -- I forget the names of them now -- 9 as one that's a commercial operation. It is 10 no different than Phil Ashton Communications 11 Systems. I could not apply to the Council 12 and force a height on a tower. The Council 13 doesn't have jurisdiction over those as far 14 as I can tell. Do you agree with that? 15 MR. LANGER: I do. 16 MR. ASHTON: Okay. Thank you. 17 That ends the discussion. 18 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 20 Dr. Klemens? 21 DR. KLEMENS: Thank you, 22 Mr. Chairman. Mr. Savino, you testified that 23 the AT&T installation is ancillary to the 24 training facility? 25 THE WITNESS (Savino): To the</p>

(Pages 221 to 224)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 225</p> <p>1 training function? 2 DR. KLEMENS: I think you said 3 facility or function. But it's ancillary, 4 correct? I wrote this down at the beginning 5 of the hearing. Is that what you said? 6 THE WITNESS (Savino): I'm 7 sorry. I don't understand. 8 DR. KLEMENS: I'd like to ask 9 someone about -- I know the Council has now 10 been debating whether or not we can do 11 140-foot lattice tower and then add 40 feet 12 for training. 13 What are the risks of having 14 140-foot tower and then constructing all that 15 steel to make it 180 for training that would 16 occur maybe for four weeks out of the year, 17 what are the added risks to people? 18 THE WITNESS (Savino): There 19 wouldn't be any added risk to our people or 20 the neighborhood. 21 DR. KLEMENS: So you're saying 22 that would be part of the training, building 23 this tower from 140 to 180 would be part of 24 the training? 25 THE WITNESS (Savino): Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 227</p> <p>1 synergy. Can you explain what that means? 2 THE WITNESS (Savino): Well, 3 now we have a facility that can take care of 4 two needs. We can take care of a wireless 5 entity that needs some additional coverage, 6 and any others, and we could be training. 7 DR. KLEMENS: But the primary 8 genesis was the training, and the wireless 9 was ancillary? 10 THE WITNESS (Savino): No, no. 11 I've been in this industry for 38 years, and 12 I know that there's needed coverage, and 13 there will be more of it. And it would be 14 foolish for me to think that I'm just going 15 to build a training facility and not want to 16 put antennas on it, and if we build 17 something, that would be a prime spot to 18 satisfy any future carriers or antenna needs. 19 DR. KLEMENS: On or about 20 April 19, 2013, you were in touch with 21 Mr. Ollendorf of the Town of Farmington? 22 THE WITNESS (Savino): Correct. 23 DR. KLEMENS: And you withdrew 24 your application, according to here, because 25 you didn't know at what height AT&T's</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 226</p> <p>1 Yes, it would. 2 DR. KLEMENS: Getting to this 3 memo, and just to make sure I understand it, 4 I understand that you came up with the 5 concept of building a training tower around 6 January 2013? 7 THE WITNESS (Savino): Earlier 8 than that, actually years before that, but 9 just never started the ball moving forward. 10 DR. KLEMENS: It says you 11 contemplated a tower training facility in or 12 around January of 2013, is that correct, or 13 not? 14 THE WITNESS (Savino): Well, 15 that's when I went to the Town to see what 16 the procedure would be and how do I start 17 this. 18 DR. KLEMENS: And then AT&T 19 made this a candidate site towards the end of 20 March 2013? 21 THE WITNESS (Savino): Correct. 22 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): 23 Correct. 24 DR. KLEMENS: Okay. So you 25 used the word "this" representing a true</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 228</p> <p>1 antennas were going to be placed, but you 2 knew at that time that you were going to need 3 a tower that was going to be higher than 4 AT&T's antennas for training, so I don't 5 understand that statement at all. 6 THE WITNESS (Savino): Well, 7 we didn't withdraw anything. We didn't 8 apply -- we didn't -- 9 DR. KLEMENS: I'm sorry. You 10 asked -- excuse me. I'm being loose in my 11 words. You asked to be removed. You chose 12 not to go in front of that commission. You 13 asked for the informal meeting, but the 14 argument you gave that you will be removed 15 from the agenda because the height of AT&T's 16 antennas had not been finalized, but you had 17 already finalized the height of the tower. 18 THE WITNESS (Savino): The 19 height that I had in mind was 180 feet, and 20 that specific height was, in my mind, due to 21 the restriction of 200, and I didn't want to 22 interfere with FAA codes and have to have a 23 lit tower or a tower that was painted. So in 24 my mind, first off, I thought 180 feet would 25 suffice. I didn't know if AT&T needed to go</p>

(Pages 225 to 228)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 229</p> <p>1 any higher or not. 2 DR. KLEMENS: So I don't 3 understand the whole paragraph at the very 4 bottom then of this legal memorandum because 5 it makes no sense that it be not knowing what 6 the height of AT&T's antennas were was the 7 reason that you asked to be removed from the 8 agenda. Could someone try to illuminate that 9 for me, please? You submitted this. I'm 10 looking at what you submitted. 11 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): To 12 give some insight on that, AT&T, when they 13 were talking to us, they said, "Well, how 14 tall is your tower going to be?" 15 And we said, "180 feet." 16 They said, "That sounds good 17 to us." 18 But we know from being in the 19 industry, we don't lock anything in with AT&T 20 until they come back with a drive test. And 21 they performed their drive test, and their 22 antennas were at actually 140 feet. So 23 that's the conversation we had with AT&T. 24 DR. KLEMENS: So why did you 25 ask to be removed from the Town of</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 231</p> <p>1 AT&T's potential location. This would have 2 satisfied the Town but would not have been 3 well received by the Council, particularly 4 for the cost of obtaining a certificate from 5 the Council would likely be far more 6 expensive than a proceeding before the 7 Commission. I mean, I don't understand the 8 reasoning. 9 MR. LANGER: Dr. Klemens, the 10 issue here is really a legal one. Once my 11 client, Tower Holdings, learned that AT&T was 12 interested, that triggered the jurisdiction 13 of the Siting Council. That's, you know, 14 unquestionable. And essentially, getting to 15 your question, they could have taken two 16 options. They could have disregarded the 17 clear jurisdiction of the Council, okay, and 18 gone to local zoning and put forward a 19 training tower, and then by side stepping the 20 Siting Council's jurisdiction, then bring in 21 a carrier to go on as, say, perhaps a tower 22 share. 23 But because they learned of 24 AT&T's interest at the same time, that 25 triggered the Siting Council's jurisdiction,</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 230</p> <p>1 Farmington's informal meeting, was it because 2 of the antennas, not knowing where the 3 antennas were? I don't understand it because 4 they were interested in the height of the 5 tower -- 6 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): We 7 asked to be removed from the agenda because 8 we had found out that it was a formal 9 meeting, and we had requested an informal 10 meeting, and we were not prepared to go into 11 a formal meeting. 12 DR. KLEMENS: Thank you. 13 So, on page number 4 of the 14 memorandum you say you find yourself between 15 a proverbial rock and a hard place, much the 16 way maybe some members of the Council feel 17 also. Is your concern that to come to the 18 Council -- and this is sort of a strange 19 statement -- are you concerned that the 20 Council would be displeased, that we would 21 lose a revenue stream if you went to the 22 local commission? Because that's what the 23 last paragraph said. I don't understand that 24 either. You could have submitted an 25 application to the Commission by withholding</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 232</p> <p>1 and it would have been disingenuous on their 2 part to ignore that fact. So that was really 3 the issue. Once you trigger the Siting 4 Council's jurisdiction, then that's it, and I 5 think that was what I was trying to say. And 6 we're trying to recognize here that the Town 7 is obviously unhappy and frustrated that they 8 didn't receive an application for what 9 they're calling a training facility and we 10 decided to acknowledge and recognize the 11 jurisdiction of the Siting Council. 12 So that's what was intended 13 here. And of course this was drafted by me. 14 So, I think, Mr. Savino, that's why he's not 15 responding the way he is. 16 DR. KLEMENS: I think I've 17 gotten what I want from that. I just had a 18 few questions, one question for 19 Mr. Libertine. 20 We understand that you can't 21 go into people's houses, and we've had this 22 come up repeatedly in other matters, but the 23 backyards, are any of the backyards so 24 notably different than the elevation of the 25 streets where you took these -- where you did</p>

