



# STATE OF CONNECTICUT

## CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: [siting.council@ct.gov](mailto:siting.council@ct.gov)

[www.ct.gov/csc](http://www.ct.gov/csc)

### VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

February 10, 2015

Lucia Chiochio, Esq.  
Cuddy & Feder LLP  
445 Hamilton Avenue, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
White Plains, NY 10601

RE: **DOCKET NO. 453** – American Tower Corporation and New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the construction, maintenance, and operation of a telecommunications facility located at Manchester Tax Assessor Map 133, Block 3700, Lot 701, 701 Lydall Street, Manchester, Connecticut.

Dear Attorney Chiochio:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) requests your responses to the enclosed questions no later than February 24, 2014. To help expedite the Council's review, please file individual responses as soon as they are available.

Please forward an original and 15 copies to this office, as well as send a copy via electronic mail. In accordance with the State Solid Waste Management Plan and in accordance with Section 16-50j-12 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies the Council is requesting that all filings be submitted on recyclable paper, primarily regular weight white office paper. Please avoid using heavy stock paper, colored paper, and metal or plastic binders and separators. Fewer copies of bulk material may be provided as appropriate.

Copies of your responses shall be provided to all parties and intervenors listed on the service list, which can be found on the Council's pending proceedings website.

Yours very truly,

Melanie Bachman  
Acting Executive Director

MB/cdm

c: Parties and Intervenors

**Docket 453:**  
**American Tower Corporation (ATC) and New Cingular Wireless (AT&T)**  
**Manchester, Connecticut**  
**Pre-Hearing Interrogatories, Set Two**

1. During the public hearing on January 20, 2015, AT&T testified that antennas on the tower at 230 Box Mountain Road would not achieve its coverage objectives at a height of 100 feet. Would AT&T be able to achieve its coverage objectives from this location if its antennas were located at 150 feet? 200 feet? 250 feet? Provide coverage plots for 700 MHz and 1900 MHz LTE for these heights.
2. Since the public hearing held on January 20, 2015, has ATC and/or AT&T had discussions with the Manchester Conservation Land Trust about the possibility of leasing a portion of its property around Risley Pond for a telecommunications site? If so, what was the outcome of any such discussions?
3. If the land trust is amenable to leasing a portion of its property, is there a location on the property feasible for a telecommunications facility that would be able to meet AT&T's coverage objectives? If so, what height would a tower at this location have to be to provide the requisite coverage?
4. Is it possible to adjust antenna configurations and power settings at adjacent sites to provide coverage for AT&T's target area?