QUALITY OF YOGURT

A Cooperative Study by the Connecticut Department of Agriculture and The Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station

By Lester Hankin and Donald Shields



BULLETIN 785 OF THE CONNECTICUT AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION NEW HAVEN

Lester Hankin is on the staff of The Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station in New Haven. Donald Shields is on the staff of the Dairy Division, Connecticut Department of Agriculture in Hartford. Requests for additional copies should be addressed to Publications, The Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station, P.O. Box 1106, New Haven, CT 06504.

AUGUST 1980

QUALITY OF YOGURT

By Lester Hankin and Donald Shields

The Connecticut Dept. of Agriculture and The Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station have both long been concerned with the quality of food products. Station scientists and staff of the Dept. of Agriculture continually examine food to determine whether it is of good quality. This bulletin reports a cooperative study by The Experiment Station and the Dept. of Agriculture of the quality of yogurt sold in Connecticut.

Yogurt has been known since the days of Abraham and Genghis Khan (6) to the present. Yogurt gained in popularity starting in 1889 when Professor Elie Metchnikoff claimed therapeutic benefits and long life for those eating yogurt (6). The yogurt available then was predominantly plain and unflavored, different from much of the yogurt sold today.

Yogurt is produced from milk soured by lactic acid bacteria that produce large amounts of lactic acid through fermentation of the milk sugar, lactose. The type of bacteria used differentiates yogurt from other fermented products such as buttermilk, cottage cheese, or hard cheese. These bacteria give plain yogurt its acidity, characteristic flavor, and custard- or gellike consistency.

In 1954 the average per capita sale of yogurt in the United States was 45 grams

(0.10 pounds), or about one-fifth of an eight ounce serving. By 1979 it had risen to 1,185 grams (2.61 pounds) per person, or about five, eight ounce servings (1). From 1970 to 1978 yogurt sales increased three-fold. Although some still eat yogurt for special nutritional attributes, the dramatic increase in sales came about as processors added flavoring, fruit, and sugar, making sour or tart yogurt more palatable and pleasing to more people.

Styles of yogurt:

Of all yogurt sold in the United States, 88% is packaged in 8-ounce cups (4) and is usually one of four styles. All styles may contain stabilizers or other additives.

PLAIN usually contains no added flavoring and has a custard-like consistency.

SUNDAE contains fruit on the bottom of the container overlain with plain yogurt. It has a custard-like consistency, and the consumer usually mixes the fruit with the yogurt before eating.

SWISS usually has the fruit mixed with the plain yogurt in a custard-like consistency.

SHAKE usually has the fruit mixed with

the plain yogurt to a liquid consistency.

In 1977 in the United States plain yogurt accounted for about 9% of all yogurt produced, sundae style 54% and swiss style 37% (4). Also in 1977 more strawberry yogurt was produced (17.4%) than any other flavor. Plain yogurt was fifth preceded only by strawberry, raspberry, blueberry, and peach. These four flavors and plain yogurt accounted for about 60% of all yogurt produced.

Two styles of frozen yogurt are sold: hard-frozen yogurt in bulk or as novelties (sticks, bars, cups) and soft-serve yogurt (like ice cream) in cups or cones. In 1977 frozen yogurt accounted for about 18% of yogurt produced in the United States (12.5% hard-frozen, 5.4% soft-serve).

Some yogurt has no dietetic claims while others are non- or low-fat or imply a diet claim by phrases such as "Easy Dieter", "Stay 'n Shape", "Light 'n Lively", "Sweet 'n Low", and "SomeTHIN LITE".

Additives:

Many compounds other than fruit, fruit puree and flavoring may be added to any style yogurt for a diversity of consumer tastes, aesthetics, and consistency, or to enhance keeping and increase nutritional quality.

Such stabilizers or thickening agents as gelatin, carrageenen, vegetable gums (carob bean, locust bean, tragacanth guar gum), food starch and pectin produce different consistencies. The nonfat milk solids, sodium caseinate and whey solids, are added for a better consistency, and they also increase the protein content.

