

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

March 15, 2013

Lucia Chiochio, Esq.
Cuddy & Feder LLP
445 Hamilton Avenue, 14th Floor
White Plains, New York 10601

RE: **DOCKET NO. 434** – Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless Application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the construction, maintenance, and operation of a relocated telecommunications facility at 139 North Main Street, West Hartford, Connecticut.

Dear Attorney Chiochio:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) requests your responses to the enclosed questions no later than March 29, 2013. To help expedite the Council's review, please file individual responses as soon as they are available.

Please forward an original and 15 copies to this office, as well as, sending a copy via electronic mail. In accordance with the State Solid Waste Management Plan and in accordance with Section 16-50j-12 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies the Council is requesting that all filings be submitted on recyclable paper, primarily regular weight white office paper. Please avoid using heavy stock paper, colored paper, and metal or plastic binders and separators. A list of parties and intervenors can be found on the Council's pending proceedings website. Fewer copies of bulk material may be provided as appropriate.

Yours very truly,

Linda Roberts
Executive Director

LR/MP

c: Parties and Intervenors

Docket No. 434
Pre-Hearing Questions
Set One

1. Which frequencies are New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC (AT&T) licensed to utilize in Hartford County?
2. What is the signal strength for which AT&T designs its system? For in-vehicle coverage? For in-building coverage?
3. Provide AT&T's existing antenna height(s) at the Gallaudet Hall facility.
4. Provide an existing coverage plot with AT&T's existing facility at Gallaudet Hall.
5. Provide an existing coverage plot without the existing facility at Gallaudet Hall or the proposed clock tower. In other words, provide an existing coverage plot to illustrate the coverage gaps that would result from having no AT&T facility at American School for the Deaf (ASD).
6. Provide the lengths of the coverage gaps on key streets that would result from no AT&T facility at ASD.
7. Provide a plot of existing and proposed (i.e. the relocated facility at ASD) coverage.
8. Provide the distance and direction from the proposed relocated tower site to the existing AT&T sites that the proposed relocated facility would interact with. Also include the addresses, tower heights, antenna heights, and tower types (e.g. monopole).
9. Would there be handoff issues without a facility at ASD? Explain.

10. Provide the numerical data for column three of the following matrix.

		Proposed clock tower facility statistics
Population Coverage (persons)	At in-building signal strength or higher	
Population Coverage (persons)	At in-vehicle signal strength or higher	
Area Covered (square miles)	At in-building signal strength or higher	
Area Covered (square miles)	At in-vehicle signal strength or higher	
Roadway Coverage (miles)	Main Roads	
Roadway Coverage (miles)	Secondary Roads	
Roadway Coverage (miles)	Total Roads (i.e. main + secondary)	

11. What is the minimum antenna centerline height required to meet AT&T's coverage objectives?
12. Could AT&T fit all of its antennas at one height or would two different antenna heights be needed?
13. Would AT&T utilize the same backup generator as Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless?
14. If AT&T is providing its own backup power, what would be the fuel source for AT&T's backup generator? How many hours of run time would the generator have based on its fuel tank capacity? Has AT&T considered using a fuel cell as a backup power source for the proposed facility? Explain.
15. Would AT&T's proposed relocated facility comply with E911 requirements?