### **CUDDY & FEDER LLP**

90 MAPLE AVENUE WHITE PLAINS, NEW YORK 10601-5196

NEIL J. ALEXANDER (also CT)
THOMAS R. BEIRNE (also DC)
STEPHANIE BORTHYK (also NJ)
JOSEPH P. CARLUCCI
LUCIA CHIOCCHIO (also CT)
KENNETH J. DUBROPFROBERT FEDER
CHRISTOPHER B. FISHER (also CT)
CINDY M. FOX (also NJ & DC)
ANTHONY B. GIOFFRE III (also CT)
JOSHUA J. GRAUER
KENNETH F. JURIST.
MICHAEL E. KATZ (also NJ)
JOSHUA E. KIMERLING (also CT)
DANIEL F. LEARY (also CT)

BARRY E. LONG

(914) 761-1300 FACSIMILE (914) 761-5372/6405 www.cuddyfeder.com

> 500 FIFTH AVENUE NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10110 (212) 944-2841 FAGSIMILE (212) 944-2843

WESTAGE BUSINESS CENTER 300 WESTAGE BUSINESS CENTER, SUITE 350 FISHKILL, NEW YORK 12524 (845) 896-2229 FACSIMILE (845) 896-3672

NORWALK, CONNECTICUT

WILLIAM Y. CUDDY 1971-2000

EON S. NICHOLS (also CT):
WIELIAM S. NULL
ELISABETH N. RADOW
PAMELA B. RICHARDSON (also NJ)
NEIL T. RIMSKY
RUTH E. ROTH
ANDREW P. SCHRIEVER (also MA)
JENNIFER L. VAN TUYL
CHAUNCEY L. WALKER (also CA)

Of Counsel
ANDREW A. GLICKSON (also CT)
KAREN G. GRANIK
ROBERT L. OSAA (also TX)
MARYAN M. PALERMO
ROBERT C. SCHNEIDER

February 6, 2006

VIA OVERNIGHT MAIL
Mayor Sydney T. Schulman
Town of Bloomfield
Bloomfield Town Hall
800 Bloomfield Avenue
Bloomfield, Connecticut 06002

Re: Proposed Wireless Telecommunications Tower Facility

Maple Hills Farm 12 Burr Road

Bloomfield, Connecticut

Dear Mayor Schulman:

We are writing to you on behalf of our client, Optasite, Inc. ("Optasite"), with respect to the above referenced matter which involves a proposed wireless telecommunications tower facility to be located at 12 Burr Road in the Town of Bloomfield. As you may know, jurisdiction over such facilities rests exclusively with the State of Connecticut Siting Council pursuant to Section 16-50i and x of the Connecticut General Statutes.

Section 16-50*l*(e) of the Connecticut General Statutes does nevertheless require that Optasite consult with a municipality prior to such an application being filed with the Siting Council. The purpose of such local consultation is to give the municipality in which a facility has been proposed an opportunity to provide the applicant with any recommendations it may have prior to the applicant's filing of an application. As set forth in the statute, any such recommendations must be issued by the municipality within sixty days of its receipt of technical information concerning the proposed facility from the applicant.

### **CUDDY & FEDER LLP**

February 6, 2006 Page 2

The purpose of this letter is to formally notify you of the proposed Facility in the Town of Bloomfield and commence the sixty day consultation period that is required prior to Optasite's filing of any application with the Siting Council. Enclosed is a "Technical Report" for your review and consideration which includes information about the need for the proposed tower facility, a summary of the site selection process and the environmental effects of a tower that has been proposed in the Town. We trust that this information will prove helpful to you and others in the Town in formulating any recommendations Bloomfield may have about the proposal.

We would appreciate the opportunity to meet with you to review the Technical Report and will follow this letter with a call to schedule such a meeting to discuss the proposed facility at your convenience. Additionally, should the Town elect to conduct a public meeting or hearing about the proposal during the consultation period, we would ask that you let us know at your earliest convenience so that we may have representatives available to discuss the project. Thank you for your consideration of this letter and its enclosures. We look forward to meeting with you.

Very truly yours,

NUCL Clase Lice Lucia Chiocchio

Enclosure

cc: Jennifer Gaudet, Optasite
Keith Coppins, Optasite
Chuck Regulbuto, Optasite

### Larson, Carrie L.

From: Larson, Carrie L.

**Sent:** Monday, October 20, 2008 10:26 AM

To: thooper@bloomfieldct.org
Subject: RE: Burr Road Cell Tower

#### Thom-

This is to confirm our telephone conversation from Wednesday, October 8th regarding Optasite's proposed cell tower at 12 Burr Road in Bloomfield. As we discussed, Optasite did investigate the possibility of locating the proposed telecommunications facility at the Auer Farm property and that proposal was rejected by Auer Farm. In addition, Optasite did investigate the MDC property located in this area of Bloomfield, which was not available for development of a telecommunications facility. Also, you had inquired about the State Historic Preservation Office's involvement in this project. Optasite has received a letter of no adverse effect from the SHPO. Based on our conversation, you had indicated that the town did not have any other proposed alternate locations for Optasite to investigate and did not have any other concerns that they would like Optasite to investigate. We are intending on filing this application with the CSC within the next week. If the Town does have any other concerns, please let me know as soon as possible. Thank you.

