

## STATE OF CONNECTICUT

#### CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051
Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950
E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov
Internet: ct.gov/csc

February 26, 2010

Kenneth C. Baldwin, Esq. Robinson & Cole LLP 280 Trumbull Street Hartford, CT 06103-3597

RE:

**DOCKET NO. 397** - Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public need for the construction, maintenance and operation of a telecommunications facility at 445 Prospect Street, Woodstock, Connecticut.

### Dear Attorney Baldwin:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) requests your responses to the enclosed questions no later than March 8, 2010. To help expedite the Council's review, please file individual responses as soon as they are available.

Please forward an original and 15 copies to this office and a .pdf file on a compact disc. In accordance with the State Solid Waste Management Plan, the Council is requesting that all filings be submitted on recyclable paper, primarily regular weight white office paper. Please avoid using heavy stock paper, colored paper, and metal or plastic binders and separators. Fewer copies of bulk material may be provided as appropriate.

S. Perek Phelps Executive Director

c: Council Members
Parties and Intervenors
Sandy Carter, Cellco Partnership





## STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051 Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950 E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov Internet: ct.gov/csc

# PRE-HEARING INTERROGATORIES – SET 2 DOCKET NO. 397 – WOODSTOCK CELLCO PARTNERSHIP d/b/a VERIZON WIRELESS FEBRUARY 26, 2010

- 1. In the response to Council Interrogatory 12, Cellco states the landowner would consider an alternate location on the property near the existing farm buildings. Please depict this location on a diagram. What tower height would be required in this location to meet coverage objectives? Please provide a coverage model at the height required to meet coverage objectives.
- 2. Would the landowner and Cellco be willing to consider an alternate location on Rich property west of Prospect Street? If so, please depict this location on a diagram. What tower height would be required to meet coverage objectives? Please provide a coverage model at the height required to meet coverage objectives.