Sorbic acid or potassium sorbate may be added to inhibit molds. The acidulants citric acid and lemon juice provide flavor and acidity. Sugar, fructose, dextrose, honey, and corn sweeteners are used as sweeteners. If no sugar or sweetening agent is added, the only carbohydrate comes from the lactose in the milk.

Various food colors, including natural carmine color, beet juice concentrate, or artificial color may be used for aesthetic appeal. The fat content of yogurt is regulated by the type and amount of nonfat,

lowfat, or whole milk used in fermentation. The protein content varies with the amount of milk and milk solids, and carbohydrate content depends on the amount of sweetener. The amount of fat, protein, and carbohydrate determines the caloric content.

Bacteria in manufacture:

lactic acid bacteria (Family Lactobacteriaceae) predominate in manufacture of yogurt: Lactobacillus bulgaricus and Streptococcus thermophilus. Lactobacillus acidophilus is occasionally used, separately or with S. thermophilus. Yogurt usually contains live lactic acid bacteria. The ideal ratio of Lactobacilli to Streptococci is 1:1. Manufacturers may heat yogurt after fermentation to enhance shelf-life by destroying the lactic acid bacteria and any other chance contaminants. It is generally agreed, however, that true yogurt should contain living lactic acid bacteria (2).

The two lactic acid bacteria complement each other. The Streptococci grow first, remove oxygen and acidify the mixture to favor growth of the Lactobacilli. These also produce diacetyl and other compounds that give yogurt some of its flavor. The Lactobacilli growing at the lower oxygen level caused by the Streptococci produce more lactic acid, acetadehyde and other products that give yogurt its sharp flavor. If the ratio of Streptococci to Lactobacilli varies greatly from 1:1, poor consistency or flavor may result.

Since yogurt is usually acidic, contamination by other bacteria is unusual and long shelf-life can be expected. Since yogurts containing fruit are more subject to yeast and mold some manufacturers add preservatives to fruit-flavored yogurt.

Object of study:

We examined yogurts collected at retail food stores to determine what kinds were available, the age or days from manufacture to collection, the number and ratio of the two kinds of lactic acid bacteria, and microbial contamination. We also evaluated

Table 1. Acid-producing bacteria, yeast and molds, acidity, and declared additives in plain yogurt.

⁵Gelatin, carrageenen, or modified food starch. ⁶This sample declared sugar as an additive.

lplant where product was made.
2Days from manufacture to purchase.
3Nonfat dry milk, sodium caselnate, or whey solids.
4None of the samples declared preservative, acidulant, artificial color or flavor.

Table 2. Acid-producing bacteria, acidity, and declared additives in strawberry yogurt,