From: Larson, Carrie L.

Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2008 2:54 PM

**To:** 'thooper@bloomfieldct.org' **Subject:** Burr Road Cell Tower

Thom-

Attached is the letter that was faxed over on September 26th. I apologize if it did not reach you, this was the fax number I was given when I called the Town Hall to confirm. In any event, I would appreciate the opportunity to discuss this with you further. Please let me know your availability.

#### Carrie L. Larson

Attorney

### PULLMAN & COMLEY, LLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

90 State House Square
Hartford, CT 06103-3702
p 860 424 4312 f 860 424 4370
clarson@pullcom.com • www.pullcom.com

V-card • Bio • Directions

BRIDGEPORT HARTFORD STAMFORD WESTPORT WHITE PLAINS

CIRCULAR 230 NOTICE: IRS RULES OF PRACTICE REQUIRE US TO INFORM YOU THAT ANY ADVICE IN THIS CORRESPONDENCE CONCERNING A FEDERAL TAX ISSUE IS NOT INTENDED OR WRITTEN BY US TO BE USED, AND CANNOT BE USED BY ANY TAXPAYER FOR THE PURPOSE OF AVOIDING ANY TAX PENALTIES IMPOSED BY THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, OR FOR PROMOTING, MARKETING OR RECOMMENDING ANY TAX RELATED MATTERS ADDRESSED HEREIN..

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS E-MAIL MESSAGE IS CONFIDENTIAL AND PRIVILEGED, AND IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE NAMED RECEIVER. IF YOU ARE NOT THE NAMED RECEIVER, OR THE PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THIS E-MAIL MESSAGE TO THE NAMED RECEIVER, YOU ARE NOTIFIED THAT ANY USE OF THIS E-MAIL MESSAGE OR ITS CONTENTS, INCLUDING ANY DISSEMINATION OR COPYING, IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS E-MAIL MESSAGE IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY PULLMAN & COMLEY BY TELEPHONE AT (203) 330-2000, AND DESTROY THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE. WE WILL

# PULLMAN & COMLEY, LLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

CARRIE L. LARSON 90 State House Square Hartford, CT 06103-3702 p (860) 424-4312 (860) 424-4370 clarson@pullcom.com www.pullcom.com

September 26, 2008

### VIA FACSIMILE (870-769-3598)

The Honorable Sydney T. Schulman Mayor Town of Bloomfield 800 Bloomfield Avenue Bloomfield, CT 06002

Mr. Louie Chapman, Jr. Town Manager Town of Bloomfield 800 Bloomfield Avenue Bloomfield, CT 06002

Mr. Thom Hooper Director, Planning and Zoning Department Town of Bloomfield 800 Bloomfield Avenue Bloomfield, CT 06002

Proposed Telecommunications Facility at 12 Burr Road, Bloomfield, CT Re:

Dear Sirs:

Pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes section 16-50l, on or about February 6, 2006, Optasite, Inc. ("Optasite") submitted a technical report to the Town of Bloomfield concerning Optasite's proposed construction and operation of a telecommunications facility at 12 Burr Road in Bloomfield. While the Connecticut Siting Council has exclusive jurisdiction over the siting of such facilities, Section 16-50l does require an applicant to consult with the municipality prior to filing an application with the Siting Council.

In follow-up to the filing of the technical report, Optasite did discuss this proposal with Mr. Hooper on April 10, 2006. During that conversation, Mr. Hooper had suggested that Optasite consider locating the proposed facility on nearby Metropolitan District property. Optasite did not receive any additional from the comments nor did it receive any requests to attend any informational meetings with any town boards.

Pursuant to Mr. Hooper's request, Optasite did investigate the possibility of locating the proposed facility on the Metropolitan District property. Unfortunately, the properties owned by

## PULLMAN & COMLEY, LLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

### Page 2

MDC within the vicinity are classified as either Class I or Class II watershed property or did not work from a radio frequency perspective and are therefore not available for the development of the proposed facility. Optasite also investigated the possibility of locating the proposed facility on the Tumblebrook Country Club property and the Auer Farm property. However, both property owners rejected Optasite's proposals.

After these investigations, Optasite is prepared to move forward and file its application with the Connecticut Siting Council for the proposed facility at its original site located at 12 Burr Road. However, since a good deal of time has passed since the filing of its technical report, Optasite did not want to proceed with the filing of its application without consulting with the Town once again. If the Town has any questions or comments, we would request those be forwarded to my attention no later than October 10<sup>th</sup>. In addition, as Optasite had previously offered, Optasite would be willing to attend any informational meetings that the Town requests. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

Carrie L. Larson

cc: Charles Regulbuto

Can ez

Hartford/72517.3/CLARSON/323925v1