(Pages 229 to 232)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 233</p> <p>1 your simulations from? 2 THE WITNESS (Libertine): No, 3 sir. 4 DR. KLEMENS: So, basically, 5 the street simulations are fairly equivalent 6 to what a backyard simulation would be? 7 THE WITNESS (Libertine): Or a 8 front yard or across the street, yes. 9 They're representative of the general area of 10 the exterior of the homes. 11 DR. KLEMENS: Great. Thank 12 you for that clarification, Mr. Libertine. 13 I have no further questions, 14 Mr. Chairman. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Attorney 16 Langer, if you have brief redirect on the 17 issue, that would be, I guess, now is the 18 appropriate time for that. 19 MR. LANGER: Yes. I will be 20 as brief as possible. 21 And so I'm going to ask Mr. 22 Savino to clarify what he meant by the 23 proposal at 140 feet with respect to 24 additional lattice segments; and if so, if 25 you could explain how you would have 140-foot</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 235</p> <p>1 for training purposes unless a carrier came 2 along and needed additional height. 3 MR. LANGER: And how 4 frequently would you have the temporary 5 lattice segments in the air on the structure? 6 THE WITNESS (Savino): Four 7 weeks out of the summer months, May, June, 8 July and August. 9 MR. LANGER: And what type of 10 structure does NT typically work on? 11 THE WITNESS (Savino): Most of 12 our work is on lattice structures. We do a 13 lot of broadcast work, tall television work, 14 so we're on 1,000, 1,500 footers. I've 15 personally been on a 2,000-foot structure. 16 Once a year we're probably on a 2,000 foot 17 structure, but we do indeed work in all of 18 New England. We have a 1,600 footer up in 19 Raymond Maine, a 1,400 footer in Shrewsbury, 20 Massachusetts, 1,340-foot tower in Farmington 21 on Rattlesnake Mountain. 22 MR. LANGER: Okay. And if you 23 could just very quickly, what are the 24 properties immediately adjacent to your 25 property?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 234</p> <p>1 facility that would be permanent and then 2 what you would do above that 140-foot point? 3 THE WITNESS (Savino): Okay. 4 The tower sections are typically in 20-foot 5 goods, so we would have an additional 40 feet 6 in two pieces. We would assemble a 20-foot 7 section. We would rig the structure, set 8 our -- raise our gin pole, set our gin pole, 9 and go through the procedures of raising, 10 setting a section and jumping the pole, as 11 it's called, up again, setting that top 12 section, we'd be at 180 feet, and then 13 reverse the entire procedure for training and 14 everything back on the ground. 15 MR. LANGER: Okay. 16 THE WITNESS (Savino): From 17 140 feet. 18 MR. LANGER: Okay. So then 19 just to be clear, you would have 140-foot 20 permanent structure? 21 THE WITNESS (Savino): That's 22 correct. 23 MR. LANGER: And then anything 24 beyond that up to 180 would be temporary? 25 THE WITNESS (Savino): Only</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 236</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS (Savino): On two 2 sides I have Ben Dunning's property. To, I 3 believe, the southeast we have -- I forgot 4 the name -- was it U.S. Storage -- a storage 5 facility. 6 MR. LANGER: Let me ask you, 7 are they residential? 8 THE WITNESS (Savino): No, no. 9 There's one property across the street, but 10 that property is empty. The gentleman is no 11 longer there. And that's it. 12 MR. LANGER: Mr. Jones, in 13 your professional experience, would the 14 proposal submitted by Mr. Savino, the 15 140-foot lattice tower with the temporary 16 additional segments up to 180 for limited 17 periods during the summer for training 18 purposes with a gin pole, be sufficient for 19 meeting the gin pole requirements underneath 20 that you reported? 21 THE WITNESS (Savino): Yes, it 22 would be. 23 MR. LANGER: And based upon 24 your professional experience, is the tower 25 industry using gin poles more now than in the</p>

(Pages 233 to 236)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 237</p> <p>1 past? 2 THE WITNESS (Jones): Yes, 3 than in the recent past they are. 4 MR. LANGER: And in your 5 professional opinion, does the training 6 facility, as proposed, benefit the public 7 interest and promote public safety? 8 THE WITNESS (Jones): Yes, it 9 can. 10 MR. LANGER: And in your 11 professional opinion, does the training 12 facility, as modified as an alternative by 13 Mr. Savino just now with the segments, would 14 that also be in the public interest and 15 promote public safety? 16 THE WITNESS (Jones): Yes, it 17 can. 18 MR. LANGER: I have no further 19 questions. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: I have a 21 question for you because you didn't quite 22 answer or just make sure I'm clear. My 23 understanding is that your proposal before us 24 is 180 feet, and my understanding is that 25 140-foot monopole for the carrier is</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 239</p> <p>1 additional? 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Right. 3 SENATOR MURPHY: Do your gin 4 work up to 140 feet. 5 THE WITNESS (Savino): Yes, we 6 could because we would redesign the 7 structure, and we would have the tapers much 8 closer to the ground and give us at least 40 9 feet of straight tower section on it. 10 MR. ASHTON: That was my 11 earlier question. 12 THE CHAIRMAN: I think we're 13 all now -- hopefully we all understand at 14 least the options up to this point. 15 MR. LANGER: I have no further 16 questions on redirect. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: We need your 18 team to -- 19 MR. FORSYTH: I have some 20 cross-examination based upon the Council's 21 questions. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Do you mean 23 redirect? Because you've already had your 24 opportunity to cross-examination. We're not 25 going to keep going around in circles.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 238</p> <p>1 something that would not be satisfactory to 2 your plan. Then there was a discussion in 3 which you just elaborated on is the 140-foot 4 lattice with the ability for a certain period 5 of time in the summer to temporarily go 6 another 40 feet for the gin pole. So my 7 question is if in the Council's -- and of 8 course there's always the opportunity for the 9 Council to deny -- but if the Council, as 10 another option, a 40-foot lattice, 40-foot 11 high lattice tower, period, with no ability 12 to work above that, is that something, as not 13 optimal as it would be, is that something 14 that you would still consider? 15 MR. LANGER: Just to clarify, 16 you meant 140? 17 THE CHAIRMAN: What did I say? 18 MR. LANGER: I think you said 19 40. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry. I 21 thought I was on a roll, but I guess I 22 wasn't. Anyway, 140-foot lattice tower. 23 MR. LANGER: With no 24 additional? 25 THE WITNESS (Savino): With no</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 240</p> <p>1 MR. FORSYTH: I guess it's 2 recross based upon the questions that the 3 Council had. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Is this going 5 to be brief or because we -- 6 MR. FORSYTH: I believe it's 7 going to be brief. It depends upon the 8 answers, but I believe it's going to be 9 brief. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Let's 11 make sure the answers are brief. Yes, sir. 12 Go ahead. 13 MR. FORSYTH: Okay. 14 Mr. Savino, could all of 15 the I'll call them the ancillary 16 communications systems, Marcus, et cetera, 17 could they all be located on a monopole? 18 THE WITNESS (Savino): Yes. 19 MR. FORSYTH: You testified 20 that those ancillary communication systems 21 sought you out, you didn't seek them out, if 22 I understood your testimony correctly? 23 THE WITNESS (Savino): Well, 24 small talk. They know I've been in the 25 industry for 38 years, and I mentioned that</p>

(Pages 237 to 240)

Page 241

1 I'd like to build a training facility and
2 have wireless people there. They said they
3 have some possible need in the Farmington
4 Valley.
5 MR. FORSYTH: So you made it
6 known that you were trying to get a training
7 tower built on your property?
8 THE WITNESS (Savino): Well,
9 many people have approached me that are in
10 the industry, especially in the broadcast
11 arena, asking me, you know, how are you doing
12 it in this day and age with all the
13 restrictions. And that's when I mentioned I
14 definitely need to build a training facility.
15 MR. FORSYTH: And is it your
16 position that you can engage in training
17 exercises without getting approval from other
18 regulatory bodies such as the Town of
19 Farmington?
20 THE WITNESS (Savino): I'm not
21 sure --
22 MR. LANGER: I mean, to the
23 extent that that calls for a legal
24 conclusion, I'll object on that basis.
25 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So we're

Page 242

1 going to sustain the objection.
2 MR. FORSYTH: Okay. Do you
3 currently train on -- strike that.
4 Do your employees currently
5 train on lattice towers?
6 THE WITNESS (Savino): Do we
7 currently train on lattice towers?
8 MR. FORSYTH: Yes.
9 THE WITNESS (Savino): No. We
10 go out and we perform on lattice towers.
11 MR. FORSYTH: I see. And you
12 mentioned one, I think, on Rattlesnake
13 Mountain?
14 THE WITNESS (Savino): Yes.
15 MR. FORSYTH: You don't
16 perform any training functions over there?
17 THE WITNESS (Savino): No.
18 The Chase family is not interested in the
19 liability, as everyone is not interested in
20 the liability.
21 MR. FORSYTH: So this becomes
22 a matter of convenience for you?
23 THE WITNESS (Savino): Well,
24 it's not convenience; it's an important fact.
25 MR. FORSYTH: Mr. Savino, how

Page 243

1 do you train your folks to work on lattice
2 towers?
3 THE WITNESS (Savino):
4 On-the-job training. With the wireless
5 industry wanting us to get things
6 accomplished in a set amount of time, it's
7 very challenging, at best.
8 MR. FORSYTH: Okay. So far
9 you've managed to get by?
10 THE WITNESS (Savino): Thank
11 the Lord, we haven't had any casualties, but
12 I have surrounding companies that have had
13 that.
14 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Can we
15 move on now?
16 MR. FORSYTH: No other
17 questions.
18 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
19 Now let's take a couple of
20 minutes while seats get changed around. Can
21 we get New Cingular Wireless to come up,
22 please?
23 (Witnesses excused.)
24 THE CHAIRMAN: Attorney
25 Chioocchio, would you introduce your witnesses