	permit no.3	࣡.	when purchased (days) ⁴	producing bacteria (millions)	Strepto- cocci to Lacto- bacilli		Acidity	solids ⁵ st	solids ⁵ stabilizer pre- acid or serv- ulan thickener ⁵ ative	pre- ac serv- ul ative	4,	artificial color
A & P. natural, lowfat	sundae 34-8	365	13	460	1:1:1	4.02	1.32	+	+	,	+	,
Alta Dena Maka 3.5% fate		2238	No code	1	1		,	+	+	ı		1
Alta-Dena, Naja, 1.5% fat	sundae 06-2	2238	9	910	89.	4.26	1,42	1	+		ı	•
Refit lowfat, all natural flavor		1564	17	730	1:0.8	4.54	1.48	r	+	+		+
Borden, Lite Line, natural flavor, lowfat		1396	- 12	102	Ξ	4.56	1.37	+	+	+	r	+
Borden, 100% natural, lowfat		isted	50	180	8.0.	4.40	1.31	+	+	r		1
Borden, 100% natural, lowfat		sted	(34	Ξ.	4.26	1.24	+	+ -	1	, -	1
Breyers, all natural, walnut		2217	27	142	not tested	3.98	1.32	1 -	+ -	1	+ +	ı
Breyers, all natural		7127	<u>.</u> .	560	not tested	4.40	1 23	+ +	F +	. 1	+ +	
Breyers, all natural		/ 177	77	460	v. c. c	4.22	2.5	+ 4	- 4-	, ,		ır
Colombo, all natural	Sundae 55-7	200	27	330	9.0.	. 4 . E	0 - 0	+	. +	1	ı	
Colombo, all natural		1564	3 0	420	3.0.	4.43	1.68		+	4		+
Cumber and raffils, lowedt, all matural llavel	ď	721	·	250	not tested	4.05	1.38	+	+		+	
Dangon, natural, lowing		2345	20	m	1:0.9	4.27	1.26	+	+	+		+
Grand Ontoll, Towner. Hood Firm 'n Fruitv. all natural. lowfat	swiss not listed	isted	6	70		4.14	1.61	+	+	ı	+	ŀ
layourt, 100% natural		865	53	310	not tested	4.40	1.36	+	1	ı		ı
LaYogurt, 100% natural		865	<u></u>	550	_ : - :	4,33	55.	+ +	1 4	, .	4 1	
LeShake, all natural, lowfat		2631	4.6	080	20.02	4.25	26.0	+ +	+ +			
LeShake, all natural, lowfat		2631	38	08¢	2.0.1	4. c	1:-	+ 4	+ -		: +	ı +
Light 'n Lively, all natural flavor, lowfat		Sted	13	2 0001	not rested	6.4.4		۱ ۱	+ +	LI	- +	- +
Light 'n Lively, all natural flavor, lowfat	SW155	is ted	2 %	350	. O. C.	1.4	31.	: 1	+	1	+	+
Light 'n Lively, all matural flavor, lowfat		965	2 2	330	not tested	4.00	7.36	+	+	,	+	,
Moser Farms, all natural, lowing		865	10	670	1:1.6	4.14	1,35	+	+	,	+	ı
Moser ramis, all Hatural, Lowlan		61	36	32		4.39	1.60	1	1	r		1
Macural and Nosher May Country all nathral flavor & color, lowfat		2098	7	630	1:0.4	4.10	7.38	+	+	+	+	ţ
New Country, all natural flavor & color, lowfat		2098	œ ;	80	1:0.7	4.45	1.35	+	+	+	+	
Show_Dite SomeThin ite. Towfat	Sw155 34-1	0670	25	67	1:0.4	4.58	33	+	+	+	ı	+
Cipping ty poppar 100% natural		865	22	270	1:0.5	4.45	0.82	1	+	ı	t	4
Ston & Shop, Towfat		0670	32	340	3:0.8	4.64	1,39	+ -	+ -	÷ .	1	÷ -
Ston & Shon, Towfat		0670		940	1:0.5	4.65	.36	+	+	+	1	+
Sweet 'n Low, nonfat		2098	No code	22	not tested	4.05	1.29	+ -	+ -	4 -	.	,
Sweet in low nonfat		2098	22	8	1:0.75	4.04		÷	+	+	+ -	
weet n com, norman Waldhamm's all matural, lowfat		865	σ,	460	1:2.2	4.23	1.22	+	+	,	+	ı
Vonlait 100% natural	shake 26-	.525	7.1	380		4.23	1,23	1		,		
	2	こった	22	190		4 45	. 28	,	1		1	ı

Shonfat dry milk or sodium caseinate. Gelalfin, agar, gums, or food starch. $^{\circ}_{\text{Telanoh}}$ Themon juice or citric acid. $^{\circ}_{\text{Telanoh}}$ This sample contained visible molds when purchased; thus it was not tested. IAll of the samples contained less than 100 moids/gram and less than 100 yeasts/gram except Colombo (second one in Table) which had 12,500 yeast/gram and Natural and Kosher which had 15,000 yeast/gram. Only two samples contained measurable numbers of coliform bacteria but their significance in fruit yogurt is questionable.

