# STATE OF CONNECTICUT CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

IN RE:

APPLICATION OF CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS FOR A CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY AND PUBLIC NEED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION OF A WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY AT 16 BELL ROAD EXTENSION, CORNWALL, CONNECTICUT

DOCKET NO. 402

DEGELVED

JUN 17 2010

CONNECTICUT

SITING COUNCIL

JUNE 17, 2010

RESPONSES OF CELLCO PARTNERSHIP d/b/a VERIZON WIRELESS TO CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL PRE-HEARING INTERROGATORIES

On June 4, 2010, the Connecticut Siting Council ("Council") issued Pre-Hearing Interrogatories to Applicant, Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless ("Cellco"), relating to the above-captioned docket. Below are Cellco's responses.

#### Question No. 1

Did Cellco receive return receipts for all adjacent landowners listed in Application Attachment 5? If not, describe any additional effort to serve notice.

#### Response

Yes. All Receipts have been returned.

#### Question No. 2

Would blasting be required for the construction of the proposed site? Provide estimates of cut and fill.

#### Response

Cellco does not anticipate the need for blasting to construct the proposed facility. A geotechnical survey will be completed if the site is approved and submitted to the Council as a part of the D&M Plan. Cellco estimates that site construction will require a total cut of approximately 1,375 c.y. of material and a total fill of approximately 1,265 c.y. of material.

#### Question No. 3

What is Cellco's minimum signal level threshold for in-building and in-vehicle use?

Response

Cellco's coverage thresholds are -85 dBm for reliable in-vehicle service and -75 dBm for reliable in-building service.

#### Question No. 5

What is the current signal strength in the proposed service area?

#### Response

Existing signal strength in this area ranges from -87 dBm to -109 dBm.

#### Question No. 6

Provide a coverage plot, using the scale and thresholds in Application Attachment 7, that depict coverage from existing/approved Cellco sites and the proposed tower at a height of 100 feet.

#### Response

The coverage plots requested are included in <u>Attachment 1</u>. The differences in coverage between a 110 foot and 100 foot tower are quantified in the two tables below.

| Antenna<br>Height | Route 7 Coverage<br>Cellular (Miles) | Route 7 Coverage<br>PCS (Miles) | Route 4 Coverage<br>Cellular (Miles) | Route 4 Coverage<br>PCS (Miles) |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 110'              | 1.97                                 | 1.83                            | 2.46                                 | 0.93                            |
| 100'              | 1.82                                 | 1.70                            | 2.29                                 | 0.62                            |

| Antenna Height | Total Coverage Cellular (Sq. Miles) | Total Coverage PCS (Sq. Miles) |  |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| 110'           | 10.05                               | 2.99                           |  |
| 100'           | 8.63                                | 2.02                           |  |

#### Question No. 6

Provide the methodology and input parameters used to obtain the power density figure presented on page 16 of the application.

#### Response

The power density figures presented in the application were developed using the formula established by the FCC. The input parameters used are set forth in the table included in

## Question No. 7

Attachment 2.

Is the site within the *Upper Housatonic Valley National Heritage Area*? If so, describe the impact of the proposed facility on resources within the heritage area.

#### Response

The proposed Cornwall Facility is located within the Upper Housatonic Valley National Heritage Area. The Upper Housatonic Valley National Heritage Area was designated by

Congress in 2006 in order to heighten appreciation of the region, preserve its natural and historical resources, and improve the quality of life and economy of the area. Based on the results of VHB's *Visual Resource Evaluation Report* (dated April 2010), which is included behind Tab 10 of the Docket No. 402 Application, the Cornwall Facility, as proposed, would not be visible from, and therefore have no impact upon, any of the nearby scenic resources specifically identified on the Heritage Area Map including the Appalachian National Scenic Trail, Route 7 (a state-designated scenic roadway), the West Cornwall Covered Bridge spanning the Housatonic River, and the Housatonic River itself. A copy of the Heritage Area Map taken from the Upper Housatonic Valley National Heritage Area web site is included in <u>Attachment 3</u>.

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 17<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2010, a copy of the foregoing was sent, postage prepaid, to:

Gordon M. Ridgeway, First Selectman Town of Cornwall P.O. Box 97 Cornwall, CT 06753

Frederic I. Thaler and Kathleen Mooney 66 Popple Swamp Road Cornwall Bridge, CT 06754

Kenneth C. Baldwin