Page 244

1 and then we'll have them sworn in?
2 MS. CHIOCCIO: Thank you,
3 Chairman. On behalf of intervenor AT&T, we
4 have Martin Lavin of C Squared Systems,
5 AT&T's RF engineer; and to my right is David
6 Archambault, site acquisition consultant for
7 AT&T.
8 THE CHAIRMAN: Please stand to
9 be sworn in.
10 D A V I D A R C H A M B A U L T,
11 M A R T I N L A V I N,
12 called as witnesses, being first duly
13 sworn by Ms. Bachman, were examined and
14 testified on their oaths as follows:
15 THE CHAIRMAN: Attorney
16 Chioocchio, will you please begin by verifying
17 the exhibits by the appropriate witnesses?
18 MS. CHIOCCIO: Thank you,
19 Chairman. The exhibits of New Cingular
20 Wireless are indicated in the hearing
21 program, Roman numeral III, B-1 through 6.
22 And I'd ask each of my witnesses a series of
23 questions starting with David.
24 Did you prepare and assist in
25 the preparation of the exhibits as

(Pages 241 to 244)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 245</p> <p>1 identified? 2 THE WITNESS (Archambault): 3 Yes. 4 MS. CHIOCCHIO: Mr. Lavin? 5 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Martin 6 Lavin. Yes. 7 MS. CHIOCCHIO: Do you have 8 any corrections or clarifications to the 9 information contained therein? 10 THE WITNESS (Archambault): No. 11 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Martin 12 Lavin. No. 13 MS. CHIOCCHIO: Is the 14 information contained therein true and 15 accurate to the best your belief? 16 THE WITNESS (Archambault): 17 Yes. 18 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Martin 19 Lavin. Yes. 20 MS. CHIOCCHIO: And do you 21 adopt this as your testimony in this 22 proceeding? 23 THE WITNESS (Archambault): 24 Yes, I do. 25 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Martin</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 247</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS (Archambault): 2 Yes, we did. 3 MR. MERCIER: The date on the 4 plots on the bottom right state that they 5 were prepared on April 9, 2014. Since that 6 time has there been any reviews of AT&T's 7 network in this area which would alter the -- 8 THE WITNESS (Lavin): No 9 changes. 10 MR. MERCIER: Also, I'd like 11 to look at the drive test data on AT&T's 12 Exhibit 6. It's behind tab one. And on the 13 bottom right there's prepared data of March 14 3, 2015. Is that the actual date of the 15 drive test? 16 THE WITNESS (Lavin): The date 17 of the drive test was August 2013. It was 18 Avon. That is when the data was collected in 19 August of 2013. 20 MR. MERCIER: Looking at the 21 drive test plot, there's a site known as 22 CT2580 on the right side of the plot. This 23 would be the LTE existing coverage. I notice 24 it's in close proximity to a number of 25 residential streets such as Thatcher Terrace</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 246</p> <p>1 Lavin. Yes. 2 MS. CHIOCCHIO: I'd ask that 3 the Council accept the exhibits. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Does any party 5 or intervenor object to the admission of the 6 Intervenor's exhibits? 7 MR. LANGER: None by the 8 Applicant. 9 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Hearing 10 and seeing none -- 11 MR. FORSYTH: None by the 12 Town. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: -- the exhibits 14 are admitted. 15 (Exhibits III-B-1-6: Received 16 in evidence - described in index.) 17 THE CHAIRMAN: We'll now begin 18 with cross-examination by Mr. Mercier. And 19 also just please make sure that everyone 20 speaks up so we can hear. Thank you. 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION 22 MR. MERCIER: Thank you. I 23 just want to begin with plots in the 24 application, Attachment 5. Did AT&T prepare 25 those plots?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 248</p> <p>1 and Windsor Court immediately to the west of 2 the site. I was wondering why there is no 3 service for this particular area coming from 4 CT2580? 5 THE WITNESS (Lavin): So 6 that's existing coverage 700 megahertz LTE? 7 MR. MERCIER: Yes. Yes. 8 THE WITNESS (Lavin): The 9 green on that road is from CT2580. 10 MR. MERCIER: Okay. And how 11 about to the -- excuse me. To the west of 12 that it looks like a residential subdivision? 13 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Yes, 14 that is much higher. The CT2580 is a Verizon 15 tower. AT&T is 100 feet. It is backed up 16 against the hill that that residential area 17 sits on. 18 MR. MERCIER: Okay. So the 19 residential area is not on top of a hill? 20 THE WITNESS (Lavin): You have 21 to go up and behind it, and it's just too 22 much height above it for that to be covered. 23 MR. MERCIER: Okay. If I flip 24 to the next plot -- excuse me. I'll go one 25 more, 700 LTE at 140.</p>

(Pages 245 to 248)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 249</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Yes. 2 MR. MERCIER: I see portions 3 of the road appear to have service that's 4 stronger than neg 83 DBM; is that correct? 5 THE WITNESS (Lavin): The road 6 at or above neg 83, yes. 7 MR. MERCIER: Okay. That's 8 again Thatcher Terrace, Windsor Court area? 9 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Oh, in 10 that area. I thought you meant the 11 Brickyard. Yes, there's a mixture of 12 in-building and in-vehicle coverage, yes. 13 MR. MERCIER: So what's the 14 primary objective at your site is to get 15 in-building service? 16 THE WITNESS (Lavin): One of 17 the objectives is to get in-building service 18 up into that neighborhood. The existing 19 coverage shows that it doesn't have it now. 20 MR. MERCIER: Would going on 21 170 actually improve coverage in that area, 22 or it could just be minimal or not -- 23 THE WITNESS (Lavin): It would 24 improve. AT&T, at the time, felt just trying 25 to minimize height in general, 140 was</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 251</p> <p>1 provided? 2 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Always 3 is, yes. 4 MR. MERCIER: Thank you. 5 In response to the Siting 6 Council Interrogatory Set I, that's AT&T 7 Exhibit 2, Response 10, there's a chart which 8 basically compares some coverage footprints 9 between the 140 feet and the 130 feet at 700 10 megahertz. 11 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Yes. 12 MR. MERCIER: It appears 13 there's a slight discrepancy in the amount of 14 coverage footprint. Can you explain where 15 the critical area is where AT&T is seeking to 16 cover that would necessitate the height 17 amount of 140 feet over 130 feet? 18 THE WITNESS (Lavin): We 19 tested at 110. That modeling there is based 20 on our predictions. We can't do that based 21 on drive testing. Our predictions showed 22 that there was a small difference. Our drive 23 testing, more accurately looking at that 24 particular area, and this is the population 25 in any place that it picks up. In that case</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 250</p> <p>1 acceptable, 170 has a little more, but 140 2 was acceptable. 3 MR. MERCIER: Again, going 4 back to the 140-foot plot of the drive test 5 in that particular neighborhood, now, when I 6 look at the plots that in the application 7 behind Exhibit 5, the existing proposed 700 8 megahertz LTE coverage, it doesn't really 9 show much in the way of in-building service 10 along those roads where it was measured 11 during the drive test. Can you explain the 12 discrepancy there, please? 13 THE WITNESS (Lavin): That's 14 why we made the test. We were trying to tell 15 exact -- it was one of our objectives. We 16 didn't know if it would be actually mostly 17 what we saw from the vehicle. When we tested 18 it, we found there were -- at 140 we would 19 get in-building coverage levels and also 20 discovered that 110 looked more like the plot 21 when all the in-building coverage went away 22 at 110. 23 MR. MERCIER: Okay. So in this 24 particular case the drive test was more 25 accurate than the coverage plots that were</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 252</p> <p>1 140 was the least that was acceptable, at 110 2 we knew it all went away. There was a break 3 point there, but the model didn't really show 4 it disappearing completely like the drive 5 test did. But the population count is based 6 on predictions. The drive test was done just 7 to get everything nailed down, especially for 8 that particular area and the other roads. 9 MR. MERCIER: Okay. I just 10 have one other question regarding generators 11 at the site if anybody has any information on 12 that. Right now is AT&T proposing a diesel 13 generator? 14 THE WITNESS (Archambault): Yes 15 . 16 MR. MERCIER: Okay. And 17 what's the run time of the generator before 18 it would have to be refueled assuming normal 19 operations during an emergency? 20 THE WITNESS (Archambault): I 21 don't know the answer to that, but I can 22 certainly find that out and get that 23 information. 24 MR. MERCIER: I have no other 25 questions. Thank you.</p>