Prone of the samples declared artificial flavor; all contained strawberries or strawberry speserves and all contained a sweetener.

Plant where product was made.

*Days from manufacture to purchase.

Table 3. Nutrients in 227 grams or 8 ounces of plain yogurt,

	Claim	% of claim found	Claim	% of claim found	Claim	% of claim found	Claim	% of claim found
Alta-Dena, Maya, 3.5% fat Alta-Dena, Maya, 10wfat, 2% fat*! Akelrod's Easy Dieter Owfat* Akelrod's Easy Dieter Owfat* Breakstone's Easy Dieter Owfat* Breakstone's Stay 'n Shape, lowfat* Breyers, all natural Brown Cow Farm, all natural Glombo, natural Colombo, natural Dannon, lowfat* Dannon, lowfat* Dannon, lowfat* Clombo, natural Owfat* Colombo, natural Dannon, lowfat* Colombo, natural Dannon, lowfat* Colombo, natural Nowfat* Hood, Nuform, lowfat* Lafogurt, lowfat* Noondance, lowfat* Moondance, lowfat* Rosedale, lowfat* Moondance, lowfat* Stop Rite, lowfat* Sundance, lowfat* Sundance, lowfat* Sundance, lowfat* Sundance, lowfat*	□ πυσσα44ννν νν 444 α α α α α α α α α α α α α α α α	883.755.537.588.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.	£4.8.8.8.8.8.8.8.8.8.8.8.8.8.8.8.8.8.8.8	88 87 88 73 88 73 73 74 88 89 103 103 103 103 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	881 208 198 198 198 198 198 198 198 198 198 19	1162 1164 1166 117 1186 1186 1187 1187 1187 1187	21 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 15	000 888 899 899 899 899 899 899 899 899
Average ²	4,9	43,0	5.	85.2	16.0	126.0	152.3	9.68

Table 4. Nutrients in 227 grams or 8 ounces of strawherry yogurt.

Brand	Style	Fat		Protein	af n	Carbohydrate	drate	Calories	ies
A III A		Claim	% of claim found	Claim	% of claim found	Claim	% of claim found	Claim	% of claim found
A E P. lowfatt AIta-Dena, Maya, 3.5% fat; Alta-Dena, Maya, 3.5% fat; Alta-Dena, Maja, 1.5% fet*² Befit; lowfat* Borden, Lite Line, lowfat* Borden, Lite Line, lowfat* Borden, 100% natural, lowfat* Breyers, all natural Colombo, all natural Country, lowfat* Moser Farms, lowfat* Now Country, lowfat* Stop & Shop, lowfat* Stop & Shop, lowfat* Sweet 'n Low, nonfat* Sweet 'n Low, nonfat* Waldbaum's lowfat* Sweet 'n Low, nonfat* Voplait, 100% natural	sundae	รอ4555555555555555555555555555555555555	27 - 42 - 28 - 28 - 28 - 28 - 28 - 28 - 28	011277 00 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	49 78 78 64 64 74 74 73 73 73 73 73 74 74 74 76 76 76 77 76 76 76 77 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78	252 449 455 455 456 456 456 456 456 457 457 457 457 457 457 457 457 457 457	102 96 97 107 107 111 111 111 111 111 111 112 113 113 114 115 116 117 118 118 118 119 119 119 119 119 119 119	270 280 280 280 280 230 230 230 230 230 230 240 224 224 224 224 224 224 224 220 220	94 94 95 95 97 100 100 101 111 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90
Average		3.1	55.4	6.3	80.8	42.2	109.3	233.3	7.76

|Sample not analyzed since moldy when purchased. 2* signifies a product claiming lowfat or diet-implied. 3Although Sweet 'n Low claimed no fat, 0.43% was found in the first one and 0.25% in the second sample.

the accuracy of nutritional claims regarding protein, fat, carbohydrate, and calories and determined differences between regular and low fat or those suggesting a dietary advantage.

