

Daniel F. Caruso  
Chairman

STATE OF CONNECTICUT  
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: [siting.council@ct.gov](mailto:siting.council@ct.gov)

Internet: [ct.gov/csc](http://ct.gov/csc)

December 3, 2007

Cohen and Wolf, P.C.  
1115 Broad Street  
Bridgeport, CT 06604  
Attn: Julie Kohler, Esq.  
Carrie Larson, Esq.


RE: **DOCKET NO. 349** – Global Signal Acquisitions II application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the construction, maintenance and operation of a telecommunications facility located at 1919 Boston Post Road, Guilford, Connecticut.

Dear Attys. Kohler and Larson:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) requests your responses to the enclosed questions no later than December 27, 2007. To help expedite the Council's review, please file individual responses as soon as they are available.

Please forward an original and 20 copies to this office. In accordance with the State Solid Waste Management Plan, the Council is requesting that all filings be submitted on recyclable paper, primarily regular weight white office paper. Please avoid using heavy stock paper, colored paper, and metal or plastic binders and separators. Fewer copies of bulk material may be provided as appropriate.

Yours very truly



S. Derek Phelps  
Executive Director

SDP/cdm

c: Council Members  
Parties and Intervenors

**Docket 349: Global Signal  
Guilford, Connecticut  
Pre-Hearing Interrogatories, Set One**

1. How many of the return receipts for the notices sent to abutting landowners did Global Signal receive? If some return receipts were not received, did Global Signal make other attempts to notify the landowners? If yes, explain.
2. Is there a particular reason for the unusual length of time between July 24, 2006 when Global Signal submitted its notification letter to local officials and October 23, 2007 when it submitted its application to the Siting Council?
3. To what standard would the replacement tower be designed and built?
4. Would the tower's setback radius extend onto any adjacent properties?
5. How many residences are located within 1,000 feet of the proposed, relocated facility?
6. How far is the nearest residence to the proposed, relocated facility? Who owns this property?
7. The application refers to an eight-foot tall "garden fence" that would enclose the new compound. What is meant by "garden fence?"
8. Where and how far is the nearest wetland in relation to the replacement site?
9. The application states "no watercourses or wetlands are located within 129 of the proposed Property." What is meant by "Property" in this case? Is it the 3,050 square foot replacement compound, or is it the DDR property which would be developed for the shopping area?