(Pages 249 to 252)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 253</p> <p>1 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 2 We'll continue with cross-examination by the 3 Council. 4 Senator Murphy? 5 SENATOR MURPHY: Thank you, 6 Mr. Chairman. 7 I guess, Mr. Archambault, 8 perhaps you're the only one who can answer 9 this. What does AT&T do to train its people 10 who go up there and work on the towers? 11 THE WITNESS (Archambault): I 12 don't know the answer to that. I'm in the 13 site acquisition portion of the property, 14 zoning, permitting, leasing side. 15 SENATOR MURPHY: If you know, 16 does AT&T have its own firm or does it job 17 out these assignments? 18 THE WITNESS (Archambault): I 19 believe in most cases it's subcontracted out 20 to either tower companies or construction 21 companies. 22 SENATOR MURPHY: Counselor, in 23 your years of appearing before us and filing 24 applications as your office on behalf of AT&T 25 or wireless AT&T, how many applications have</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 255</p> <p>1 other sites that we don't see on these maps? 2 THE WITNESS (Lavin): There 3 may be stray coverage from other sites. It 4 measures everything that is there, everything 5 that is operational. 6 DR. BELL: That's for the 7 existing coverage? 8 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Yes. 9 MR. LYNCH: Mr. Lavin, I don't 10 think these microphones are working. Can you 11 speak up a little bit? 12 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Sure. 13 MR. ASHTON: Just pretend 14 we're your kids. 15 (Laughter.) 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, maybe he 17 soft speaks to them. Maybe that works for 18 some. 19 MR. ASHTON: Mine were deaf. 20 DR. BELL: So, on the maps 21 beyond the existing coverage, those sites are 22 no longer -- they vanish, and you're only 23 giving the coverage for the proposed site? 24 THE WITNESS (Lavin): That's 25 correct.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 254</p> <p>1 you filed for a lattice tower? 2 MS. CHIOCCHIO: None to my 3 knowledge. 4 SENATOR MURPHY: I have no 5 other questions, Mr. Chairman. 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 7 Mr. Lynch? 8 MR. LYNCH: No questions, 9 Mr. Chairman. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Dr. Bell? 11 DR. BELL: Thank you, 12 Mr. Chair. 13 Mr. Lavin, just looking at the 14 drive tests that you supplied to us more 15 recently than the application, I know 16 Mr. Mercier has been asking you questions on 17 that and I'm kind of following up. He was 18 two steps ahead of me, I think. So, just 19 looking at these drive tests, first of all, 20 what is included exactly when you say 21 existing coverage in the first instance? 22 R2580 and 5289 and 5404, the ones that we can 23 see on the map, and 1061, are they all 24 covered? Are you giving all of their 25 coverage and are you giving the coverage from</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 256</p> <p>1 DR. BELL: Okay. I just 2 wanted to make sure. Thank you. 3 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 5 Mr. Ashton? 6 MR. ASHTON: I have one 7 question on I guess it's your Exhibit 6, 8 which is dated March 9th. It's the answer to 9 the interrogatories. The answer to question 10 13 through me a little bit off base where it 11 says, quote, As stated by Mr. Regulbuto -- my 12 apologies, I'm having trouble with that one 13 -- at the February 23, 2015 hearing. Now, I 14 was in Bermuda for the 23rd, and I was at the 15 hearing, and I didn't come back for it, I 16 know that. Could that be the February 3rd 17 hearing that we're talking about? 18 MS. CHIOCCHIO: Yes, 19 Mr. Ashton. 20 MR. ASHTON: Okay. Thank you. 21 Nothing further. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Dr. Klemens? 23 DR. KLEMENS: I have a couple 24 of questions. Are lattice towers generally 25 used for -- lattice construction generally</p>

(Pages 253 to 256)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 257</p> <p>1 used for taller towers? 2 THE WITNESS (Archambault): Ty 3 pically, yes. Once you get above 200, just 4 about everything is lattice. Whether it's 5 guyed or self-supporting is a different 6 story. One fifty and down for our purposes a 7 monopole is fine. 8 DR. KLEMENS: Is it true that 9 the industry is moving away from these very 10 tall towers to shorter towers and other 11 methods more closely spaced for wireless 12 communication? 13 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Case by 14 case overall the towers are getting shorter. 15 In some cases they still need feet. 16 DR. KLEMENS: How about in 17 Connecticut? 18 THE WITNESS (Lavin): In 19 Connecticut they are certainly down from the 20 days of analog amps and 3 watt hard-wired 21 phones, yes. 22 DR. KLEMENS: So possibly a 23 training facility for -- and maybe it's not 24 the right question to ask -- maybe a training 25 for sort of a dinosaur technology that's</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 259</p> <p>1 probably has tipped to coverage over capacity 2 now, yes. 3 MR. LYNCH: Thank you. 4 Thank you, Doctor. 5 DR. KLEMENS: And I'll move 6 from that just to one question. Search ring 7 3393 is the search ring that's centered on 8 the subject property, correct? 9 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Yes. 10 DR. KLEMENS: And generally 11 I'm used to seeing when you do applications 12 you used to see that you looked at a variety 13 of sites to meet your coverage objective. 14 Did you review other sites? 15 THE WITNESS (Lavin): That 16 review would have been done by AT&T 17 corporate. 18 THE WITNESS (Archambault): I 19 wasn't around when this ring initially was 20 issued, but through research and talking with 21 the people that were around, we were told 22 that there was a potential tower going in the 23 area, and the RF department went out and 24 looked to see if it would work for us. 25 DR. KLEMENS: So, in fact in a</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 258</p> <p>1 actually going to become obsolete? 2 THE WITNESS (Lavin): I don't 3 think towers are going to become obsolete. 4 DR. KLEMENS: Lattice towers? 5 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Lattice 6 probably not as common in our industry, but 7 certainly for broadcast and things like that 8 it is often -- 9 THE CHAIRMAN: Excuse me, 10 Mr. Lynch has a follow-up. 11 MR. LYNCH: Can I just follow 12 up? 13 DR. KLEMENS: Sure. 14 MR. LYNCH: Mr. Lavin, you 15 spoke about or Dr. Klemens asked you about 16 shorter towers. Are shorter towers being 17 used for capacity rather than coverage? 18 THE WITNESS (Lavin): It's 19 case by case. Generally we are not trying to 20 gobble up giant areas of coverage with 21 mountaintop 300-foot guyed towers anymore. 22 MR. LYNCH: All I'm asking is 23 is there more a need now for capacity than 24 for coverage with all the data systems? 25 THE WITNESS (Lavin): It</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 260</p> <p>1 departure from what we usually see, you knew 2 there was a tower coming, you created a 3 search ring, but didn't look beyond that one 4 site? 5 THE WITNESS (Archambault): Th 6 at's my understanding, yes. Again, I wasn't 7 there for that initial search, but through my 8 research of the site, yes. 9 DR. KLEMENS: Okay. And maybe 10 that goes with answer number 17 that you have 11 no plans for a proposed facility to serve 12 this area other than the proposed location? 13 THE WITNESS (Archambault): 14 Correct. 15 DR. KLEMENS: Thank you. I 16 have no further questions. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 18 We'll now go to 19 cross-examination by the Town of Farmington. 20 MR. FORSYTH: Yes. Thank you. 21 The plots which are dated 22 March 3, 2015. 23 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Yes. 24 MR. FORSYTH: It says they 25 were prepared on that date?</p>

(Pages 257 to 260)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 261</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Yes. 2 MR. FORSYTH: And, if I 3 understand correctly, this is based on 4 drive -- 5 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Test 6 data. 7 MR. FORSYTH: Drive test data, 8 correct. 9 When was that done? 10 THE WITNESS (Lavin): August 11 2013. 12 MR. FORSYTH: I see. And so 13 you did not do any drive testing prior to 14 January of 2013? 15 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Not in 16 connection with this particular site. AT&T 17 has ongoing system checks. They had probably 18 identified this area as a gap. 19 MR. FORSYTH: Okay. And if I 20 understand correctly, you did not look at any 21 other possible site locations on Brickyard 22 Road? 23 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Since 24 then we have looked at two. We looked at 25 Acme Auto.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 263</p> <p>1 MR. FORSYTH: Okay. And AT&T 2 has worked with the Town of Farmington in the 3 past; has it not? 4 THE WITNESS (Archambault): I 5 believe so, yes. 6 MR. FORSYTH: And to your 7 knowledge, Mr. Archambault, did anybody from 8 AT&T ever approach the Town with regard to 9 helping to find a suitable location on 10 Brickyard Road if one in fact was needed? 11 THE WITNESS (Archambault): 12 Not to my knowledge. 13 MR. FORSYTH: And I will 14 confess that I'm going to ask a couple of 15 questions based totally out of ignorance. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Out of what? 17 MR. FORSYTH: Totally out of 18 ignorance I have a couple of questions that 19 I'd like to ask. 20 I'm going back to the plots 21 dated March 3, 2015. The existing coverage 22 shows a green area along Farmington Avenue 23 and New Britain Avenue? 24 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Yes. 25 MR. FORSYTH: And then when I</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 262</p> <p>1 MR. FORSYTH: On Brickyard 2 Road Acme Auto. 3 THE WITNESS (Lavin): And the 4 smokestack on Brickyard Road was evaluated 5 and is much too short. 6 MR. LYNCH: Again, Mr. Lavin, 7 can you keep your voice up? 8 MR. FORSYTH: But as far as 9 parcels to put -- let me withdraw that. 10 Would it be fair to say, 11 gentlemen, that a 140-foot monopole somewhere 12 on Brickyard Road would suit your needs? 13 THE WITNESS (Lavin): The 14 nature of the construction of the tower is 15 not relevant to AT&T. Either one would be 16 fine. It's a matter of height and location. 17 MR. FORSYTH: Fair enough. 18 So, if there were a suitable 19 location on Brickyard Road, a 140-foot 20 monopole would suit your needs? 21 THE WITNESS (Lavin): We would 22 evaluate it, and it's on a different parcel, 23 yes, but it's likely to provide similar 24 coverage in that area. It's close to the 25 original.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 264</p> <p>1 get to the other three plots, when you look 2 at that same section, there's distinct 3 difference. Can you explain that? 4 THE WITNESS (Lavin): The 5 existing coverage plot shows only the 6 coverage of sites that are currently 7 operational on the air. The other plots 8 marked as CW, meaning carrier wave, those are 9 crane tests showing only the coverage from 10 the proposed site at the height listed. 11 MR. FORSYTH: Okay. So, the 12 other towers, for lack of a better term, 13 would still be servicing those other areas 14 that are designated as green on the -- 15 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Yes, 16 they're not shown on the plot. That plot is 17 meant to isolate the coverage only at the 18 proposed site. 19 MR. FORSYTH: I see. Thank 20 you. 21 I have no other questions of 22 these gentlemen. Thank you. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 24 Cross-examination by the 25 Applicant?</p>