METHODS

Microbial determinations included the number of lactic acid bacteria, coliform bacteria, yeasts and molds, and the ratio of Streptococci to Lactobacilli. Chemical determinations included pH, acidity expressed as percent lactic acid, fat, protein, ash, and total solids.

From late December, 1979 to March, 1980 samples were collected at retail food stores and included 32 plain, 37 strawberry, and 10 hard-frozen yogurts.

Samples were placed in ice for transport to the laboratory, and frozen yogurt was kept frozen. For microbial analysis the samples were first thoroughly mixed, and then an 11 gram portion was mixed with 99 ml of sterile phosphate solution (5). Appropriate dilutions for plating were made in sterile phosphate solution. producers were determined on a medium (8) incubated at 35 C in a GasPak system (BBL, Cockeysville, MD). Acidified dextrose agar (Difco, Detroit, MI) was used for determining the number of yeasts and molds and Violet Red Bile agar (Difco) for assaying the numbers of coliform bacteria (5). Chemical analyses were made according to Standard Methods (5) and Official Methods of Analysis (7). Although both pH and acidity (titratable) as percent lactic acid were determined, titratable acidity is of more value than pH in determining the quantity of organic acids in foods since pH is a measure of hydrogen ion concentration and organic acids may not ionize completely (3). Manufacturers use pH to determine when the yogurt has reached the desired acidity.

Since style, consistency, and quality or intensity of flavor are matters of personal choice, no attempt was made to grade yogurts for flavor or consistency.

Most yogurts make nutritional claims for a one-cup or 8-ounce serving. A few show nutritional claims by other units; claims for frozen yogurt are usually for an

individual bar or 8 fluid ounces. For ease in comparing products and styles, we calculated the nutrients shown in Tables 3 through 6 per 8 ounces (227 grams).

The calories per 8 ounces were calculated as 2.27 times % fat x 8.79 + [% total solids - (% fat + ash)] x 4. Carbohydrate content per 8 ounces was calculated as 2.27 times (% total solids - % fat - % protein - % ash). Carbohydrate content includes both the carbohydrate from milk, lactose, as well as any added sweetener, sugar, honey, fructose, etc.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

FRESH YOGURT

Brands and plant permit numbers.

We examined 19 different brands of plain yogurt (Table 1) from 14 different manufacturers made at 15 different plants. Different types (regular, lowfat) were made at the same plants. Thirty brands of strawberry yogurt made by 15 manufacturers (Table 2) at 16 plants were tested. Again different types and styles were made at the same plant.

The plant permit numbers in Tables 1 and 2 designate where the product was made. A plant permit number does not have to be indicated on the label if a company is making and selling the product under its own name. Note that several brands are produced by the same company and packaged and distributed under separate labels.

<u>Code dates:</u>

Most containers had on the label the last date (code date) the product should be offered for sale. This date is set by the processor. Since manufacturers told us their code periods or number of days between manufacture and the code date, we were able determine the age or days manufacture to purchase. In Tables 1 and 2 we show in days the age of the sample when purchased. Some samples did not have a code date imprinted on the container. For plain yogurt the average age was 16.9 days (range

8 to 42 days), and for strawberry it was 18.3 days (range 1 to 38 days).

Lactic acid bacteria:

A good consistency and adequate flavor is determined by a 1:1 ratio of Streptococci to Lactobacilli. No samples were completely devoid of either of the two bacteria (Tables 1 and 2); but two LeShake samples (Table 2) contained few Lactobacilli. One Moondance sample (Table 1) had many Lactobacilli but this product claims to feature L. acidophilus. Although in one case (Erivan, Table 1) the claim was for a pure L. acidophilus culture, we found about as many Streptococci as Lactobacilli.

The number of acid-producing bacteria (lactic acid bacteria) ranged from 2 million to 1,590 million per gram (Tables 1 and 2). The average for plain yogurt was 456 million per gram and for strawberry 366 million per gram. No sample was completely devoid of acid-producing bacteria, which shows that none were heat-treated after manufacture.

