


CERTIFICATE

I Robert Gordon Dixon, CVR-M, do hereby certify that I have read the transcript given by us in the Matter of: **DOCKET NO. 442, NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS PCS, LLC, APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY AND PUBLIC NEED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, AND OPERATION OF A TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY LOCATED AT 284 NEW CANAAN AVENUE, NORWALK, CONNECTICUT**, held on **April 15, 2014**, and that, to the best of our knowledge, said transcript is true and accurate with the exception of the corrections listed below:

Page	Line	From	To	Reason
143	8	Dr. Michael W. Klemens	Daniel P. Lynch, Jr.	
157	11	Reading	Redding	
209	16	Mrs. Wells	Mr. Wells	


 Robert Gordon Dixon, CVR-M 857
 Verbatim Reporter

Subscribed and sworn to before me on this 4th day of June, 2014, at Hartford, Connecticut.

Mary E. Dube
 Sign
MARY E. DUBE
 Print (Notary Public)
 My Commission expires: 9/30/14



1 A p p e a r a n c e s:

2 Siting Council Members:

3 JAMES J. MURPHY, JR.,

4 Vice Chairperson

5 ROBERT HANNON, DEEP Designee

6 SENATOR EILEEN DAILY

7 PHILIP T. ASHTON

8 DANIEL P. LYNCH, JR.

9 DR. BARBARA C. BELL

10

11 Siting Council Staff Members:

12 MELANIE A. BACHMAN, ESQ.,

13 Acting Executive Director,

14 Staff Attorney

15 ROBERT D. MERCIER,

16 Siting Analyst

17

18 AARON DEMAREST,

19 Audio Technician

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 that in with the SHPO decision, were they
2 presented with the 48-inch outer diameter and
3 that's okay or are we still going back to the
4 40-, 42-inch diameter that we originally
5 talked about?

6 MR. LIBERTINE: Right. No,
7 we had a discussion and they recognized that
8 the changing technologies, that there is some
9 flux, and so we talked about the four-foot
10 diameter.

11 There's also a site in Redding
12 on town property where we went through a
13 similar -- not exercise but had a similar
14 scenario where the original application, I
15 believe, was probably in the 40-inch range,
16 maybe 42-inch, and that went to 48 for the
17 exact same reasons that we have here.

18 So to answer your initial
19 question about SHPO, yes, the discussion we
20 had was that there would likely be a 48-inch
21 girth to the tower all the way up and held
22 constant.

23 So that was part of their last
24 understanding in terms of putting it into
25 writing for us.

1 septic system installation within upland
2 review areas.

3 So this -- this project in my
4 view would -- would satisfy those
5 requirements for a designation as a minor
6 regulated activity per the Norwalk Inland
7 Wetland agency regs.

8 MR. FISHER: Is it, therefore,
9 your opinion the project has no adverse
10 effect on wetlands?

11 MR. GUSTAFSON: Yes, it is.
12 There would be no likely adverse effect to
13 wetlands for the development of this proposed
14 facility?

15 MR. FISHER: Okay. And,
16 Mr. Wells, finally, you seem to be the
17 individual on the panel who has had to make
18 the most compromises, but is it your opinion
19 that AT&T is willing to make those
20 compromises in order to provide service? And
21 could you just summarize the nature of that
22 service and what AT&T would be unable to
23 provide without this facility?

24 MR. WELLS: Yes. While we are
25 making compromise, the -- even in the