(Pages 261 to 264)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 265</p> <p>1 MR. LANGER: The Applicant has 2 no cross. Thank you. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: I think we're 4 finished with -- but you can go to the back 5 of the room now, and I believe so we're 6 now -- 7 DR. KLEMENS: Mr. Chairman, I 8 have a question about the search ring. 9 THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead. 10 DR. KLEMENS: So, the search 11 ring really you didn't search for anything 12 within the ring; is that correct? 13 THE WITNESS (Archambault): Co 14 rrect. 15 DR. KLEMENS: So, the search 16 ring is just a terminology for the area you 17 didn't search. How often do you do that in 18 your practice? 19 THE WITNESS (Archambault): 20 Over the years I've seen it happen quite 21 often where we would find out a location of 22 an existing tower that falls in an area that 23 has no coverage or is lacking significant 24 coverage and go use that one site. It's an 25 available site. There is really no point of</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 267</p> <p>1 search component of the application. Is it 2 your understanding that these sites were 3 reviewed by AT&T corporate, the RF division, 4 and were rejected by AT&T? 5 THE WITNESS (Archambault): As 6 in the report, yes, they were rejected. I 7 don't know the extent that they were 8 searched, but yes, these sites were shown to 9 RF and rejected. 10 MR. LANGER: Okay. Thank you. 11 No further questions. 12 MR. FORSYTH: I have some 13 questions based upon that. 14 Mr. Archambault, in response 15 to Dr. Klemens' question you talked about the 16 search ring being isolated based upon the 17 fact that there was an existing tower that 18 you were looking to co-locate on; is that 19 correct? 20 THE WITNESS (Archambault): 21 Existing or proposed. 22 MR. FORSYTH: Okay. Existing 23 or proposed. And so your company looked at 24 or developed a search ring based upon a 25 proposed training tower at 199 Brickyard</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 266</p> <p>1 looking for raw land next to an existing 2 tower kind of thing. 3 DR. KLEMENS: Okay. Thank 4 you. 5 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 7 Okay now -- 8 MR. LANGER: I'm sorry, Mr. 9 Chairman. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Langer, 11 yes, sir. 12 MR. LANGER: I do have one 13 question, and I guess it would be directed to 14 you, Mr. Lavin. Were you presented with any 15 sites that are listed in the application to 16 determine whether they would be appropriate 17 for AT&T RF? I don't know if you have the 18 application in front of you. 19 THE WITNESS (Lavin): I don't 20 recall any other -- 21 MR. LANGER: I apologize, I 22 guess this question might be directed to 23 Mr. Archambault. I understand that you 24 joined AT&T perhaps later, but there are a 25 number of properties listed in the site</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 268</p> <p>1 Road; is that correct? 2 THE WITNESS (Archambault): 3 AT&T would have made that ring based on the 4 location of the proposed tower. 5 MR. FORSYTH: Okay. And with 6 regard to the other sites that were set forth 7 in the report, most of those are not located 8 on Brickyard Road, are they? 9 THE WITNESS (Archambault): 10 About a third of them are. 11 MR. FORSYTH: About a third of 12 them are, and the rest are not? 13 THE WITNESS (Archambault): 14 Correct. 15 MR. FORSYTH: And would it be 16 fair to say that you did not look at or AT&T 17 did not look at constructing a monopole on 18 any one of those sites? 19 THE WITNESS (Archambault): 20 That would be correct. 21 MR. FORSYTH: Okay. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Can we have a 23 follow-up question by Mr. Lynch? 24 MR. LYNCH: Excuse me, when 25 you're talking about a search ring and</p>

(Pages 265 to 268)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 269</p> <p>1 looking for a site, you're not always talking 2 about a tower, you're talking about a 3 facility where you can place antennas; is 4 that correct? 5 THE WITNESS (Archambault): 6 That is absolutely correct. Our first 7 objective in locating a new site is always to 8 find something existing. A tower is our last 9 resort. 10 MR. LYNCH: Thank you. 11 MR. FORSYTH: I have no other 12 questions. Thank you. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Now 14 we'll try again. I guess you move, and I 15 guess since it's the Town of Farmington, I 16 guess if we had music, we'd play musical 17 chairs. Why don't you move there. 18 (Witnesses excused.) 19 THE CHAIRMAN: You have a 20 witness -- two witnesses? 21 MR. FORSYTH: Two. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Do you need all 23 your attorneys also to sit over there? 24 MR. FORSYTH: He's one of the 25 witnesses.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 271</p> <p>1 THE CHAIRMAN: And then would 2 you please verify the exhibits you have filed 3 and verify the exhibits by the appropriate 4 sworn witness? 5 MR. FORSYTH: Yes. 6 Attorney Dunn, did you assist 7 in the preparation or supervise in the 8 preparation of Exhibits 1 through 7? 9 THE WITNESS (Dunn): Yes. 10 MR. FORSYTH: And do you have 11 any additions, clarifications or 12 modifications to those exhibits? 13 THE WITNESS (Dunn): No. 14 MR. FORSYTH: And are those 15 exhibits true and accurate to the best of 16 your knowledge and belief? 17 THE WITNESS (Dunn): Yes. 18 MR. FORSYTH: And do you adopt 19 the information contained in those exhibits, 20 along with your prefile testimony, as your 21 testimony here today? 22 THE WITNESS (Dunn): Yes. 23 MR. FORSYTH: Ms. Eagen, did 24 you help prepare or supervise in the 25 preparation of Exhibits 1 through 7?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 270</p> <p>1 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I didn't 2 know. All right. 3 Attorney Forsyth, are you 4 ready to introduce the witnesses? 5 MR. FORSYTH: Yes. 6 THE CHAIRMAN: I guess 7 introduce everybody who's at the table 8 since -- 9 MR. FORSYTH: Okay. 10 Mr. Chairman, to my far left is Attorney 11 Kelly McKeon; all dressed in green is 12 Kathleen Eagen, who's the town manager for 13 the Town of Farmington; Attorney Ken Slater 14 is to my immediate left; and to my immediate 15 right is Attorney Phil Dunn who is the 16 chairman of the Farmington Town Planning and 17 Zoning Commission. And the only two 18 witnesses are Attorney Dunn and Ms. Eagen. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Please 20 rise to take the oath. 21 P H I L I P D U N N, 22 K A T H L E E N E A G E N, 23 called as witnesses, being first duly 24 sworn by Ms. Bachman, were examined and 25 testified on their oath as follows:</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 272</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS (Eagen): Yes. 2 MR. FORSYTH: Okay. Do you 3 have any additions, clarifications or 4 modifications to those exhibits? 5 THE WITNESS (Eagen): No. 6 MR. FORSYTH: And are those 7 exhibits true and accurate to the best of 8 your knowledge and belief? 9 THE WITNESS (Eagen): Yes. 10 MR. FORSYTH: And do you adopt 11 the information contained in those exhibits, 12 along with your prefile testimony, as your 13 testimony here today? 14 THE WITNESS (Eagen): Yes. 15 MR. FORSYTH: Nothing else, 16 Mr. Chairman. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Are 18 there any objections to these exhibits? 19 MR. LANGER: From the 20 Applicant none other than those already noted 21 for the record. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. 23 (Town of Farmington Exhibits 24 IV-B-1-8: Received in evidence - described 25 in index.)</p>