Acidity and pH of yogurt:

The acidity expressed as percent lactic acid in plain yogurt and the sundae strawberry yogurt was greater than the Swiss and shake strawberry. These data show that the plain and sundae styles were usually more tart than the other styles. This is not unexpected since the sundae style consists of plain yogurt which is placed over the fruit which contain organic acids.

Acidity measured as pH averaged 4.26 for plain yogurt and 4.23, 4.36, and 4.36 for sundae, Swiss, and shake style strawberry yogurts. Thus, Swiss and shake strawberry yogurt had a higher pH and thus less acid than the plain and the sundae strawberry yogurt.

There will not be a simple linear relationship between pH and titratable acidity because the amount of nonfat dry milk added varies among products and brands. Nonfat dry milk not only increases the titratable acidity, but also increases the buffering capacity, so that the more nonfat dry milk added the higher will be the pH

value for a given conversion of carbohydrate to lactic acid during the fermentation (2).

A yogurt containing more Lactobacilli than Streptococci is expected to be more acid since Lactobacilli produce more lactic acid than the Streptococci. Plain yogurts containing more Lactobacilli than Streptococci had an average pH of 4.29 compared to 4.40 for the others.

Additives:

Many plain yogurts contained solids, usually as nonfat dry milk, to improve consistency (Table 1). Some products also contained a stabilizer or thickener to stiffen consistency. Only one sample (Borden, Lite Line) contained a sweetener, sugar.

Almost all strawberry yogurts declared a stabilizer or thickener (Table 2) Sixteen declared added acidulants, probably to add flavor. Ten declared artificial color. Three samples (Alta-Dena and Hood) declared natural colors other than provided by the strawberries or preserves. The added natural colors were beet juice extract, natural carmine, and turmeric spice.

Microbial contaminants:

Only seven of 32 samples of plain yogurt contained excessive numbers of yeasts, and only three contained viable molds (Table 1) All plain yogurts contained less than 10 coliform bacteria per gram. In only two of the strawberry yogurts was yeast contamination detected (Table 2, footnote 1), and in none was mold contamination detected. Eleven samples declared sorbate. The two samples with yeast contamination did not declare a preservative.

Nutrients:

In both plain and strawberry yogurt fat was generally about half of the amount claimed (Tables 3 and 4). The actual protein, on the other hand, was close to that actually claimed, averaging 85% for plain and 81% for strawberry.

Table 5. Acid-Producing bacteria, Acidity, and Nutrients in frozen strawberry yogurt.

	Brand		Plant permit no.	d,	Acid roducing acteria illions)	Ratio Streptococci to Lactobacilli	рН	% Acidity
Baskin Robbins			not list	ed	280	1:0.9	4.70	1.05
Borden, Lite Li	ne, all natural	l	36-865	1	520	1:0.4	4.95	0.79
Carvel, Loyo			not list		85	1:0	5.08	0.86
Dannon, Lowfat			36-335	6	190	1:0.7	4.44	1.07
Hood, Firm 'n Fruity, all natural Sealtest, 98% fat free, all natural Stop & Shop, all natural, lowfat			09-05		9 _11	1:0.7 1:1.3	4.30 4.75	1.06 0.99
			36-005	8				
uscan, lowfat,			25-05 not listed		550 5 <u>80</u>	1:0.7 1:0.1	4.46 5.63	1.17 0.53
Village Treat, a	all natural	eu bars						
Yosicle, all nat		are	09-180		77	1:0.4	5.40	0.56
	- TOWIGE		not list	ea	320	1:0.8	4.40	1.24
Brand	Fa	t	Prot	ein	C	arbohydrate	Cal	ories
	Claim	% of claim	Claim	% of claim	Clair	m % of claim	Claim	% of claim