(Pages 269 to 272)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 273</p> <p>1 THE CHAIRMAN: So now we'll 2 begin with the cross-examination by staff, 3 Mr. Mercier. 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION 5 MR. MERCIER: Thank you. I 6 just have one question. It's with regard to 7 the Winding Trails parcel in town. According 8 to the zoning map -- you may not have a 9 copy -- but I believe the Winding Trail 10 parcel is located to the north and east of 11 the Dunning gravel operation; is that 12 correct? 13 THE WITNESS (Dunn): Yes. 14 MR. MERCIER: Okay. What is 15 the Winding Trails property, is that a 16 private entity? 17 THE WITNESS (Dunn): It's a 18 private entity. 19 MR. MERCIER: Is it dedicated 20 open space? 21 THE WITNESS (Dunn): It's not. 22 It's a private club that essentially was 23 formed when Dunning or its predecessor 24 excavated various sand pits and hit natural 25 springs and it filled with water. So</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 275</p> <p>1 now continue with cross-examination. 2 SENATOR MURPHY: I have no 3 questions. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Lynch? 5 MR. LYNCH: No questions, 6 Mr. Chairman. 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Dr. Bell? 8 DR. BELL: Thank you, 9 Mr. Chairman. I have a question for Ms. 10 Eagen. In your testimony you say that the 11 Town is in the process of preparing to 12 construct its own fire training and rescue 13 facility within the town? 14 THE WITNESS (Eagen): Yes, 15 ma'am. 16 DR. BELL: So, could you 17 describe that? Does that involve a tower at 18 all? What is that facility like? 19 THE WITNESS (Eagen): That is 20 going to be a burn building. So the fire -- 21 it will be lit on fire, and then the fire 22 people will be able to go and train at the 23 facility, be able to go in and out. It's not 24 a real fire obviously, but it's a burn -- we 25 call it a "burn facility."</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 274</p> <p>1 essentially it's a large man-made lake, if 2 you will, with a beach, and then heading 3 upland into the woods, there's numerous 4 recreational facilities. There are tennis 5 courts, there are soccer fields, there are 6 club houses. In the wintertime there's a 7 skating rink. There are numerous nature 8 trails. There are adventure trails. It's an 9 extensive complex that's utilized by Winding 10 Trails. They have membership on an annual 11 basis. They offer programs outside of their 12 membership. It's an extensive facility, 13 highly desirable to the people in the 14 community and outside the community. 15 MR. MERCIER: Okay. I just 16 want to make sure it's not dedicated open 17 space, meaning that it could be developed for 18 whatever purpose in the future. 19 THE WITNESS (Dunn): It could 20 very well be that much of it cannot be 21 further developed. I would have to research 22 that. 23 MR. MERCIER: Okay. That was 24 my only question. Thank you. 25 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We'll</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 276</p> <p>1 DR. BELL: And is it anywhere 2 near this part of Farmington, or is it in a 3 completely different part? 4 THE WITNESS (Eagen): It's in 5 that section of town, but not like down the 6 road. It's probably a mile away, mile and a 7 half. 8 DR. BELL: Okay. And so you 9 are not contemplating that your firemen might 10 want to utilize the facility that's being 11 proposed here today because this facility, 12 the burn facility, has no relationship to 13 climbing towers or erecting towers? 14 THE WITNESS (Eagen): True, 15 there's no relation. 16 DR. BELL: Okay. Thank you. 17 That's my question, Mr. Chair. 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Ashton? 19 MR. ASHTON: Ms. Eagen, I had 20 read your testimony with considerable 21 interest, and then I have to confess I 22 stubbed my toe on the last sentence in the 23 third paragraph, and I'll read it. 24 THE WITNESS (Eagen): Sure. 25 MR. ASHTON: "The Town of</p>

(Pages 273 to 276)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 277</p> <p>1 Farmington does not want to be placed in the 2 position of having its own emergency services 3 personnel placed in harm's way or bear the 4 expense of responding to an accident at this 5 needless facility." I live about a mile from 6 the state police academy in Meriden, and I 7 hear gun fire at all times day and night. 8 We've had incidents near there. Do you think 9 that should be classified as a "needless 10 facility" because it adds a burden to 11 Meriden's emergency services? 12 THE WITNESS (Eagen): No, sir. 13 MR. ASHTON: Why in the world 14 do you think that a training facility is a 15 quote "needless facility?" Do you advocate 16 that people go to work without training? 17 THE WITNESS (Eagen): No, sir. 18 MR. ASHTON: I have to tell 19 you I thought that was something that really 20 was a shocker. Do you believe in training 21 for town managers? 22 THE WITNESS (Eagen): Yes, 23 sir. 24 MR. ASHTON: And you consider 25 that not a needless expense?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 279</p> <p>1 to update the plan of conservation and 2 development, and it's one of our guiding 3 documents for what we do as a planning and 4 zoning commission. 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. As you 6 said, "guiding documents," and given the 7 importance of telecommunications, is there 8 any section or reference in either of those 9 documents relating to telecommunication 10 facilities and where they might be needed or 11 not needed? 12 THE WITNESS (Dunn): I don't 13 believe the plan of conservation and 14 development addresses that specifically. But 15 our zoning regulations at one point in time 16 had a section relative to communications, 17 cellular communications towers, which was 18 rendered moot when the state passed the 19 Siting Council legislation. However, we do 20 address applications from cell phone carriers 21 to place their antennas on existing 22 structures. That is within our jurisdiction. 23 And I can tell you in the six years that I've 24 been on the commission, we have not denied a 25 single one. We have approved many</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 278</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS (Eagen): No, sir. 2 MR. ASHTON: I'll let it go, 3 but you get my point. 4 THE WITNESS (Eagen): Yes, 5 sir. 6 MR. ASHTON: Thank you. 7 Nothing further. 8 THE CHAIRMAN: Dr. Klemens? 9 DR. KLEMENS: I have nothing. 10 I had the same questions Mr. Ashton just 11 asked. 12 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I have a 13 couple of questions. 14 Do you have -- your planning 15 and zoning commissions, are they separate or 16 are they combined? 17 THE WITNESS (Dunn): It's 18 combined. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: So you're 20 responsible for both the -- from my town we 21 keep calling it the master plan, but plan of 22 conservation and development and the zoning 23 regulations? 24 THE WITNESS (Dunn): Yes, 25 that's one of our functions to periodically</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 280</p> <p>1 applications at West Farms Mall. There's a 2 water tower at the Bristol border at the Acme 3 facility that you heard mentioned on 4 Farmington Avenue. There's a monastery on 5 Middle Road near the West Hartford border 6 that actually builds onto the structure, a 7 parapet wall, to disguise antennas. So we 8 have addressed it, but as far as building a 9 tower specifically for that purpose, it's 10 technically outside our jurisdiction. 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, just as 12 some towns have where they've actually noted 13 where they have deficiencies and where there 14 might be need? 15 THE WITNESS (Dunn): That's 16 never been tasked to our commission since 17 I've been on the commission. 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. 19 DR. BELL: Can I ask just one 20 follow-up? 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. 22 DR. BELL: Thank you, 23 Mr. Chair. 24 Just following up on the 25 Chairman's questions. So, many towns also</p>

(Pages 277 to 280)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 281</p> <p>1 still have regulations, certain regulations 2 regarding cell towers, even though they 3 acknowledge Council jurisdiction, they 4 consider that guidance for the Council of 5 what they would like to see. So my question 6 is when Farmington, not you personally, but 7 when Farmington saw that the Council had 8 jurisdiction, you just took everything out of 9 the regulations because you didn't want to be 10 claiming jurisdiction of any kind? 11 THE WITNESS (Dunn): I think 12 the regulation remains. I don't think it was 13 ever technically amended out. Legally it has 14 been. I'd have to defer to our town planner. 15 I haven't specifically read that. I've seen 16 it. It's no longer operational from our 17 perspective. 18 DR. BELL: Okay. Thank you. 19 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Dr. Klemens has 21 another follow-up? 22 DR. KLEMENS: I have a 23 follow-up to that. Mr. Dunn, what do you 24 consider -- as chair of the planning 25 commission, what do you consider this</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 283</p> <p>1 your plan, your 200-pages of your plan of 2 conservation and development, and not to find 3 anything related to cell towers, but in 4 zoning I had trouble finding -- or let me ask 5 the question. Do you have as-of-right uses 6 and special exception uses? I know you have 7 special exception uses, but in that 8 industrial zone are there also as-of-right 9 uses? 10 THE WITNESS (Dunn): I believe 11 so. Everything in our commercial zone is via 12 special permit. Residential we have 13 as-of-right uses, but if you want to change a 14 new use in the industrial or any of our 15 business zones, you have to obtain a special 16 permit. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So for 18 such things as dog pounds and marijuana 19 dispensaries, you have to get a special 20 permit? 21 THE WITNESS (Dunn): Yes. 22 Even the Town comes before its own commission 23 with applications to use its own land for 24 different purposes. 25 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 282</p> <p>1 facility? Do you consider it a cell tower 2 facility? Do you consider it a commercial 3 enterprise? Do you consider it -- what do 4 you actually consider it from your 5 perspective? 6 THE WITNESS (Dunn): A 7 building. It's a man-made structure. Under 8 our rules it's considered a building. 9 DR. KLEMENS: And thereby 10 falls under your jurisdiction? 11 THE WITNESS (Dunn): Yes. If 12 indeed it was just a training tower, if it's 13 proposed as a cell tower, then it falls 14 outside of our jurisdiction. 15 DR. KLEMENS: Thank you. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: What is the 17 zoning district that this proposed building 18 slash cell tower slash up to your counsel to 19 figure it out? 20 THE WITNESS (Dunn): It's in 21 the industrial zone. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: It's the 23 industrial zone. I tried to go through, 24 although I wish I had gotten the previous 25 answers before I spent my time going through</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 284</p> <p>1 Dr. Klemens. 2 DR. KLEMENS: So, in the 3 industrial zone it's all regulated by special 4 permit. What is the highest structure, if 5 you know, that is in the industrial zone that 6 is there now, elevation wise? 7 THE WITNESS (Dunn): In this 8 specific industrial zone? 9 DR. KLEMENS: In this 10 industrial zone along Brickyard Road. 11 THE WITNESS (Dunn): The 12 highest structure is a smokestack adjacent to 13 the Farmington concrete facility which is 14 essentially across the street from where the 15 Applicant is located. And it's -- I know 16 it's more than 40 feet, but I couldn't tell 17 you specifically. I think it's in the 18 vicinity of 60 to 70 feet, and it's been 19 there since before zoning in Farmington. 20 DR. KLEMENS: So it predates 21 zoning? 22 THE WITNESS (Dunn): It does, 23 based on what I've been told. 24 DR. KLEMENS: Thank you. 25 THE CHAIRMAN: Would 140-foot</p>