Brand	Fá	it	Prot	ein	Carbo	hydrate	Cal	ories
	Claim	% of claim found	Claim	% of claim found	Claim	% of claim found	Claim	% of claim found
Baskin Robbinsl								
Borden	1.7	65	6.0	0.3	20.0	-	-	
Carvel	5.7	65 88	6.9 10.2	93 97	38.0 58.1	167	190	153
Dannon	1.7	100	6.1	97	36.4	115	-	-
Hood	3.5	131	5,2			166	182	154
Seal test	2.9	103	8.7	100 74	45.0	151	225	147
Stop & Shop	3.5	60			64.0	100	320	90
Tuscan	19.4	162	5.2	163	43.2	156	225	143
Village Treat ¹	1.7.4	102	5.5	82	44.3	166	360	164
Yosicle	2.8	71	-		-	-	-	-
	۷.0	71	8.3	133	47.0	126	249	119
Average ²	5.2	97.5	7.0	105	47.0	143,4	250.1	138,6

¹No claims made for Baskin Robbins or Village Treat. For Baskin Robbins we found 3.4 g fat, 5.4 g protein, 66.3 g carbohydrate, and 317 calories. For Village Treat we found 2.3 g fat, 5.8 g protein, 66.6 g carbohydrate, and 3th calories.

²Average includes only those products making a claim.

The amount of carbohydrate was generally more than claimed, averaging 26% more in plain and 9% more in strawberry yogurt. Calories were generally close to the claim. averaging 90% for plain and 98% strawberry yogurt.

There was a wide variation among brands in agreement between nutrients claimed and found. For example, the fat in plain yogurt (Table 3) ranged from 16% of claim to 70%. The range in carbohydrate was from 69 to 171% of the claim.

FROZEN STRAWBERRY YOGURT

Microbial content:

All ten frozen yogurt samples examined contained live lactic acid bacteria (Table

5), but generally only a third the number in plain or strawberry yogurt. Probably some of the lactic acid baceria were killed by freezing. Although most samples contained more Streptococci than Lactobacilli, the flavor compounds (diacetyl, acetaldehyde) produced by these bacteria may not be as important in the highly flavored frozen yogurt as in fresh plain yogurt.

All samples from dairy plants were devoid of yeasts, molds, and coliform bacteria. The two samples (Baskin-Robbins and Village Treat) collected at dairy bars from bulk containers, however, contained contaminants, which may reflect microbial contamination from handling in the dairy bar rather than manufacturing.

Table 6. Average nutrient composition per 227 grams (1 cup) of regular and diet-implied plain and strawberry yogurt and frozen strawberry yogurt compared to other dairy products.

		PLA	TN	TYPE OF	TOGON	STRAW	BERRY			- (10)
lutrient	Regula claim		Diet claim	(21) found	Regula claim	r (10) found	Diet claim	(26) found	Froze claim	n (10) found
	167	145	145	132	252	239	226	220	250	343
lories	167		3,4	1.5	6.0	2.4	2.0	1.2	5.2	6.4
ıt	7.9	3.3	12.2	9.9	8.8	7.3	9,5	7.6	7.0	7.2
rotein	9.9	8.6	12.2	3.3			42.5	45.0	47	65.4
arbohydrate	13.9	20.5	17.0	19.7	41.2	47	42.5	45.0		

		COTTAGE	CHEESE 2		MILK	lowfat	ICE CREAM vanilla	ICE MILK vanilla
Nutrient	creamed	creamed + fruit	lowfat	lowfat + fruit	whole (3.3% fat)	(1% fat)	(10% fat)	(4.3 % fat)
Calories	234	281	163	196	138	109	459	318
	10.2	7,7	2.3	1.7	7.6	2.7	24.4	9.8
Fat	28.4	22.5	28.1	22.2	7.5	8.9	8.2	8.9
Protein Carbohydrate	6.1	30.2	6.2	30.3	10.6	12.5	54.1	50.2
Carbonyurace								

¹ See Tables 3 and 4 for those yogurts designated as diet-implied or regular products. Number in parenthesis indicates number of samples.