(Pages 281 to 284)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 285</p> <p>1 monopole for the use of a telecommunication 2 facility be acceptable to the Town? 3 THE WITNESS (Dunn): From what 4 I understand, and I'm responding from what 5 I've heard from the various neighbors in that 6 neighborhood, they do not want to see any 7 structure. But if they did have to see a 8 structure, I believe a monopole would be far 9 preferable than a lattice structure. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: So height is 11 not an issue? 12 THE WITNESS (Dunn): Height is 13 an issue. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, I just -- 15 I don't know if you want to answer the 16 question what's the difference from 140-foot 17 monopole, forgetting about the other uses, 18 and 140-foot lattice? 19 THE WITNESS (Dunn): The 20 visual impact. And as I understand it, if 21 it's a monopole, we will not have people 22 climbing all over it at different times of 23 the year for training, bringing up big pieces 24 of equipment, having multiple persons on the 25 exterior of the structure. It's all a piece</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 287</p> <p>1 MR. FORSYTH: And as such, it 2 would be regulated by the Farmington Town 3 Planning and Zoning Commission? 4 THE WITNESS (Dunn): It would 5 be, and we would apply the regulations for 6 the zone to whatever the request was for 7 training. 8 MR. LANGER: I just object to 9 this line of inquiry as irrelevant. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: I'll let it go 11 for what it's worth. 12 MR. FORSYTH: Ms. Eagen, in 13 your testimony you indicate that there are 14 numerous properties owned by the Town of 15 Farmington which has cellular providers 16 located somewhere on the property; is that 17 correct? 18 THE WITNESS (Eagen): Yes. 19 MR. FORSYTH: And does the 20 Town of Farmington own any property 21 on Brickyard Road? 22 THE WITNESS (Eagen): Yes. 23 MR. FORSYTH: And what 24 specifically does it own? 25 THE WITNESS (Eagen): Well, we</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 286</p> <p>1 of the puzzle. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. 3 Any further questions? 4 Okay. I'll go to the 5 Applicant. 6 MR. LANGER: I have no cross. 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. 8 The intervenor, no cross? 9 MS. CHIOCCHIO: No. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Forsyth? 11 MR. FORSYTH: I have a couple 12 of questions for Mr. Dunn and Ms. Eagen. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Redirect, Is 14 that what you're calling it? 15 MR. FORSYTH: Yes. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. 17 MR. FORSYTH: Mr. Dunn, does 18 the Farmington Town Planning and Zoning 19 Commission regulate uses of property? 20 THE WITNESS (Dunn): Yes. 21 MR. FORSYTH: And is in your 22 opinion training on any particular structure 23 or a training school a use of a property? 24 THE WITNESS (Dunn): It would 25 be.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 288</p> <p>1 have a rail-to-trail parking lot and 2 rail-to-trails. 3 MR. FORSYTH: Okay. And has 4 the Town of Farmington worked with the 5 various carriers with regard to the location 6 of the communications facilities within the 7 town? 8 THE WITNESS (Eagen): Yes. 9 MR. FORSYTH: And would the 10 Town be receptive to discussing with AT&T or 11 any other carrier placing a communications 12 facility on its property on Brickyard Road? 13 THE WITNESS (Eagen): We 14 haven't been approached, but if we have been, 15 I would be more than happy to look at it. 16 MR. FORSYTH: And does the 17 Town have preference with regard to types of 18 facilities such as flag poles or fake trees 19 that you see periodically? 20 THE WITNESS (Eagen): We have 21 one fake tree and one flag pole. 22 MR. FORSYTH: Already on town 23 property? 24 THE WITNESS (Eagen): Already 25 on town property.</p>

(Pages 285 to 288)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 289</p> <p>1 MR. FORSYTH: So you would 2 consider something similar with regard to the 3 parking lot on Brickyard Road? 4 THE WITNESS (Eagen): We would 5 have to investigate it, but I'd be open to 6 it. 7 MR. FORSYTH: No other 8 questions, Mr. Chairman. 9 THE CHAIRMAN: We have 10 follow-up? 11 MR. LANGER: I have a very 12 brief I guess we'll call recross. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Let the 14 Council member, Mr. Ashton -- 15 MR. LANGER: Absolutely. 16 MR. ASHTON: You indicated 17 that the Town regulates training on this 18 particular site; is that correct? 19 THE WITNESS (Dunn): We would 20 regulate an application to do training, in 21 other words, currently, as I understand it, 22 there is no training on the site exterior of 23 the building. If someone wanted to do that, 24 in other words change the use, we would 25 entertain that application.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 291</p> <p>1 our radar screen. I'm sure it happens -- 2 MR. ASHTON: Well, because it 3 flies under, does that make it illegal? 4 THE WITNESS (Dunn): No. 5 MR. ASHTON: Does the Town 6 control all training? 7 THE WITNESS (Dunn): I would 8 say no, but if it was a business, in other 9 words, I'll take a more extreme example. If 10 I was opening a business to train people for 11 an industry, and that was a change of use 12 compared to what was -- 13 MR. ASHTON: If I'm training 14 my employees though, it's not controlled by 15 the Town; is that fair? 16 THE WITNESS (Dunn): It would 17 depend. If it changed the use of the 18 property. 19 MR. ASHTON: Well, how does it 20 change the use by training them? 21 THE WITNESS (Dunn): Well, if 22 you wanted to train your employees by 23 building a 180-foot tower and train on that 24 tower, that would be a change in use. 25 MR. ASHTON: I've got a</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 290</p> <p>1 MR. ASHTON: If an applicant, 2 Dunning Sand and Gravel, wants to train 3 drivers or operators of its equipment, does 4 it have to come to the Town to train them? 5 THE WITNESS (Dunn): If it was 6 a change in the preexisting use, yes, in 7 other words, bringing in drivers from outside 8 the town, segregating a piece of the 9 premises -- 10 MR. ASHTON: I didn't say 11 that. I just said they want to do training 12 on their property. If the brick company 13 wants to do training on their property, do 14 they have to go to the Town to get 15 permission? 16 THE WITNESS (Dunn): If they 17 were instituting a formal program, and it was 18 going to be a business pursuit, per se. 19 MR. ASHTON: Well, it's part 20 of their business. I can't think of any 21 business, any business, that doesn't involve 22 some training. 23 THE WITNESS (Dunn): I would 24 agree that typical on-the-job training that 25 any company engages in probably flies under</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 292</p> <p>1 payloader in the backyard as part of my 2 construction equipment. I want to train you 3 to operate the payloader. Is that a change 4 of use that the Town controls? 5 THE WITNESS (Dunn): I would 6 say no, not in that case. 7 MR. ASHTON: I'm getting a 8 very fuzzy delineation of what's permitted 9 and what ain't. And I find it almost 10 draconian that you're going to get into that 11 kind of extensive control over training. I 12 can't think of any job that -- 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Dr. 14 Klemens. 15 MR. ASHTON: I'm through. 16 DR. KLEMENS: Let me try to 17 understand this. As I understand it, one can 18 train one's own employees, but as I 19 understand this application before us, it's a 20 facility that is going to be drawing people 21 from around the region, and I think is that 22 where you see a distinction between training 23 for your own employees versus creating a 24 facility to bring people in to train? 25 THE WITNESS (Dunn): Well,</p>