² Data for cottage cheese, milk, ice cream, and ice milk from U.S.D.A. Handbook 8-1, Composition of Foods: dairy and egg products.

United States Dept. of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Washington, D.C., 1976.

Acidity:

The acidity of frozen yogurt (Table 5) was less than in fresh yogurt and the pH was higher.

Additives:

fresh, like the yogurt, Frozen sugar and such as contained additives they also Additionally, stabilizers. contained additives not found in fresh: cellulose, glycerides, neutralizers, and egg volk.

Nutrients:

The average fat and protein content was as claimed (Table 5). Carbohydrate averaged about 43% more than claimed, and calories

about 38% more than claimed. The most fat found was in the Tuscan chocolate-covered yogurt, probably from the chocolate.

COMPARISON OF NUTRIENT CONTENT OF REGULAR AND LOWFAT YOGURT:

The data in Table 6 for plain and strawberry yogurt are separated from those with labels claiming low fat or suggesting fewer calories. About 50 to 60% less fat was claimed for these products and these claims were substantially correct. These products on the average contained more protein, 23% more for plain and 8% more for strawberry, than did the regular yogurts.

The products with low fat or suggesting fewer calories, however, generally contained more carbohydrate than did the regular yogurt. This group of plain and strawberry yogurts claimed about 10 to 13% fewer calories but had only 8 to 9% fewer

calories. Thus, the diet products did not have, on the average, substantially fewer calories.

A comparison of nutrient content between yogurt and other dairy products such as cottage cheese and milk is shown in the lower portion of Table 6. A similar comparison is also shown for frozen yogurt with ice cream and ice milk.

CONCLUSIONS

Plain, unflavored and strawberry yogurts sold in Connecticut had acceptable microbial quality and contained many viable lactic acid bacteria. More samples of plain yogurt were found with yeast and mold contaminants than were found in strawberry yogurt. This difference, however, can be attributed to preservatives in the strawberry yogurt.

Plain yogurts contained few additives, and nine samples claimed only milk was used. Some contained added solids which may improve consistency and nutrition by providing extra milk protein. The strawberry yogurts generally contained more additives than did the plain yogurts.

The fat content for all yogurts was considerably lower than claimed. Otherwise, the nutrient content was as claimed. The difference in calories between regular and diet or lowfat yogurt was only about 8%.

The frozen yogurts were of good microbial quality and provided many live lactic acid bacteria, although fewer numbers than fresh yogurt. The caloric content of the frozen yogurt was about 60% higher than the fresh yogurt.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the following staff of The Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station for technical assistance: Molly Waite for the microbial analyses and John Hayes, Richard Hastings, and Mamie Pyles for the chemical analyses.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Dairy Situation (DS-376) July 1979. U.S.D.A. Economics, Statistics, and Cooperatives Service. Washington. D.C.
- 2. Davis, J.G. 1974. Yogurt in the United Kingdom: chemical and microbiological analysis. Dairy Industry 39: 149-150, 152, 154, 157-177.
- 3. Jay, J.M. 1978. Modern Food Microbiology. D. Van Nostrand Co. New, York, N.Y.
- 4. Marketing Profile: Yogurt, frozen yogurt (soft-serve), hard frozen yogurt, 1978. American Cultured Dairy Products Inst., Washington, D.C.
- 5. Standard Methods For the Examination of Dairy Products. 1978. 14th ed. E.H. Marth. ed. American Public Health Assoc., Washington, D.C.
- 6. Steinberg, A.F. 1979. Yogurt, the cinderella product. American Dairy Review 41: 74-75. 50F. 50H.
- 7. Official Methods of Analysis. 1975, 12th ed. W. Horwitz, ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, D.C.
- 8. Wade, W.E., K.L. Smiley, and C.S. Boruff. 1946. An improved method for differentiating acid-forming bacteria. J. Bacteriol. 51:787-788.