(Pages 289 to 292)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 293</p> <p>1 yes, and it implicates a couple of different 2 issues. In other words, there's an existing 3 facility there that I believe Northeast 4 Towers uses to stage their work to do office 5 type work. However, from my perspective, if 6 they were going to open a training facility 7 for people outside of their organization, 8 that would implicate traffic the size of the 9 parking lot that they might need for bringing 10 additional people in, and then if they're 11 going to build a structure to accomplish that 12 task, in other words, an antenna, we would 13 then have jurisdiction over that. Our 14 regulations provide for the height of 15 structures and the location of structures, 16 the setback. There's different things. We'd 17 be concerned with lighting, with security, is 18 there going to be a generator, would noise be 19 an issue, would it be muffled, would it only 20 be operated at certain times of the day. 21 Those are all things that we look at with 22 every application. 23 DR. KLEMENS: So, there are 24 two parts to it, there's actually the 25 physical structure and the use?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 295</p> <p>1 potentially would look into using the parking 2 lot along the rail trail as a potential tower 3 site? 4 THE WITNESS (Eagen): I mean, 5 I've never been approached. So again, the 6 Town's position has always been that if we 7 have a facility on our own property, we would 8 absolutely look into that to see if that's 9 something that we'd be willing to do. So, 10 you know, I guess that's my answer. 11 MR. MERCIER: Okay. Is the 12 parking lot where Brickyard Road crosses the 13 rail trail? 14 THE WITNESS (Eagen): Yes, the 15 parking lot is there, and then it crosses 16 over. 17 MR. MERCIER: And that's just 18 north of the subject property? 19 THE WITNESS (Eagen): North, 20 correct. Yes. Right? 21 THE WITNESS (Dunn): North and 22 west. 23 THE WITNESS (Eagen): North 24 and west. 25 MR. MERCIER: And somewhat</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 294</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS (Dunn): Yes. 2 DR. KLEMENS: Two things. So 3 let's put the physical structure aside and 4 get to I think what Mr. Ashton was trying to 5 say. Is the tipping point on the training at 6 a point when, leaving aside the physical 7 structure, put that to the side, but is the 8 issue of training the tipping point for you 9 on the P&Z when it becomes not training for 10 one's own employees but when you start to 11 create a facility or a place where people are 12 going to come in and be trained; is that the 13 tipping point? 14 THE WITNESS (Dunn): I would 15 agree, but I'd also add that if you had a 16 company that had employees around the region 17 and the country and then you started bringing 18 them all in, it could become a traffic issue, 19 it could become a parking issue. So, a 20 little bit of both. 21 DR. KLEMENS: Thank you. 22 THE WITNESS (Dunn): You're 23 welcome. 24 MR. MERCIER: I just have a 25 question regarding I think you mentioned you</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 296</p> <p>1 closer to the residences up on the hill? 2 THE WITNESS (Eagen): Right. 3 MR. MERCIER: Okay. Thank you. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. 5 MR. LANGER: I have very 6 limited recross. 7 Ms. Eagen, did you receive a 8 technical report from my office regarding the 9 proposed facility? 10 THE WITNESS (Eagen): Do you 11 have a copy of it? 12 MR. LANGER: I do. Do you 13 recall receiving -- 14 THE WITNESS (Eagen): You 15 know, I received a lot of reports, so if you 16 showed me it, I could tell you if I received 17 it. 18 MR. LANGER: Sure. 19 Mr. Forsyth, do you have a 20 copy, or would you like me to find mine? 21 MR. FORSYTH: We have a copy. 22 MR. LANGER: Excellent. 23 If you could refer to tab 20, 24 please. It's just that page. You have it. 25 Is that letter addressed to you?</p>

(Pages 293 to 296)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 297</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS (Eagen): Yes. 2 MR. LANGER: Do you recall 3 receiving that letter? 4 THE WITNESS (Eagen): I assume 5 I received it. 6 MR. LANGER: Okay. And in 7 fact, we had conversations concerning that 8 submission on a couple of occasions; is that 9 correct? 10 THE WITNESS (Eagen): You and 11 I? 12 MR. LANGER: Yes. You don't 13 recall? 14 THE WITNESS (Eagen): No. 15 MR. LANGER: Okay. Fair 16 enough. 17 Could you please read out loud 18 the last paragraph on the first page? 19 THE WITNESS (Eagen): If the 20 Town would like Tower Holdings to consider 21 any alternate sites, which may include 22 municipal parcels, then please provide those 23 suggested alternates within 30 days of 24 receipt of this file." 25 MR. LANGER: And what's the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 299</p> <p>1 Anyone who has not become a party or 2 intervenor but who desires to make his or her 3 views known to the Council, may file written 4 statements with the Council within 30 days of 5 the date hereof. 6 The Council will issue draft 7 findings of fact, and thereafter parties and 8 intervenors may identify errors or 9 inconsistencies between the Council's draft 10 findings of fact and the record; however, no 11 new information, no new evidence, no argument 12 or no reply briefs without our permission 13 will be considered by the council. 14 Again, copies of the 15 transcript of the hearing will be filed at 16 the Farmington Town Clerk's Office. And I 17 hereby declare this hearing adjourned, and 18 thank you all for your participation. 19 (Whereupon, the witnesses were 20 excused, and the above proceedings were 21 adjourned at 3:15 p.m.) 22 23 24 25</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 298</p> <p>1 citation at the end there? 2 THE WITNESS (Eagen): Of the 3 general statute? 4 MR. LANGER: Yes. 5 THE WITNESS (Eagen): It's 6 16-50g3. 7 MR. LANGER: Okay. And do you 8 recall providing any suggested alternatives 9 within 30 days? 10 THE WITNESS (Eagen): No. 11 MR. LANGER: Okay. And did 12 you provide any suggestions prior to this 13 date here today regarding alternative sites? 14 THE WITNESS (Eagen): No. 15 MR. LANGER: Okay. No further 16 questions. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 18 Before closing this hearing, the Connecticut 19 Siting Council announces that briefs and 20 proposed findings of fact may be filed with 21 the Council by any party or intervenor no 22 later than April 16, 2015. The submission of 23 briefs or proposed findings of fact are not 24 required by the Council; however, we leave it 25 to the choice of the parties and intervenors.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 300</p> <p>1 INDEX 2 WITNESSES CHUCK REGULBUTO 3 STEPHEN SAVINO, JR. 4 ERNEST R. JONES 5 MICHAEL LIBERTINE 6 ROBERT C. BURNS Page 179 7 EXAMINERS: 8 Mr. Forsyth 9 Mr. Mercier 10 11 WITNESSES DAVID ARCHAMBAULT 12 MARTIN LAVIN Page 244 13 EXAMINERS: 14 Mr. Mercier 15 16 WITNESSES PHILIP DUNN 17 KATHLEEN EAGEN Page 270 18 EXAMINERS: 19 Mr. Mercier 20 21 22 23 24 25</p>

(Pages 297 to 300)

Page 301

EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
I N D E X (Cont'd.)		
APPLICANT EXHIBITS		
(Received in evidence.)		
II-B-10	Applicant's responses to the Town of Farmington's interrogatories, Set II and III, dated March 10, 2015	185
II-B-11	Applicant's supplemental submission, dated March 10, 2015	185
II-B-12	E-mail from DPH representative to Michael Libertine	185
INTERVENOR EXHIBITS		
(Received in evidence.)		
III-B-1	New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC (AT&T) request for intervenor status, dated November 14, 2014	246
III-B-2	New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC (AT&T) responses to Council interrogatories, dated January 7, 2015	246
III-B-3	New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC (AT&T) responses to the Town of Farmington's interrogatories, dated January 26, 2015	246
III-B-4	New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC, (AT&T) supplemental information, dated January 26, 2015	246
III-B-5	Resumes of witnesses, submitted January 28, 2015	
a.	Martin Lavin	
b.	David Archambault	246

Page 302

EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
I N D E X (Cont'd.)		
INTERVENOR EXHIBITS		
(Received in evidence.)		
III-B-6	New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC (AT&T) responses to the Town of Farmington's interrogatories, Set II and III, dated March 9, 2015	246
TOWN OF FARMINGTON EXHIBIT		
(Received in evidence.)		
IV-B-1	Town of Farmington's request for party status, dated December 10, 2014	272
IV-B-2	Prefiled testimony of Philip Dunn, dated March 5, 2015	272
IV-B-3	Prefiled testimony of Kathleen A. Eagen, dated March 9, 2015	272
IV-B-4	All-Points Technology Corp. visibility analysis, dated April 8, 2013	272
IV-B-5	Town of Farmington town plan and zoning commission agenda and meeting minutes, dated April 9, 2013	272
IV-B-6	Town of Farmington zoning regulations, amended to November 7, 2014	272
IV-B-7	Town of Farmington plan of conservation and development, adopted February 22, 2008	272
IV-B-8	Elevation maps of the subject property and surrounding areas (Highlands Area, Highland Area-2), received March 10, 2015	272

(Pages 301 to 302)